

**UNITED
NATIONS**



International Tribunal for the
Prosecution of Persons
Responsible for Serious Violations of
International Humanitarian Law
Committed in the Territory of the
Former Yugoslavia since 1991

Case No. IT-03-67-AR65.1

Date: 22 April 2015

Original: English

IN THE APPEALS CHAMBER

Before: Judge Arlette Ramaroson, Presiding
Judge Khalida Rachid Khan
Judge Bakhtiyar Tuzmukhamedov
Judge Guy Delvoie
Judge Koffi Kumelio A. Afande

Registrar: Mr. John Hocking

Decision of: 22 April 2015

PROSECUTOR

v.

VOJISLAV ŠEŠELJ

PUBLIC

ORDER FOR EXPEDITED RESPONSE

The Office of the Prosecutor:

Mr. Serge Brammertz
Mr. Mathias Marcussen

The Accused:

Mr. Vojislav Šešelj

THE APPEALS CHAMBER (“Appeals Chamber”) of the International Criminal Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the Former Yugoslavia Since 1991 (“Tribunal”);

BEING SEISED OF the “Urgent Prosecution Motion for Enforcement of Decision on Revocation of Provisional Release” filed on 14 April 2015 (“Motion”);

NOTING the “Decision on Prosecution Appeal against the Decision on the Prosecution Motion to Revoke the Provisional Release of the Accused” issued on 30 March 2015 (“Appeals Chamber Decision of 30 March 2015”), whereby the Appeals Chamber ordered the Trial Chamber to immediately revoke Šešelj’s provisional release;

NOTING the “Interlocutory Decision before Ruling on the Merit of the Revocation of the Provisional Release of the Accused” issued by Trial Chamber III on 10 April 2015 (“Trial Chamber Decision of 10 April 2015”), whereby it ordered the Registry to contact the medical team treating the Accused in order to present the Chamber as soon as possible with an updated report on his medical condition;¹

NOTING the “Order Replacing a Judge in a Case before the Appeals Chamber” issued by the President on 15 April 2015;

NOTING that the Accused has been served with the Motion in a language he understands on 16 April 2015;²

NOTING the provisions of Rule 126*bis* of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence (“Rules”) as to the time for filing responses to motions;

NOTING that the Motion was filed on an urgent basis;

CONSIDERING, therefore, that it would be in the interests of justice to require an expedited response to the Motion;

PURSUANT TO Rules 54, 126*bis*, and 107 of the Rules;

HEREBY ORDERS that

¹ *Décision avant-dire-droit sur le fond relative à la révocation de la mise en liberté de l'accusé*, 10 April 2015, para. 7 and p. 4. The English translation of the decision was filed on 14 April 2015.

² *Procès-verbal* dated 16 April 2015.

- (1) if the Accused wishes to file a submission in response to the Motion, he shall do so no later than three days after receipt of the present Order; and
- (2) any reply thereto may be filed no later than one day after the filing of the Accused's response.

Done in English and French, the English text being authoritative.

Done this twenty-second day of April 2015,
At The Hague,
The Netherlands



Judge Arlette Ramaroson
Presiding Judge

Seal of the Tribunal