



The Human Rights Advisory Panel

Building D, UNMIK HQ Prishtinë/Priština, Kosovo | E-mail: hrap-unmik@un.org | Tel: +381 (0)38 504-604, ext. 5182

DECISION

Date of adoption: 10 August 2011

Case No. 55/10

Slaviša MARKOVIĆ

against

UNMIK

The Human Rights Advisory Panel, sitting on 10 August 2011,
with the following members present:

Mr Marek NOWICKI, Presiding Member
Mr Paul LEMMENS
Ms Christine CHINKIN

Assisted by
Mr Andrey ANTONOV, Executive Officer

Having considered the aforementioned complaint, introduced pursuant to Section 1.2 of UNMIK Regulation No. 2006/12 of 23 March 2006 on the Establishment of the Human Rights Advisory Panel,

Having deliberated, decides as follows:

I. PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE PANEL

1. The complaint was introduced on 31 March 2010 and registered on the same date.

II. THE FACTS

2. The complainant is a former resident of Kosovo, currently residing in Montenegro. She states that on 18 June 1999 she had to leave Kosovo due to the hostilities. Following her departure, she was dismissed from her workplace at the Cultural and Educational Community Centre of Prishtinë/Priština.

III. THE COMPLAINT

3. The complainant complains about the fact that since her dismissal she does not receive any financial or other type of compensation. She complains that she was left without any basic means of living.

IV. THE LAW

4. Before considering the case on its merits the Panel has to decide whether to accept the case, taking into account the admissibility criteria set out in Sections 1, 2 and 3 of UNMIK Regulation No. 2006/12.
5. The Panel recalls that, according to Section 2 of UNMIK Regulation No. 2006/12, it has jurisdiction only over “complaints relating to alleged violations of human rights that had occurred not earlier than 23 April 2005 or arising from facts which occurred prior to this date where these facts give rise to a continuing violation of human rights”.
6. The Panel considers that the dismissal from work was an instantaneous act, which does not give rise to any possible continuous situation (see Human Rights Advisory Panel, *Novokmet*, no. 51/10, decision of 13 April 2011 § 6).
7. The Panel notes that the dismissal allegedly occurred in June 1999.
8. It follows that the complaint lies outside the Panel’s jurisdiction *ratione temporis*.

FOR THESE REASONS,

The Panel, unanimously,

DECLARES THE COMPLAINT INADMISSIBLE.

Andrey ANTONOV
Executive Officer

Marek NOWICKI
Presiding Member