

SPECIAL TRIBUNAL FOR LEBANON

المحكمة الخاصة بلينان

TRIBUNAL SPÉCIAL POUR LE LIBAN

THE TRIAL CHAMBER

SPECIAL TRIBUNAL FOR LEBANON

Case No: STL-11-01/T/TC

Before: Judge David Re, Presiding

Judge Janet Nosworthy Judge Micheline Braidy

Judge Walid Akoum, Alternate Judge Judge Nicola Lettieri, Alternate Judge

Registrar: Mr Daryl Mundis

Date: 30 November 2017

Original language: English

Classification: Public

THE PROSECUTOR

V.

SALIM JAMIL AYYASH HASSAN HABIB MERHI HUSSEIN HASSAN ONEISSI ASSAD HASSAN SABRA

DECISION ON THE ONEISSI DEFENCE'S APPLICATION FOR CLARIFICATION OF AN ORDER REGARDING TWO DOCUMENTS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION

Office of the Prosecutor:

Mr Norman Farrell & Mr Alexander Hugh Milne

Legal Representatives of Participating Victims:

Mr Peter Haynes, Mr Mohammad F. Mattar & Ms Nada Abdelsater-Abusamra

Counsel for Mr Salim Jamil Ayyash:

Mr Emile Aoun, Mr Thomas Hannis & Mr Chad Mair

Counsel for Mr Hassan Habib Merhi:

Mr Mohamed Aouini, Ms Dorothée Le Fraper du Hellen & Mr Jad Youssef Khalil

Counsel for Mr Hussein Hassan Oneissi:

Mr Vincent Courcelle-Labrousse, Mr Yasser Hassan & Ms Natalie von Wistinglausen

Counsel for Mr Assad Hassan Sa

Mr David Young, Mr Geoffrey Ro Ms Sarah Bafadhel

SPECIAL TERMINAL FOLLEBATION TERMINAL SPECIAL POLITICISMAN

- 1. The Trial Chamber, on 24 November 2017—to assist it in deciding the reliability and hence probative value of two documents—ordered the Defence of the Accused, Mr Hussein Hassan Oneissi, 'to provide, by 1 December 2017, the statement(s) of the person(s) who created exhibits 4D357 MFI and 4D363 MFI'. These are Defence call sequence tables of, respectively, a filtered landline call sequence table, 'Filtered OTP ETC/CST/0123', and a selection of calls of mobiles allegedly attributed to Oneissi family members.²
- 2. Defence counsel have sought clarification of the order, challenging why they should have to provide statements and, additionally, the Trial Chamber's legal basis to make it. They argue that exhibit 4D357 MFI is an extract from a Prosecution call sequence table, which was produced by Prosecution analyst Ms Kei Kamei, whose witness statement is already in evidence,³ and that exhibit 4D363 MFI is a selection of calls that the Prosecution does not consider as a call sequence table.⁴
- 3. The Party tendering a document bears the evidentiary onus of establishing its relevance and probative value under Rule 149 of the Special Tribunal's Rules of Procedure and Evidence. The Trial Chamber may request verification of the authenticity of evidence obtained out of court, under Rule 149 (E). The order is clear and no clarification is required.

Done in Arabic, English, and French, the English version being authoritative.

Leidschendam, The Netherlands 30 November 2017

Judge David Re, Presiding

Judge Janet Nosworthy

Judge Micheline Braidy

¹ STL-11-01/T/TC, *Prosecutor v. Ayyash, Merhi, Oneissi and Sabra*, F3427, Order to the Oneissi Defence Regarding Two Documents Sought for Admission into Evidence, 24 November 2017.

² F3419, Oneissi Defence Motion for the Admission into Evidence of Three Documents Marked for Identification, 21 November 2017.

³ Ms Kamei's statement of 14 January 2015 on the production of call sequence tables is exhibit P516.

Case No. STL-11-01/T/TC

1 of 1

30 November 2017

⁴ F3432, Oneissi Defence Request for Clarification Regarding the Trial Chamber Order of 24 November 2017, 27 November 2017.