

**THE TRIAL CHAMBER**

**Case No:** STL-11-01/T/TC

**Before:** Judge David Re, Presiding  
Judge Janet Nosworthy  
Judge Micheline Braidy  
Judge Walid Akoum, Alternate Judge  
Judge Nicola Lettieri, Alternate Judge

**Registrar:** Mr Daryl Mundis

**Date:** 19 April 2017

**Original language:** English

**Classification:** Public

---

**DECISION ON THE “REQUEST OF LEGAL REPRESENTATIVE OF VICTIMS  
FOR A VARIATION OF THE TERMS OF PROTECTIVE MEASURES GRANTED  
TO TWO PARTICIPATING VICTIMS”**

---

(Extract from Official Public Transcript of Hearing on 19 April 2017, page 59, line 10 to page 60, line 11)

This is a decision on confidential filing F3074, “Request of Legal Representative of Victims for a Variation of the Terms of Protective Measures Granted to Two Participating Victims,” filed on the 10th of April, 2017.

The Legal Representative filed a request under Rule 133 of the Special Tribunal's Rules of Procedure and Evidence to vary the terms of protective measures granted to two sibling participating victims, Victim 083 and Victim 084, to: One, formally recognize disclosure of their identities as participating victims to each other and -- to another; and two, to permit their simultaneous access to what's called the private victims' room to observe the trial proceedings today and tomorrow, that is, on the 19th and 20th of April, 2017.

On the 11th of April, 2017, the Prosecution and Defence counsel informed the Trial Chamber's legal officer that they would not object to this motion. The Chamber is satisfied

Interpretation serves to facilitate communication.  
Only the original speech is authentic.

that the requested variation is appropriate and necessary and proportionate and does not affect the rights of the accused.

The participating victims are immediate family members and they have voluntarily and independently chosen to share the information with each other that they are participating in the procedure. The Trial Chamber therefore grants the variation as requested in the motion by the Legal Representative of Victims.

[...]

Finally, it is preferable that motions are filed publicly with confidential annexes. The Trial Chamber therefore instructs the Legal Representative of Victims to file a public redacted version of the motion.

Interpretation serves to facilitate communication.  
Only the original speech is authentic.