

**THE TRIAL CHAMBER**

Case No: STL-11-01/T/TC

Before: Judge David Re, Presiding
Judge Janet Nosworthy
Judge Micheline Braidy
Judge Walid Akoum, Alternate Judge
Judge Nicola Lettieri, Alternate Judge

Registrar: Mr Daryl Mundis

Date: 6 April 2016

Original language: English

Classification: Public

ORDER TO THE PROSECUTION TO DISCLOSE FINAL VERSION OF MR PLATT'S (PRH147) NETWORK ANALYSIS REPORT, AND DECISION DISMISSING AYYASH DEFENCE APPLICATION TO DELAY MR PLATT'S TESTIMONY

(Extract from Official Public Transcript of Hearing on 6 April 2016, page 10, line 23 to page 12, line 2; page 12, line 5 to page 12, line 8)

On the 24th of March, 2016, in filing F2521, the counsel for Mr. Salim Ayyash filed “Ayyash Defence response to ‘Prosecution motion for the admission of Witness PRH147 as an expert witness,’” in which at paragraph 28 they sought the following order from 1 the Trial Chamber:

“The Ayyash Defence further requests the Trial Chamber to order a final version of the Network Analysis Report be disclosed prior to Mr. Platt's testimony, and for the final version of the report to only include those portions of his evidence that the Prosecution is relying upon.”

Today in court, counsel for Mr. Ayyash, Mr. Hannis, expanded and made an oral application that Mr. Platt's “testimony be delayed until after a final report has been prepared.” That's at page 3 of the transcript.

Interpretation serves to facilitate communication.
Only the original speech is authentic.

The Trial Chamber will make the order requested by counsel for Mr. Ayyash in relation to Mr. Platt's further testimony as it concerns an updated version of his network analysis report.

The Chamber therefore makes the following order to the Prosecution: The Prosecution must disclose a final version of the Network Analysis Report before Mr. Platt testifies in relation to that report and that the final version of the report should “only include those portions of his evidence that the Prosecution is relying upon.”

So the Chamber upholds the order sought in the relief of the filing of the 24th of March, 2016.

In relation to Mr. Hannis's oral supplement today in which he sought to delay or defer the testimony of Mr. Platt, the Trial Chamber will not order that. The Trial Chamber is satisfied that the interests of justice is served by Mr. Platt testifying as to the contents of the PowerPoint presentation and that the Defence is not prejudiced because they've had the PowerPoint presentation and I have had time to cross-examine.

[...]

I said a moment ago “have had time to cross-examine,” I meant “have had time to prepare,” reasonable time, the reasonable time necessary to prepare to cross-examine Mr. Platt. There is that correction.

Interpretation serves to facilitate communication.
Only the original speech is authentic.