

**THE TRIAL CHAMBER**

Case No: STL-11-01/T/TC

Before: Judge David Re, Presiding
Judge Janet Nosworthy
Judge Micheline Braidy
Judge Walid Akoum, Alternate Judge
Judge Nicola Lettieri, Alternate Judge

Registrar: Mr Daryl Mundis

Date: 21 May 2015

Original language: English

Classification: Public

**DECISION VARYING PROTECTIVE MEASURES, AND ORDERING THE
DISCLOSURE OF THE UNREDACTED STATEMENT OF WITNESS PRH 149
DATED 29 NOVEMBER 2011**

(Extract from Official Public Transcript of Hearing on 21 May 2015, page 4, line 12 to page 5, line 11)

On the 6th of May, counsel for Mr. Sabra in filing F1938 entitled: Sabra Defence response to Prosecution supplementary submissions to the motion for protective measures, asked for disclosure of unredacted versions of statements made under Rule 115 by the six witnesses I referred to earlier. However, two of the witnesses, 357 and 661, did not make any such statements, so no decision is therefore necessary on that request. Of the remaining four witnesses, the Prosecution disclosed them to the Defence in an unredacted form on the 6th of May and sent a letter to Defence counsel explaining that. No decision is therefore required on that either.

In respect of Witness 149, the Prosecution took an additional statement on the 29th of November, 2011. The Trial Chamber redacted this statement in an order on the 8th of November, 2013. On the 24th of April, this year, in filing F1928, the Prosecution filed: "Prosecution supplementary submissions to the motion for protective measures for PRH357,

Interpretation serves to facilitate communication.
Only the original speech is authentic.

149, 009, 075, 063, and 661," submitting that if the Trial Chamber determines that the statement should be disclosed to the Defence in an unredacted form, thereby varying its decision, it would not object to this.

The Trial Chamber has carefully reviewed the redactions to Witness 149's statement and is satisfied that disclosing the unredacted version of the statement will not risk Witness 149's security. The Chamber therefore varies the protective measures in its decision of the 8th of November, 2013, and orders the Prosecution to disclose the statement in an unredacted form.

Interpretation serves to facilitate communication.
Only the original speech is authentic.