

SPECIAL TRIBUNAL FOR LEBANON

المحكمة الخاصة بلبنان

TRIBUNAL SPÉCIAL POUR LE LIBAN

THE CONTEMPT JUDGE

Case No.: STL-14-05/T/CJ
Before: Judge Nicola Lettieri, Contempt Judge
Registrar: Mr Daryl Mundis, Registrar
Date: 20 May 2015
Original language: English
Classification: Public

IN THE CASE AGAINST

AL JADEED [CO.] S.A.L./ NEW T.V. S.A.L. (N.T.V.)
KARMA MOHAMED TAHSIN AL KHAYAT

DECISION ON ADMISSION OF EXHIBITS RELATED TO WITNESS DT05

***Amicus Curiae* Prosecutor:**
Mr Kenneth Scott

Counsel for *Al Jadeed [CO.] S.A.L./*
***NEW T.V. S.A.L. (N.T.V.)* and**
Ms Karma Khayat:
Mr Karim A.A. Khan
Mr Rodney Dixon
Ms Shyamala Alagendra



1. On 13 May 2015, the Defence requested the admission into evidence of the exhibits contained in its presentation queue for witness DT05 numbered 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 16, 17, 18, 20 and 21 (“Request of the Defence”).¹
2. On 14 May 2015, the *Amicus Curiae* Prosecutor (“*Amicus*”) sought admission into evidence of the exhibits contained in his presentation queue for witness DT05 numbered 23, 24, 27, 28, 43, 44, 49 and 50 (“Request of the *Amicus*”).²
3. Neither Party objected to the other’s request.³
4. In light of witness DT05’s testimony, I am satisfied that all the exhibits tendered by the Parties are relevant and of probative value.
5. I note that Defence presentation queue number 18 is identical to Defence presentation queue number 16, except that number 18 contains witness DT05’s signature. It is therefore proper to admit only number 18 into the record.
6. I find that all the other exhibits tendered by the Parties may be admitted into evidence.

DISPOSITION

FOR THESE REASONS,

I

GRANT the Request of the Defence in part;

ADMIT the exhibits numbered 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 17, 18, 20 and 21 in the Defence presentation queue for witness DT 05 into the trial record;

GRANT the Request of the *Amicus*;

¹ STL, *In the case against New TV S.A.L. and Khayat*, STL-14-05, Provisional Transcript of 13 May 2015, p. 53 lines 1-9, p. 75 lines 15-18.

² E-mail from the *Amicus* to the Legal Officer and the Defence on 14 May 2015. The *Amicus* clarified that it would not seek admission of exhibits numbered 29 and 30 of the *Amicus*’s queue for witness DT05 if these items were already admitted. I note that the exhibits numbered 16 and 17 of the Defence’s queue for witness DT05 are identical to exhibits 29 and 30 of the *Amicus*’s queue for this witness. Therefore, I do not need to address the *Amicus*’s request with regards to the exhibits numbered 29 and 30 of the *Amicus*’s queue for witness DT05.

³ E-mail from Defence to the Legal Officer and *Amicus* on 15 May 2015; E-mail from *Amicus* to the Legal Officer and the Defence on 18 May 2015.

ADMIT the exhibits numbered 23, 24, 27, 28, 43, 44, 49 and 50 in the *Amicus* presentation queue for witness DT 05 into the trial record;

REJECT the admission of the exhibit numbered 16 in the Defence presentation queue for witness DT05;

and

REQUEST the Registry to assign exhibit numbers to the admitted exhibits.

Done in Arabic, English and French, the English version being authoritative.

Dated 20 May 2015

Leidschendam, the Netherlands



Judge Nicola Lettieri
Contempt Judge

