



SPECIAL TRIBUNAL FOR LEBANON

المحكمة الخاصة بلبنان

TRIBUNAL SPÉCIAL POUR LE LIBAN

**THE PRE-TRIAL JUDGE**

Case No.: **STL-11-01/PT/PTJ**

The Pre-Trial Judge: **Mr Daniel Fransen**

The Registrar: **Mr Herman von Hebel**

Date: **25 March 2013**

Original: **French**

Classification: **Public**

**THE PROSECUTOR**

v.

**SALIM JAMIL AYYASH,  
MUSTAFA AMINE BADREDDINE,  
HUSSEIN HASSAN ONEISSI &  
ASSAD HASSAN SABRA**

**ORDER FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

**Office of the Prosecutor:**  
Mr Norman Farrell

**Counsel for Mr Salim Jamil Ayyash:**  
Mr Eugene O'Sullivan

**Legal Representative of Victims:**  
Mr Peter Haynes

**Counsel for Mr Mustafa Amine Badreddine:**  
Mr Antoine Korkmaz

**The Lebanese Authorities:**  
President of the Court of Cassation  
of Lebanon

**Counsel for Mr Hussein Hassan Oneissi:**  
Mr Vincent Courcelle-Labrousse

**Counsel for Mr Assad Hassan Sabra:**  
Mr David Young



**I. Statement of reasons**

1. By way of a letter from the Lebanese Ministry of Justice dated 5 March 2013<sup>1</sup> and after exchanges with the Registry and the Defence Office of the Tribunal, the Pre-Trial Judge has been informed of the fact that the Lebanese authorities had replied to the various requests for cooperation that the Counsel for the Defence of Messrs Ayyash, Badreddine, Oneissi and Sabra (the “Defence Counsel”) had sent them.
2. The replies from the Lebanese authorities to these requests for cooperation were not brought to the attention of the Pre-Trial Judge. However, in order to be able to rule in full knowledge of the facts on any action to be taken further to the decision of 11 February 2013 on the Defence request seeking to obtain the cooperation of Lebanon (the “Decision on cooperation”)<sup>2</sup> and the second motion seeking the cooperation of Lebanon regarding telecommunications information of 4 February 2013<sup>3</sup> (the “Second Motion”), the Pre-Trial Judge deems it necessary for him to have more information about these replies.

**II. Disposition****FOR THESE REASONS,**

Pursuant to Rule 77 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence, the Decision on cooperation and the Second Motion

**THE PRE-TRIAL JUDGE,**

**INVITES** the Defence Counsel to submit to him a list of the requests referred to in paragraph 20 of the Decision on cooperation which are still pending and a further list in relation to the Second Motion, as well as the replies from the Lebanese authorities to the associated requests

---

<sup>1</sup> STL, *The Prosecutor v. Ayyash et al.*, Case No. STL-11-01/PT/PTJ, Letter of the Director of the Litigation Division at the Ministry of Justice, 5 March 2013.

<sup>2</sup> STL, *The Prosecutor v. Ayyash et al.*, Case No. STL-11-01/PT/PTJ, Decision on the Defence Request Seeking to Obtain the Cooperation of Lebanon, 11 February 2013. Cf also STL, *The Prosecutor v. Ayyash et al.*, Case No. STL-11-01/PT/PTJ, Motion Seeking Cooperation of Lebanon, 27 September 2012, confidential and *ex parte* Annexes A to T.

<sup>3</sup> STL, *The Prosecutor v. Ayyash et al.*, Case No. STL-11-01/PT/PTJ, Second Motion Seeking the Cooperation of Lebanon – Telecommunications Information, 4 February 2013, confidential and *ex parte* annexes A to M.

for cooperation and any other information that may be helpful in this matter, by 4.00 p.m. on Thursday 4 April 2013 at the latest;

Done in English, Arabic and French, the French version being authoritative.

Leidschendam, 25 March 2013

[stamp]

[signature]

---

Daniel Fransen  
Pre-Trial Judge

