



SPECIAL TRIBUNAL FOR LEBANON

المحكمة الخاصة بلبنان

TRIBUNAL SPÉCIAL POUR LE LIBAN

### THE PRE-TRIAL JUDGE

Case No.: **STL-11-01/PT/PTJ**

The Pre-Trial Judge: **Judge Daniel Fransen**

The Registrar: **Mr. Herman von Hebel**

Date: **14 November 2012**

Original language: **English**

Classification: **Public**

### THE PROSECUTOR

v.

**SALIM JAMIL AYYASH**  
**MUSTAFA AMINE BADREDDINE**  
**HUSSEIN HASSAN ONEISSI**  
**ASSAD HASSAN SABRA**

### ORDER ON PROSECUTION REQUEST FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE A RESPONSE TO THE LEGAL REPRESENTATIVES FIRST MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE MEASURES (ANONYMITY) OF SEVENTEEN VICTIMS PARTICIPATING IN THE PROCEEDINGS

**Office of the Prosecutor:**  
 Mr. Norman Farrell

**Counsel for Mr. Salim Jamil Ayyash:**  
 Mr. Eugene O'Sullivan

**Victims' Legal Representative:**  
 Mr. Peter Haynes

**Counsel for Mr. Mustafa Amine Badreddine:**  
 Mr. Antoine Korkmaz

**Counsel for Mr. Hussein Hassan Oneissi:**  
 Mr. Vincent Courcelle-Labrousse

**Counsel for Mr. Assad Hassan Sabra:**  
 Mr. David Young



1. The Pre-Trial Judge is seized with the “Prosecution Request for an extension of time to file a response to the Legal Representatives First Motion for Protective Measures (Anonymity) of Seventeen Victims Participating in the Proceedings (the “Request”) filed on 13 November 2012.<sup>1</sup>
2. On 29 October 2012, the Legal Representative of Victims (the “LRV”) filed the “First Motion of the Legal Representative of Victims for Protective Measures (Anonymity) of Seventeen Victims Participating in the Proceedings”.<sup>2</sup> On 2 November 2012, the LRV filed the “Second Motion of the Legal Representative of Victims for Protective Measures (Anonymity) of Six Victims Participating in the Proceedings”.<sup>3</sup>
3. The Prosecution seeks leave to file a consolidated response to the First Motion and Second Motion on 23 November 2012, the date on which the response to the Second Motion is due according to Rule 8 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence (the “Rules”). By virtue of the same Rule, the Prosecution’s response to the LRV’s First Motion is due on 16 November 2012.
4. The Prosecution submits that the factual and legal issues raised in the Motions are the same, and that a consolidated response will further judicial economy.<sup>4</sup>
5. The Pre-Trial Judge observes that the issues raised by the First Motion and Second Motion are substantially the same, and justify the Prosecution’s request for leave to file a consolidated response. The Pre-Trial Judge considers that the requested extension of time will not cause undue delay to the proceedings, and accordingly — pursuant to Rule 9 — grants the Request.

---

<sup>1</sup> STL, *The Prosecutor v. Ayyash et al.*, Case No. STL-11-01/PT/PTJ, Prosecution Request for an extension of time to file a response to the Legal Representatives First Motion for Protective Measures (Anonymity) of Seventeen Victims Participating in the Proceedings, 13 November 2012.

<sup>2</sup> STL, *The Prosecutor v. Ayyash et al.*, Case No. STL-11-01/PT/PTJ, First Motion of the Legal Representative of Victims for Protective Measures (Anonymity) of Seventeen Victims Participating in the Proceedings, 29 October 2012 (the “First Motion”).

<sup>3</sup> STL, *The Prosecutor v. Ayyash et al.*, Case No. STL-11-01/PT/PTJ, Second Motion of the Legal Representative of Victims for Protective Measures (Anonymity) of Six Victims Participating in the Proceedings, 2 November 2012 (the “Second Motion”).

<sup>4</sup> Request, para. 2.

**FOR THESE REASONS,**

**THE PRE-TRIAL JUDGE,**

**PURSUANT TO** Rule 9;

**GRANTS** the Prosecution's request for an extension of time in which to file its response to the First Motion; and

**ORDERS** the Prosecution to file its consolidated to the First Motion and Second Motion by 16:00 on Friday 23 November 2012 at the latest.

Done in Arabic, English and French, the English version being authoritative.

Leidschendam, 14 November 2012.



Daniel Fransen  
Pre-Trial Judge

