



**TRIAL CHAMBER I** (“The Chamber”) of the Special Court for Sierra Leone (“Special Court”) composed of Hon. Justice Bankole Thompson, Presiding Judge, Hon. Justice Pierre Boutet and Hon. Justice Benjamin Mutanga Itoe;

**SEIZED OF** the “Defence Request for Full Review of Prosecution Evidence to Identify Rule 68 Material for Disclosure”, filed by Court Appointed Counsel for the Second Accused (“Counsel for Fofana”) on the 24th of October 2006 (“Motion”),[\[1\]](#) wherein Counsel for Fofana request an Order from The Chamber to the Prosecution to review all material in their possession for potential Rule 68 material;[\[2\]](#)

**CONSIDERING** that the Defence case for all Accused persons was closed on the 18th of October 2006[\[3\]](#) and that no further evidence will be called in this case;[\[4\]](#)

**CONSIDERING** that Article 17(4)(c) of the Statute of the Special Court provides that the Accused shall be “tried without undue delay”;

**CONSIDERING** that the fair and expeditious consideration of the Motion requires the imposition of an expedited timetable for the filing of any remaining written submissions;[\[5\]](#)

**PURSUANT** to Rule 7(C), 26bis, 54 and 73 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence of the Special Court;

**HEREBY ORDERS** as follows:

1. Any Response to the Motion shall be filed no later than Monday, the 30th of October 2006, at 1:00 p.m.;
2. Any Reply to the said Response shall be filed no later than Wednesday, the 1st of November 2006, at 4:00 p.m.;

Done in Freetown, Sierra Leone, this 26th day of October 2006.

---

Hon. Justice Benjamin Mutanga  
Itoe

---

Hon. Justice Bankole  
Thompson  
Presiding Judge  
Trial Chamber I

---

Hon. Justice Pierre Boutet

[Seal of the Special Court for Sierra Leone]

---

[1] SCSL-04-14-T-724.

[2] *Ibid.*, para. 1.

[3] Transcript of the 18th of October 2006.

[4] The Chamber has dismissed the “Prosecution Motion for Leave to Call Evidence in Rebuttal and for Immediate Protective Measures for Proposed Rebuttal Witness”, filed by the Prosecution on the 13th of October 2006, wherein the Prosecution: 1) sought leave of The Chamber to call one witness to rebut the evidence of the Defence witness Mohammed Fallon, who testified on behalf of the Second Accused; and 2) requested immediate protective measures for this proposed rebuttal witness, if the first request was granted; SCSL-04-14-T-715, *see also* oral ruling of The Chamber delivered in court, Transcript of the 18th of October 2006.

[5] *See, inter alia*, SCSL-04-14-T-706, “Order for Expedited Filing”, the 2nd of October 2006.

---