

NOTING the Prosecution's submission of the expert report of Dr. William Haglund, filed on 14 July 2004;

NOTING the "Order for Compliance of Prosecution with Rule 94*bis*", delivered by the Trial Chamber on 16 July 2004, where the Trial Chamber ordered the Prosecution "to seek leave of the Trial Chamber to approve the addition of the expert witness, William Haglund, to the initial witness list and to show good cause pursuant to Rule 66(A)(ii) for admitting the full statement of the expert witness", and considered further, "that the Prosecution should provide the Trial Chamber and the Defence with the curriculum vitae of the expert witness, that includes his credentials, that qualify him as an expert, his specific field of expertise, and the purpose of his report, for the Defence to make an informed response and for the Trial Chamber to consider the admissibility of the expert report";

NOTING the "Prosecution Request for Leave to Call Additional Expert Witness Dr. William Haglund" ("Prosecution Request"), filed on 28 July 2004, requesting leave from the Trial Chamber to vary the Modified Witness List;

NOTING the "Conditional Notice to the Trial Chamber Pursuant to Rule 94*bis*(B)", filed by Counsel for Moinina Fofana on 26 July 2004; submitting that the expert witness statement can only be filed pursuant to Rule 94*bis*, if leave to vary the initial witness list is granted by the Trial Chamber, and that if leave to vary the witness list is granted by the Trial Chamber, the Defence will wish to cross-examine the expert witness;

NOTING the "Notice Conditionally Indicating Wish to Cross-Examine Expert Witness", filed by the Accused, Samuel Hinga Norman, on 26 July 2004, conditionally filing notice of the intention to cross-examine the expert witness, without prejudice to any submissions that may be made concerning the admissibility of the report;

NOTING the "Notice Conditionally Indicating Intention to Cross Examine Expert Witness", filed by Counsel for Allieu Kondewa on 28 July 2004, conditionally filing notice of the intention to cross-examine the expert witness, without prejudice to any submissions that may be made concerning the admissibility of the report;

