MICT-12-29-R 03-10-2017 (1821 - 1819)

UNITED NATIONS

Case No.:

MICT-12-29-R



Mechanism for International Criminal Tribunals

Date:

3 October 2017

Original:

English

IN THE APPEALS CHAMBER

Before:

Judge Theodor Meron, Pre-Review Judge

Registrar:

Mr. Olufemi Elias

Decision of:

3 October 2017

PROSECUTOR

V.

AUGUSTIN NGIRABATWARE

PUBLIC

DECISION ON REQUEST FOR STATUS CONFERENCE

The Office of the Prosecutor:

Mr. Serge Brammertz Mr. Mathias Marcussen Mr. Richard Karegyesa Ms. Thembile Segoete

Counsel for Augustin Ngirabatware:

Mr. Peter Robinson

Received by the Registry
Mechanism for International Criminal Tribunals

03/10/2017 15:06

ı

I, THEODOR MERON, Presiding Judge of the Appeals Chamber of the International Residual Mechanism for Criminal Tribunals ("Appeals Chamber" and "Mechanism", respectively), and Pre-Review Judge in this case;¹

RECALLING that, on 19 June 2017, the Appeals Chamber: (i) granted Augustin Ngirabatware's request for review of his convictions; (ii) determined that a hearing shall be held to allow the parties to present evidence in this regard; and (iii) ordered the parties to submit in writing, no later than 31 July 2017, a list of evidence and witnesses, if any, each propose to introduce at the hearing;²

BEING SEISED of the "Request for Status Conference" filed by Ngirabatware on 18 September 2017 ("Request"), in which he requests, pursuant to Rule 69 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence ("Rules"), that a status conference be convened to address issues related to his mental and physical condition and to allow the parties to discuss matters related to the review hearing;³

NOTING that, in response, the Prosecution submits that the Request is legally and factually unfounded and that Ngirabatware has failed to identify any specific issue that requires holding a status conference;⁴

NOTING that Ngirabatware did not file a reply;

CONSIDERING that the Rules require the holding of a status conference at regular intervals only at the pre-trial stage of the proceedings following the initial appearance of the accused⁵ and pending appeal if a convicted person is in custody following the filing of a notice of appeal;⁶

CONSIDERING that, in the absence of an express requirement in the Rules, a status conference or other procedural hearing may be held by a judge or a Chamber if it is in the interests of justice or required for the proper preparation of the hearing;

¹ Order Assigning Judges to Consider a Case Before the Appeals Chamber, 25 July 2016; Order Designating a Pre-Review Judge, 17 August 2016.

² Decision on Ngirabatware's Motion for Review, 19 June 2017 (public and redacted version), pp. 2, 3. See also Motion for Review of Judgement, 8 July 2016 (confidential with confidential Annexes A-E); The Prosecutor v. Augustin Ngirabatware, Case No. MICT-12-29-A, Judgement, 18 December 2014.

Request, paras. 1, 7.
Prosecution Response to Request for Status Conference, 22 September 2017, p. 1.

See Rule 69(A) of the Rules. See also Prosecutor v. Radovan Karadžić, Case No. IT-95-5/18-T, Decision on Accused's Request for Status Conference, 11 June 2014, para 4.

⁶ See Rule 69(B) of the Rules. See also Prosecutor v. Radovan Karadžić, Case No. MICT-13-55, Decision on Request for Status Conference, 1 April 2016 ("Karadžić Decision of 1 April 2016"), p. 1.

CONSIDERING that Ngirabatware has not shown that a status conference is necessary because he does not identify any specific issue that he wishes to raise in relation to his mental or physical condition or in relation to the preparation of the review hearing;⁷

CONSIDERING that the conditions of detention of the detainees under the authority of the Mechanism at the United Nations Detention Facility in Arusha are supervised by the President and that, therefore, there is a separate avenue for raising concerns in relation to the detainees' mental and physical condition;⁸

CONSIDERING that the Appeals Chamber anticipates scheduling the review hearing in the near future;

CONSIDERING that the proper preparation of the review hearing does not require, at this stage, the convening of a status conference;

FOR THE FOREGOING REASONS,

HEREBY DENY the Request.

Done in English and French, the English version being authoritative.

Done this 3rd day of October 2017, At The Hague, The Netherlands.

Judge Theodor Meron, Pre-Review Judge

[Seal of the Mechanism]



⁷ See. Karadžić Decision of 1 April 2016, p. 2. See also Request, para. 5.

⁸ Cf. Karadžić Decision of 1 April 2016, p. 1. See also Decision on Motion to Report Government of Turkey to United Nations Security Council and for Modification of Conditions of Detention, 22 March 2017, p. 3.



TRANSMISSION SHEET FOR FILING OF DOCUMENTS WITH THE MECHANISM FOR INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL TRIBUNALS/ FICHE DE TRANSMISSION POUR LE DÉPÔT DE DOCUMENTS DEVANT LE MÉCANISME POUR LES TRIBUNAUX PÉNAUX INTERNATIONAUX

I - FILING INFORMATION / INFORMATIONS GÉNÉRALES

Tol A:	MICT Registry/ Gr	effe du MTPI	Arushal Arusha	☐ The Haguel La Haye
From/ De:	Chambre	Defence/	Prosecution Bureau du Pro	
Case Name/ Affaire :	Prosecutor v. Aug	gustin Ngirabatware	Case Nur Affaire n'	The second secon
Date Created/ Daté du :	3 October 2017	Date transmitted/ Transmis le :	3 October 2017	No. of Pages/ 3 Nombre de pages :
Original Language / Langue de l'original :	⊠ English/ [Anglais	∃ French/ ☐ Kiny Français	arwanda 🗌 B/C/S	Other/Autre (specify/préciser):
Title of Document Titre du document :	DECISION ON REQUEST FOR STATUS CONFERENCE			
Classification Level/ Catégories de classification :	☑ Unclassified/ ☐ Ex Parte Defence excluded/ Défense exclue Non classifié ☐ Ex Parte Prosecution excluded/ Bureau du Procureur exclu ☐ Confidential/ ☐ Ex Parte R86(H) applicant excluded/ Art. 86 H) requérant exclu ☐ Confidential/ ☐ Ex Parte Amicus Curiae excluded/ Amicus curiae exclu ☐ Strictly Confidential/ ☐ Ex Parte other exclusion/ autre(s) partie(s) exclue(s) Strictement confidential/ (specify/préciser) :			
Document typel Type de document:	☐ Mction/ Requéte ☑ Decision/ Décision ☐ Order/ Ordonnence ☐ Judgement/ Jugement/Arrét	☐ Submission from Écritures déposées ☐ Submission from Écritures déposées ☐ Book of Authorit Recueil de sources ☐ Affidavit/ Déclaration sous se	par des parties n non-parties/ par des tiers ies/	☐ Indictment/ Acte d'accusation ☐ Warrant/ Mandat ☐ Notice of Appeal/ Acte d'appel
II - TRANSLATION STATUS ON THE FILING DATE/ ÉTAT DE LA TRADUCTION AU JOUR DU DÉPÔT				
☐ Translation not required/ Le traduction n'est pas requise				
☑Fillng Party hereby su La partie déposante ne (Word version of the do	soumet que l'origina	l et sollicite que le Grei	ffe prenne en charge la	a traduction :
English/ Anglais	⊠ Fre Fra	nch/ 🛭 Kinyarwa nçals	nda 🗌 B/C/S	Other/Autre (specify/préciser):
☐ Filing Party hereby s La partie déposante sou				
Original en A		nch/ Kinyarwa nçais nch/ Kinyarwa	_	☐ Other/Autre (specify/préciser) : ☐ Other/Autre
Traduction en Anglais Français (specify/préciser) : ☐ Filing Party will be submitting the translated version(s) in due course in the following language(s)/				
La partie déposante sou English/ Anglais	ımetira ia (les) versi □ Fre França	on(s) traduite(s) sous p nch/	eu, dans la (les) langu	le(s) suivante(s) : ☐ Other/Autre (specify/préciser) :

Send completed transmission sheet to/ Veuillez soumettre cette fiche dûment remplie à : judicialFilingsArusha@un.org OR/OU judicialFilingsArusha@un.org OR/OU judicialFilingsHague@un.org

Rev: April 2014/Rév. : Avril 2014