UNITED NATIONS

International Tribunal for the

Prosecution of Persons

Responsible for Serious Violations of

International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the

Former Yugoslavia since 1991

Date:

Case No.

IT-09-92-T

18 August 2016

Original:

English

IN TRIAL CHAMBER I

Before:

Judge Alphons Orie, Presiding Judge Bakone Justice Moloto Judge Christoph Flügge

Registrar:

Mr John Hocking

Decision of:

18 August 2016

PROSECUTOR

v.

RATKO MLADIĆ

PUBLIC

DECISION ON DEFENCE REQUEST FOR INFORMATION NECESSARY TO ASCERTAIN FAIRNESS OF PROCEEDINGS AND RENEWED REQUEST FOR TRANSPARENCY AS TO CHAMBERS STAFF'S INVOLVEMENT IN CHAMBERS' DECISIONS

Office of the Prosecutor

Mr Peter McCloskey Mr Alan Tieger Counsel for Ratko Mladić

Mr Branko Lukić Mr Miodrag Stojanović **TRIAL CHAMBER I** of the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the Former Yugoslavia since 1991 ("Chamber" and "Tribunal", respectively);

BEING SEISED of the Defence's motion, filed on 20 July 2016 ("Motion"), requesting (1) a list of all personnel, including legal officers and interns, currently working on the case against the Accused, (2) a list of all previous cases in which each of them was involved, (3) information regarding all personnel who worked on the *Karadžić* case, the cases on which they previously worked, and what they are working on now, and (4) undertakings from the two impugned staff members identified in a previous decision of the Chamber that they have approached the evidence in the case of the Accused with no pre-conceived notions as to his guilt;¹

NOTING the Deputy Registrar's submission of 27 July 2016, stating, *inter alia*, that staff members are already required to make a written declaration in accordance with the Staff Rules and Regulations of the United Nations;²

NOTING the Prosecution's response of 3 August 2016, opposing the Motion and submitting that the Chamber already rejected the "request for information regarding the individuals who previously worked on the *Karadžić* case" in a previous decision;³

NOTING the Chamber's decision of 4 July 2016 ("Mistrial Decision"), rejecting the Defence's requests relating to the involvement of Chamber's staff in the *Karadžić* case and holding that the assistance of Chamber's staff "does not influence the decision-making ability of the judges, nor is the previous work of the Impugned Staff on the *Karadžić* case relevant to the judges' impartiality";⁴

CONSIDERING that the Defence has not submitted new facts or circumstances which may give rise to revisiting the Mistrial Decision;

CONSIDERING that the Defence requests information which the Chamber found to be irrelevant;

CONSIDERING that renewing requests is not the appropriate way to challenge a decision;

FOR THE FOREGOING REASONS

Request for Information Necessary to Ascertain Fairness of Proceedings, and Renewed Request for Transparency as to Chambers Staff's Involvement in Chambers' Decisions, 20 July 2016, paras 16-17.

Deputy Registrar's Submission Concerning Claims Advanced by the Defence on 20 July 2016, 26 July 2016, paras 3-4

Prosecution Response to Defence Request for Information Necessary to Ascertain Fairness of Proceedings, and Renewed Request for Transparency as to Chambers Staff's Involvement in Chambers' Decisions, 2 August 2016, para. 1.

PURSUANT TO Rule 54 of the Tribunal's Rules of Procedure and Evidence;

DENIES the Motion.

Done in English and French, the English version being authoritative.

Judge Alphons Orie Presiding Judge

Dated this eighteenth day of August 2016 At The Hague The Netherlands

[Seal of the Tribunal]

Decision on Defence Motion for a Fair Trial and the Presumption of Innocence or, in the Alternative, a Mistrial, 4 July 2016, paras 18-20, 26.