

**UNITED  
NATIONS**



International Tribunal for the  
Prosecution of Persons  
Responsible for Serious Violations of  
International Humanitarian Law  
Committed in the Territory of the  
Former Yugoslavia since 1991

Case No. IT-05-88/2-A  
Date: 24 March 2015  
Original: English

**IN THE APPEALS CHAMBER**

**Before:** Judge Theodor Meron, Presiding  
Judge William H. Sekule  
Judge Patrick Robinson  
Judge Mehmet Güney  
Judge Jean-Claude Antonetti

**Registrar:** Mr. John Hocking

**Decision of :** 24 March 2015

**PROSECUTOR**

v.

**ZDRAVKO TOLIMIR**

***PUBLIC***

---

**DECISION ON TOLIMIR'S REQUEST FOR INSTRUCTIONS  
CONCERNING CHANGES IN THE DESCRIPTION OF  
EXHIBITS**

---

**The Office of the Prosecutor:**

Mr. Kyle Wood  
Mr. Todd Schneider

**Mr. Zdravko Tolimir, *pro se***

**THE APPEALS CHAMBER** of the International Criminal Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the former Yugoslavia since 1991 (“Appeals Chamber” and “Tribunal”, respectively);

**BEING SEISED OF** the “Request to the Appeals Chamber to Issue Instruction Concerning Changes in the Description of Exhibits” filed confidentially by Zdravko Tolimir (“Tolimir”) on 5 March 2015 (“Request”), in which, in response to the Office of the Prosecutor’s (“Prosecution”) informal communication with the Registry of the Tribunal (“Registry”) regarding the change of the description of public exhibits, Tolimir requests the Appeals Chamber to instruct the Registry on the appropriate procedure for changing exhibit descriptions;<sup>1</sup>

**NOTING** Tolimir’s submission that any change in “data contained in the trial record” must be authorised by the Appeals Chamber;<sup>2</sup>


**NOTING** the “Prosecution Notice Regarding Reply to Tolimir Response to Motion to Place Six Public Exhibits Under Seal” filed confidentially by the Prosecution on 11 March 2015 (“Notice”), in which the Prosecution withdraws its request to the Registry to change the description of eleven exhibits and submits that the Request is, therefore, moot;<sup>3</sup>

**CONSIDERING** that the basis for the Request no longer exists since the Prosecution has withdrawn its request to change the descriptions of exhibits;

**HEREBY DISMISSES** the Request as moot.

Done in English and French, the English text being authoritative.

Done this 24th day of March 2015,  
At The Hague,  
The Netherlands.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judge Theodor Meron  
Presiding

[Seal of the Tribunal]

<sup>1</sup> Request, paras 1, 5. The Appeals Chamber notes that while the Request was filed confidentially, there is no confidential information in this decision and, thus, it may be issued publicly.

<sup>2</sup> Request, paras 3-4.

<sup>3</sup> Notice, paras 1-2.