



International Tribunal for the
Prosecution of Persons
Responsible for Serious Violations
of International Humanitarian Law
Committed in the Territory of the
former Yugoslavia since 1991

Case No.: IT-95-5/18-T
Date: 20 October 2014
Original: English

IN THE TRIAL CHAMBER

Before: Judge O-Gon Kwon, Presiding Judge
Judge Howard Morrison
Judge Melville Baird
Judge Flavia Lattanzi, Reserve Judge

Registrar: Mr. John Hocking

Order of: 20 October 2014

PROSECUTOR

v.

RADOVAN KARADŽIĆ

PUBLIC

ORDER FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

Office of the Prosecutor:

Mr. Alan Tieger
Ms. Hildegard Uertz-Retzlaff

The Accused:

Mr. Radovan Karadžić

THIS TRIAL CHAMBER of the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the former Yugoslavia since 1991 (“Tribunal”) is seised of the “Request for Extension of Time” filed by the Office of the Prosecutor (“Prosecution”) on 16 October 2014 (“Request”).

1. On 13 October 2014 the Chamber ordered the Prosecution to file by 27 October 2014 reports with respect to recent disclosure violations.¹ In the Request, the Prosecution seeks an extension of the deadline to file these disclosure reports given that the members of the Prosecution team who have “relevant knowledge” with respect to the issue at hand are on leave.²
2. In order to facilitate the provision of more accurate and comprehensive reports by the Prosecution, the Chamber will grant the requested extension of time.
3. Accordingly, the Trial Chamber, pursuant to Rule 54 of the Tribunal’s Rules of Procedure and Evidence, hereby **GRANTS** the Request and **ORDERS** the Prosecution to file the reports referred to in the Ninety-Third Decision and Ninety-Fourth Decision by 17 November 2014.

Done in English and French, the English text being authoritative.



Judge O-Gon Kwon
Presiding

Dated this twentieth day of October 2014
At The Hague
The Netherlands

[Seal of the Tribunal]

¹ *Confidential* Decision on Accused’s Ninety-Third Disclosure Violation Motion, 13 October 2014 (“Ninety-Third Decision”), paras. 19, 21(b); Decision on Accused’s Ninety-Fourth Disclosure Violation Motion, 13 October 2014 (“Ninety-Fourth Decision”), paras. 16, 19(b).

² Request, para. 1. On 16 October 2014, the Chamber was informed by e-mail that the Accused would not be responding to the Request.