



International Tribunal for the  
Prosecution of Persons  
Responsible for Serious Violations  
of International Humanitarian Law  
Committed in the Territory of the  
former Yugoslavia since 1991

Case No.: IT-95-5/18-T

Date: 1 September 2014

Original: English

---

**IN THE TRIAL CHAMBER**

**Before:** Judge O-Gon Kwon, Presiding Judge  
Judge Howard Morrison  
Judge Melville Baird  
Judge Flavia Lattanzi, Reserve Judge

**Registrar:** Mr. John Hocking

**Decision of:** 1 September 2014

**PROSECUTOR**

**v.**

**RADOVAN KARADŽIĆ**

***CONFIDENTIAL AND EX PARTE***

---

**DECISION ON REQUEST FOR LEAVE TO REPLY: REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF  
REGISTRAR'S IMPLEMENTATION DECISION ON INDIGENCE**

---

**The Accused**

Mr. Radovan Karadžić

**THIS TRIAL CHAMBER** of the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the former Yugoslavia since 1991 (“Tribunal”),

**BEING SEISED OF** the Accused’s “Request for Leave to Reply: Request for Review of Registrar’s Implementation Decision on Indigence”, filed confidentially and *ex parte* on 27 August 2014 (“Request”);

**NOTING** the Accused’s “Request for Review of Registrar’s Decision and Urgent Motion for Stay”, filed confidentially and *ex parte* on 8 August 2014 (“Request for Review”), and the Accused’s “Brief in Support of Request for Review of Registrar’s Implementation Decision on Indigence”, filed confidentially and *ex parte* on 18 August 2014 (“Brief”), wherein the Accused requests that the Trial Chamber review and quash the Registrar’s Decision of 4 August 2014 regarding the Accused’s contribution to the costs of his defence;<sup>1</sup>

**NOTING** the “Registrar’s Submission Regarding Radovan Karadžić’s Request for Review of Registrar’s Decision and Urgent Motion for Stay”, filed confidentially and *ex parte* on 25 August 2014 (“Response to Request for Review”), wherein the Registrar opposes the Request for Review and the Brief;

**NOTING** the “Registrar’s Submission Opposing Karadžić’s Request to Reply”, filed confidentially and *ex parte* on 29 August 2014 (“Response”), wherein the Registrar argues that the Request should be denied;<sup>2</sup>

**NOTING** that, in the Request, the Accused states that in the Response to Request for Review, “the Registrar sets out its [*sic*] position on the Trial Chamber’s jurisdiction for the first time” and that the Trial Chamber would benefit from hearing his position on this issue;<sup>3</sup>

**NOTING** that the Accused further claims that the Registrar also “asserts for the first time that the Registrar lacked the discretion to spread the contribution of the accused over a period of time” and that the Chamber would benefit from hearing the Accused’s position on this issue as well;<sup>4</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Request for Review, paras. 1, 6, 21; Brief, paras. 1, 6, 38.

<sup>2</sup> Response, paras. 2–4.

<sup>3</sup> Request, para. 2.

<sup>4</sup> Request, para. 3.

**NOTING** that in the Response, the Registrar argues that the Request should be denied because the Accused has had the opportunity to address both of the issues the Accused refers to in the Request;<sup>5</sup>

**CONSIDERING** that the issues the Accused and the Registrar raise are not new and that the Accused was invited to and had ample opportunity to discuss them in his Request for Review and supporting Brief, the Chamber will not be aided by hearing further from the Accused;

**PURSUANT TO** Rule 54 of the Tribunal's Rules of Procedure and Evidence ("Rules"),

**HEREBY DENIES** the Request.

Done in English and French, the English text being authoritative.



---

Judge O-Gon Kwon  
Presiding Judge

Dated this first day of September 2014  
At The Hague  
The Netherlands

**[Seal of the Tribunal]**

---

<sup>5</sup> Response, paras. 2–4.