

IT-09-92-T
D74522-D74511
02 December 2013

74522
MR



International Tribunal for the
Prosecution of Persons
Responsible for Serious Violations of
International Humanitarian Law
Committed in the Territory of the
Former Yugoslavia since 1991

Case No. IT-09-92-T
Date: 2 December 2013
Original: English

IN TRIAL CHAMBER I

Before: Judge Alphons Orie, Presiding
Judge Bakone Justice Moloto
Judge Christoph Flügge

Registrar: Mr John Hocking

Decision of: 2 December 2013

PROSECUTOR

v.

RATKO MLADIĆ

PUBLIC

**DECISION ON PROSECUTION 29th MOTION TO ADMIT
EVIDENCE PURSUANT TO RULE 92 *BIS***

Office of the Prosecutor

Mr Dermot Groome
Mr Peter McCloskey

Counsel for Ratko Mladić

Mr Branko Lukić
Mr Miodrag Stojanović

I. PROCEDURAL HISTORY AND SUBMISSIONS OF THE PARTIES

1. On 14 June 2013, the Prosecution filed a motion (“Motion”) tendering statements, transcript excerpts, and associated exhibits for witnesses Munevera Avdić¹, Adil Draganović, Mirzet Karabeg, Charles McLeod, Nicolas Sebire, Witness RM-008,² Witness RM-017, Witness RM-023, Witness RM-033, and Witness RM-065 (“Witnesses”) pursuant to Rule 92 *bis* of the Tribunal’s Rules of Procedure and Evidence (“Rules”).³ On 9 July 2013, the Defence requested a 45-day extension to respond to the Motion, which the Chamber granted.⁴ On 23 August 2013, the Defence filed its response (“Response”), objecting to the admission of the evidence in its entirety.⁵

II. APPLICABLE LAW

2. The Chamber recalls and refers to the applicable law governing the admission of evidence pursuant to Rule 92 *bis* of the Rules and to the applicable law governing additions to the Rule 65 *ter* exhibit list, as set out in previous decisions.⁶ With regard to the applicable law related to the admission of associated exhibits, the Chamber further recalls and refers to one of its previous decisions dealing with this matter.⁷

III. DISCUSSION

(a) Preliminary Matters

3. Considering that the Motion covers ten witnesses, the Chamber grants the Prosecution request to exceed the word limit in its Motion.⁸

4. The Chamber notes that two of the associated exhibits have already been admitted by this Chamber and considers the Motion moot in so far as these exhibits are concerned.⁹

¹ The Chamber notes that it denied a motion for protective measures for this witness on 19 July 2013.

² The Chamber notes that the Rule 92 *bis* package of Witness RM-008 in Annex B to the Motion includes a photograph identical to the tendered associated photograph bearing Rule 65 *ter* no. 28947. The Chamber considers this as an oversight and will deal with the picture only with respect to its admissibility as an associated exhibit.

³ Prosecution 29th Motion to Admit Evidence Pursuant to Rule 92*bis*, 14 June 2013 (Confidential). The Chamber refers to the Motion for the Prosecution submissions.

⁴ T. 13979, 14118, 14506.

⁵ Defence Response to Prosecution 29th Motion to Admit Evidence Pursuant to Rule 92*bis*, 23 August 2013 (Confidential). The Chamber refers to the Response for the Defence submissions.

⁶ Decision on Prosecution Third Motion to Admit Evidence Pursuant to Rule 92 *bis*: Sarajevo Witnesses, 19 October 2012 (“Decision on Third 92 *bis* Motion”), paras 5-7; Decision on Prosecution Second Motion to Amend Rule 65 *ter* Exhibit List, 27 June 2012, paras 5-6.

⁷ Decision on Prosecution Motion to Admit the Evidence of Witness RM-266 Pursuant to Rule 92 *quater*, 23 July 2012, para. 13. See also T. 5601-5604; Decision on Prosecution’s Motion for Reconsideration, Granting Admission from the Bar Table, or Certification in relation to Decision Regarding Associated Exhibits of Witness Tucker, 7 February 2013, para. 8.

⁸ Motion, para. 90.

(b) Compliance with Guidance

5. For most of the Witnesses, the Prosecution tenders limited transcript portions of prior testimonies, which supplement their statements. The Chamber notes that witnesses McLeod and Sebire have not provided written statements and that Witness RM-033 has provided but not signed a written statement. The Chamber further notes that the Prosecution seeks to tender limited portions of prior testimony of these three witnesses instead. In light of this, the Chamber finds that the tendering of these transcripts is in line with the Chamber's guidance concerning the preference for statements over transcripts.¹⁰

6. As to the number of tendered associated exhibits, the Chamber observes that the Prosecution tenders a large number of associated exhibits for four of the Witnesses.¹¹ However, considering the uncomplicated nature and the short length of most of these exhibits – sketches, photographs, certificates, maps, etc. – the Chamber considers that this tendering complies with its guidance.

(c) Additions to the Prosecution's Rule 65 ter Exhibit List

7. The Chamber has reviewed the pseudonym sheets from previous cases for Witness RM-023 and Witness RM-065 as well as a total of ten substantive documents related to the evidence of five of the Witnesses,¹² for which the Prosecution seeks leave to add them to its Rule 65 *ter* exhibit list. These documents relate to the municipalities part of the case and are thus *prima facie* relevant to and probative of crimes charged in the Indictment. With the exception of one photograph,¹³ for which the Prosecution submits that it was marked by Witness RM-033 only in May 2013, the Prosecution has not shown good cause for the addition of the documents at such an advanced stage of the proceedings. However, considering the concise and uncomplicated nature of the documents, that are mostly sketches, photographs, and the aforementioned pseudonym sheets, the Chamber is satisfied that the addition of the documents does not unduly burden the Defence. The Chamber finds, therefore, that it is consistent with the interests of justice to add all 13 documents to the Prosecution's Rule 65 *ter* exhibit list.

8. As the tendered tables of concordance for seven of the Witnesses¹⁴ merely serve the purpose of assisting the Chamber, and considering that they do not contain any substantive evidence, the

⁹ For Rule 65 *ter* no. 10901 see Decision on Prosecution 27th Motion to Admit Evidence Pursuant to Rule 92*bis*, 8 November 2013. Rule 65 *ter* no. 19953 has been admitted as P2495.

¹⁰ See T. 106-110, 137-138, 194, 315-325, 525-532.

¹¹ Witnesses Draganović, McLeod, Sebire, and Witness RM-023.

¹² Witness Avdić, Witness RM-008, Witness RM-017, Witness RM-023, and Witness RM-033.

¹³ Rule 65 *ter* no. 28959.

¹⁴ Witnesses Karabeg, McLeod, Sebire, Witness RM-017, Witness RM-023, Witness RM-033, and Witness RM-065.

Chamber finds that it is also consistent with the interests of justice to add them to the Prosecution's Rule 65 *ter* exhibit list.

(d) Admission of the Witnesses' Evidence

9. The Chamber will now assess the admissibility of the Witnesses' evidence in written form under Rule 92 *bis* of the Rules.

i. Admissibility Pursuant to Rule 89 (C) of the Rules

10. Having reviewed the tendered statements and transcripts of the Witnesses, the Chamber notes that they appear to provide information on events related to the charged incidents in Banja Luka, Kotor Varoš, Prijedor, and Sanski Most. The Chamber further notes that some of the Witnesses report on events in various detention camps, including Keraterm,¹⁵ Manjača,¹⁶ Omarska,¹⁷ and Trnopolje.¹⁸ The Chamber therefore finds that all tendered witness statements and transcripts are relevant to Counts 1 and 3-8 of the Indictment.

11. With regard to probative value, the Chamber notes that the excerpts of the testimonies selected by the Prosecution appear to be internally consistent and to be presented in a coherent manner. As to the Defence objection that parts of the tendered material contain hearsay evidence, the Chamber again recalls that hearsay evidence is, in principle, admissible in proceedings before the Tribunal and that the weight to be attributed to it by the Chamber will be assessed in light of all the evidence before it.¹⁹ With regard to the Defence objection that some of the Witnesses gave incorrect information in prior statements affecting the proffered statements' reliability and credibility, the Chamber observes that the respective witnesses clarify or correct minor details of the proffered evidence which does not affect its consistency and coherence. The Defence further objects to the admission of certain portions of the tendered statements and testimonies as containing improper expert opinions. In this regard, the Chamber refers to and incorporates its previous reasoning concerning proposed fact witnesses providing conclusions or opinions.²⁰ The Chamber reiterates that it will carefully review the claims of fact witnesses and their sources of knowledge.

¹⁵ Rule 92 *bis* packages of Witness Sebire, Witness RM-008, Witness RM-023, and Witness RM-033, in Annex B to the Motion.

¹⁶ Rule 92 *bis* packages of Witnesses Draganović, Karabeg, McLeod, and Witness RM-023, in Annex B to the Motion.

¹⁷ Rule 92 *bis* packages of Witness Sebire, Witness RM-017, Witness RM-023, Witness RM-033, and Witness RM-065, in Annex B to the Motion.

¹⁸ Rule 92 *bis* packages of Witness McLeod, Witness RM-008, Witness RM-017, and Witness RM-023, Annex B to the Motion.

¹⁹ See Decision on Prosecution's Twelfth Motion to Admit Evidence Pursuant to Rule 92 *bis*, 18 September 2013, para. 14 and sources cited therein.

With respect to the Defence objection that “significant selections” of witness McLeod’s testimony consist of leading questions which make it inappropriate for admission, the Chamber notes that the provided example deals with introductory questions about the witness’s background. In light of this and in the absence of any other specific objections, the Chamber finds this Defence argument to be without merit. For the foregoing reasons, the Chamber is satisfied that all tendered statements and transcripts are of probative value and, therefore, admissible under Rule 89 (C) of the Rules.

ii. Admissibility Pursuant to Rule 92 bis of the Rules

12. None of the seven witness statements were submitted with the corresponding attestations and declarations in accordance with Rule 92 bis of the Rules. However, witness Karabeg attested to her statements in the *Krajišnik* case.²¹ In this context, the Chamber recalls its finding that such an in-court attestation is sufficient to meet the requirement of Rule 92 bis (B) of the Rules.²² With regard to the remaining statements,²³ the Chamber observes that unattested witness statements have been conditionally admitted by this Chamber pending their formal attestation pursuant to Rule 92 bis (B) of the Rules.²⁴ In line with this practice, the Chamber will conditionally admit the unattested witness statements, pending the filing of the required attestations and declarations, provided that all other admissibility requirements are met.

13. The Chamber notes that the tendered Rule 92 bis packages of the Witnesses do not relate to the acts and conduct of the Accused, but instead to the crime-base part of the case. In addition, the Chamber considers the material to be of a largely cumulative nature with evidence the Chamber has received or anticipates to receive from other witnesses.²⁵ The Defence objects to the admission of

²⁰ Decision with regard to Prosecution Motion for Admission into Evidence of Witness Harland’s Statement and Associated Documents, 3 July 2012, para. 8.

²¹ *Prosecutor v. Momčilo Krajišnik*, Case No. IT-00-39, T. 2749-2750.

²² Decision on Prosecution Fourth Motion to Admit Evidence Pursuant to Rule 92bis: Hostage Witnesses, 19 October 2012, para. 7.

²³ Included in the Rule 92 bis packages of witnesses Avdić, Draganović, Witness RM-008, Witness RM-017, Witness RM-023, and Witness RM-065, in Annex B to the Motion.

²⁴ Decision on Third 92 bis Motion, para. 27 and references cited therein.

²⁵ The proffered evidence of Avdić is cumulative to oral evidence received from witness Pašić, Witness RM-009, and Witness RM-802. The proffered evidence of witness Draganović is cumulative to oral evidence received from witnesses Medić and Selak, Witness RM-010, Witness RM-018, and Witness RM-051. The proffered evidence of witness Karabeg is cumulative to oral evidence received from witnesses Begić, Medić, Selak, Witness RM-015, and Witness RM-018. The proffered evidence of witness McLeod is cumulative to oral evidence received from witnesses Medić, Sejmenović, Selak, Witness RM-018, and Witness RM-051. The proffered evidence of witness Sebire is cumulative to oral evidence received from witnesses Sivac, Taci, and Tabeau. The proffered evidence of Witness RM-008 is cumulative to oral evidence received from witnesses Merdzanić, Sejmenović, and Sivac. The proffered evidence of Witness RM-017 is cumulative to oral evidence received from witnesses Medić, Merdzanić, Sejmenović, Sivac, Witness RM-018, and Witness RM-051. The proffered evidence of Witness RM-023 is cumulative to oral evidence received from witnesses Atlija, Karagić, Merdzanić, Taci, and Sejmenović. The proffered evidence of Witness RM-033 is cumulative to oral evidence received from witnesses Merdzanić, Sivac, Sejmenović, Taci, and Vulliamy. Finally, the proffered evidence of Witness RM-065 is cumulative to oral evidence received from witnesses Medić, Merdzanić, Sejmenović, Witness RM-018, and Witness RM-051.

the proposed testimony on the basis that the tendered excerpts do not include any of the questions put to the witnesses in cross-examination. However, the Chamber notes that the Defence has neither alleged that the excerpt is unreliable nor has it provided any examples from cross-examination which demonstrate its unreliability. With regard to the Defence’s objection that the admission of the transcript evidence without cross-examination is prejudicial to the Accused, the Chamber notes that the Defence has not identified any portions of the cross-examination that it would like to have admitted.²⁶ The Chamber sees no need to require the Witnesses to appear for cross-examination. The Chamber therefore concludes that the evidence is admissible under Rule 92 *bis* of the Rules.

iii. Associated Exhibits

14. The Chamber notes that all associated exhibits were discussed in the respective witnesses’ statements or testimony. Considering that each exhibit is necessary to properly understand that evidence, the Chamber finds that each forms an inseparable and indispensable part of that evidence. In light of this, the Chamber decides to admit into evidence all tendered documents associated to the Witnesses’ statements or transcripts.

IV. DISPOSITION

15. For the foregoing reasons, pursuant to Rules 54, 73, 89 (C), and 92 *bis* of the Rules, the Chamber

GRANTS the Prosecution request to exceed the word limit in the Motion;

GRANTS the Motion **IN PART**;

With respect to:

(i) *Munevera Avdić*

GRANTS LEAVE to add the documents bearing Rule 65 *ter* numbers 28969 and 28970 to the Prosecution’s Rule 65 *ter* exhibit list;

CONDITIONALLY ADMITS into evidence, pending the filing of a corresponding attestation and declaration in compliance with the requirements of Rule 92 *bis* (B) of the Rules

²⁶ The Chamber earlier clarified that the Defence should indicate in its response to a motion whether any additional portions, for example of cross-examination, should be admitted for contextual purposes, see T. 5406-5408, 7895.

- a) the witness's statement, dated 14 May 2013, bearing ERNs 0685-5795-0685-5799 and 0685-5795-0685-5799-BCST; and
- b) two associated exhibits, bearing Rule 65 *ter* numbers 28969 and 28970;

(ii) *Adil Draganović*

CONDITIONALLY ADMITS into evidence, pending the filing of a corresponding attestations and declarations in compliance with the requirements of Rule 92 *bis* (B) of the Rules

- a) the witness's statement, dated 29-30 October 1999, bearing ERNs 0087-3132-0087-3149 and 0110-6244-0110-6262;
- b) the witness's redacted statement, dated 3 and 4-7 July 2000, bearing ERNs 0100-5247-0100-5270 and 0190-5258-0190-5284;
- c) the witness's statement, dated 5-6 October 2000, bearing ERNs 0104-8664-0104-8669 and 0190-5097-0190-5101; and
- d) eight associated exhibits, bearing Rule 65 *ter* numbers 6368, 6386, 6389, 12527, 12728, 12783, 12817, and 28393;

(iii) *Mirzet Karabeg*

GRANTS LEAVE to add the document bearing Rule 65 *ter* number 28964 to the Prosecution's Rule 65 *ter* exhibit list;

ADMITS into evidence

- a) the witness's statement, dated 24-25 July 1999, bearing ERNs 0083-8018-0083-8031 and 0091-8150-0091-8163;
- b) the witness's statement, dated 23 May 2002, bearing ERNs 0219-8187-0219-8190 and 0219-8187-0219-8190-BCSDT;
- c) the excerpts of the witness's testimony in the *Brđanin* case, Case No. IT-99-36, T. 6070:21-6074:3, 6076:5-6079:16, 6086:9-6088:2, 6093:21-6095:12, 6099:8-6100:25, 6102:5-6103:8, 6133:12-6134:12, 6138:11-6139:14, 6151:7-6152:20, 6154:12-6155:22, 6159:8-6160:12, 6162:23-6168:17, 6168:22-6175:14, 6176:8-6176:25, 6180:10-6183:6, 6184:1-6185:4, 6186:13-6189:21;

- d) the excerpts of the witness's testimony in the *Karadžić* case, Case No. IT-95-5/18-T, T. 18700:1-18708:23;
- e) the excerpts of the witness's testimony in the *Krajišnik* case, Case No. IT-00-39-T, T. 2750:2-2750:19; and
- f) six associated exhibits, bearing Rule 65 *ter* numbers 2998, 6549, 6614, 6630, 6701 and 28964;

(iv) *Charles McLeod*

GRANTS LEAVE to add the document bearing Rule 65 *ter* number 28965 to the Prosecution's Rule 65 *ter* exhibit list;

ADMITS into evidence

- a) the excerpts of the testimony of the witness in the *Brđanin* case, Case No. IT-99-36, T. 7279:15-7300:16, 7301:14-7312:10, 7314:1-7329:1, 7330:2-7330:17, 7331:7-7335:23, 7356:15-7358:5, 7363:1-7378:16, 7385:24-7388:19, 7393:8-7396:7, 7399:9-7400:12, 7409:8-7410:23, 7413:5-7416:18; and
- b) twelve associated exhibits, bearing Rule 65 *ter* numbers 6972, 7020, 14949, 14957, 14971, 15859, 15861, 15862, 15863, 15864, 17913, and 28965;

(v) *Nicolas Sebire*

GRANTS LEAVE to add the document bearing Rule 65 *ter* numbers 28987 to the Prosecution's Rule 65 *ter* exhibit list;

ADMITS into evidence

- a) the excerpts of the witness's testimony in the *Stakić* case, Case No. IT-97-24, T. 7330:9-7349:22, 7350:9-7351:2, 7351:9-7351:25, 7354:1-7354:21, 7355:1-7359:10, 7361:3-7363:5, 7363:23-7366:25, 7368:1-7373:25, 7375:1-7375:11, 7376:21-7379:2, 7421:2-7422:4, 7431:1-7432:24, 8857:3-8859:7, 8879:1-8880:16;
- b) the excerpts of the witness's testimony in the *Brđanin* case, Case No. IT-99-36, T. 16669:19-16670:9, 16681:14-16683:7, 16684:5-16685:8, 16687:16-16687:23, 16689:21-16692:25, 16694:4-16697:22, 16699:12-16699:21, 16704:2-16705:13, 16708:15-16709:5, 16717:12-16720:10, 16732:13-16737:20, 17435:2-17437:14;

- c) eleven associated exhibits, bearing Rule 65 *ter* numbers 6975, 12537, 12538, 12784, 17412, 17446, 17448A, 17504, 17506, 17507, and 28987; and

ADMITS into evidence **UNDER SEAL** two associated exhibits, bearing Rule 65 *ter* numbers 6372A and 26260A;

(vi) *Witness RM-008*

GRANTS LEAVE to add the documents bearing Rule 65 *ter* numbers 28946 and 28947 to the Prosecution's Rule 65 *ter* exhibit list;

CONDITIONALLY ADMITS into evidence, **UNDER SEAL**, pending the filing of a corresponding attestation and declaration in compliance with the requirements of Rule 92 *bis* (B) of the Rules

- a) the witness's statement, dated 15-16 May 2013, bearing ERNs 0685-5779-0685-5793 and 0685-5779-0685-5793-BCST;
- b) two associated exhibits, bearing Rule 65 *ter* numbers 28946 and 28947; and

CONDITIONALLY ADMITS into evidence, pending the filing of a corresponding attestation and declaration in compliance with the requirements of Rule 92 *bis* (B) of the Rules one associated document, bearing Rule 65 *ter* number 28198;

(vii) *Witness RM-017*

GRANTS LEAVE to add the documents bearing Rule 65 *ter* numbers 28948 and 28949 to the Prosecution's Rule 65 *ter* exhibit list;

CONDITIONALLY ADMITS into evidence, **UNDER SEAL**, pending the filing of a corresponding attestation and declaration in compliance with the requirements of Rule 92 *bis* (B) of the Rules

- a) the witness's statement, dated 26 and 30 August 1994, bearing ERNs 0017-4125-0017-4155 and 0067-3881-0667-3899;
- b) two associated exhibits, bearing Rule 65 *ter* numbers 28948-28949;

ADMITS into evidence **UNDER SEAL** the excerpts of the witness's testimony in the *Stakić* case, Case No. IT-97-24, T. 3328:7-3347:8, 3350:1-3351:24, 3357:16-3359:22, 3365:2-3367:19,

3373:14-3375:11, 3376:24-3377:7, 3382:8-3383:6, 3386:4-3390:1, 3404:15-3407:5, 3502:23²⁷-3508:24, 3510:2-3510:25; and

ADMITS into evidence three associated exhibits, bearing Rule 65 *ter* numbers 10910, 10911, and 14167;

(viii) *Witness RM-023*

GRANTS LEAVE to add the documents bearing Rule 65 *ter* numbers 28952-28958 to the Prosecution's Rule 65 *ter* exhibit list;

CONDITIONALLY ADMITS into evidence, **UNDER SEAL**, pending the filing of a corresponding attestation and declaration in compliance with the requirements of Rule 92 *bis* (B) of the Rules

- a) the witness's statement, dated 24-25 August 2000, bearing ERNs 0103-5167-0103-5185 and 0300-2397-0300-2415;
- b) six associated exhibits, bearing Rule 65 *ter* numbers 14229, 14231, 18205, 28952, 28953, and 28954;

CONDITIONALLY ADMITS into evidence pending the filing of a corresponding attestation and declaration in compliance with the requirements of Rule 92 *bis* (B) of the Rules one associated document, bearing Rule 65 *ter* number 28958;

ADMITS into evidence **UNDER SEAL**

- a) the excerpts of the witness's prior testimony in the *Stakić* case, Case No. IT-97-24, T. 3937:8-3950:5, 3953:22-3956:10, 3966:25-3979:17, 3989:12-3989:21, 3991:3-3993:14, 3994:15-3994:23, 4009:8-4011:23;
- b) the excerpts of the witness's prior testimony in the *Stanišić & Župljanin* case, Case No. IT-08-91, T. 16126:10-16127:22, 16129:20-16131:16, 16134:12-16136:6;
- c) two associated exhibits, bearing Rule 65 *ter* numbers 28955 and 28956; and

²⁷ The Chamber notes that according to Annex A of the Motion, the Prosecution requests the admission of the witness's testimony from T. 3502:24 rather than from line 23 of this page. Considering that this line was not redacted in the provided 92 *bis* package of Witness RM-017 and contains only one editorial comment by CMSS, the Chamber is satisfied that a further redaction is not necessary.

ADMITS into evidence two associated exhibits, bearing Rule 65 *ter* numbers 28957 and 22594B;

(ix) *Witness RM-033*

GRANTS LEAVE to add the documents bearing Rule 65 *ter* numbers 28959 and 28963 to the Prosecution's Rule 65 *ter* exhibit list;

ADMITS into evidence **UNDER SEAL** the excerpts of the witness's testimony in the *Kvočka et al.* case, Case No. IT-99-30/1, T. 6189:15-6217:7, 6226:1-6255:25; and

ADMITS into evidence the associated exhibits, bearing Rule 65 *ter* numbers 13893-13895, 28959, and 28963;

(x) *Witness RM-065*

GRANTS LEAVE to add the documents bearing Rule 65 *ter* numbers 28936 and 28968 to the Prosecution's Rule 65 *ter* exhibit list;

CONDITIONALLY ADMITS into evidence, **UNDER SEAL**, pending the filing of the corresponding attestations and declarations in compliance with the requirements of Rule 92 *bis* (B) of the Rules

- a) the witness's statement, dated 20-22 March 1995, bearing ERNs 0030-7275-0030-7320 and 0067-5948-0067-5981;
- b) the witness's statement, dated 28 August 2000, bearing ERNs 0103-0544-0103-5550 and 0103-5369-0103-5374;
- c) one associated document, bearing Rule 65 *ter* number 28968;

CONDITIONALLY ADMITS into evidence pending the filing of the corresponding attestations and declarations in compliance with the requirements of Rule 92 *bis* (B) of the Rules two associated exhibits, bearing Rule 65 *ter* numbers 3465 and 22389;

ADMITS into evidence **UNDER SEAL**

- a) the excerpts of the witness's testimony in the *Tadić* case, Case No. IT-94-1, T. 1241:17-1244:1, 1248:2-1249:5, 1254:20-1256:9, 1260:4-1261:7, 1261:14-1261:22, 1262:3-1264:9, 1267:5-1267:17, 1271:7-1272:6, 1276:9-1278:8, 1281:17-1282:1, 1283:1-1284:3, 1285:5-1291:20, 1292:21-1299:25, 1302:14-1305:16;

b) the excerpts of the witness's testimony in the *Karadžić* case, Case No. IT-95-5/18-I, T. 10307:2-10307:11, 10315:08-10317:14, 10325:6-10326:1, 10429:10-10430:25;

c) two associated exhibits, bearing Rule 65 *ter* numbers 18555 and 28936, and


ADMITS into evidence two associated exhibits, bearing Rule 65 *ter* numbers 22233 and 22307; and

INSTRUCTS the Prosecution to file the corresponding attestations and declarations to the statements of witnesses Avdić, Draganović, Witness RM-008, Witness RM-017, Witness RM-023, and Witness RM-065, within four weeks of the filing of this decision;

INSTRUCTS the Prosecution to upload into eCourt all admitted documents within two weeks of the date of issue of this decision; and

REQUESTS the Registry to assign exhibit numbers to the documents admitted and inform the parties and the Chamber of the numbers so assigned.

Done in English and in French, the English version being authoritative.



Judge Alphons Orié
Presiding Judge

Dated this Second day of December 2013
At The Hague
The Netherlands

[Seal of the Tribunal]