IT-04-75-T D9806 - D9803 16 January 2013

UNITED **NATIONS**

9806

SF

International Tribunal for the **Prosecution of Persons** Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the former Yugoslavia since 1991

Case No. IT-04-75-T Date: 16 January 2013 English Original:

IN THE TRIAL CHAMBER

Before:	Judge Guy Delvoie, Presiding Judge Burton Hall Judge Antoine Kesia-Mbe Mindua
Registrar:	Mr. John Hocking
Decision:	16 January 2013
	PROSECUTOR

v.

GORAN HADŽIĆ

PUBLIC

DECISION ON PROSECUTION MOTION FOR ADMISSION OF EVIDENCE OF GH-025 PURSUANT TO RULE 92 ter

The Office of the Prosecutor: Mr. Douglas Stringer

Counsel for Goran Hadžić:

Mr. Zoran Živanović Mr. Christopher Gosnell 1. **THIS TRIAL CHAMBER** of the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the former Yugoslavia since 1991 ("Tribunal") is seised of the "Prosecution Motion for Admission of Evidence Pursuant to Rule 92 *ter* (GH-025)", filed confidentially with a confidential annex on 19 December 2012 ("Motion").

A. <u>Submissions</u>

2. In the Motion, the Prosecution requests the admission of the evidence of GH-025, pursuant to Rule 92 *ter* of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence of the Tribunal ("Rules"), arguing that the evidence is probative, relevant, and reliable and meets the requirements for admission under that Rule.¹ The Prosecution submits that admitting the evidence in this manner will enable it to present its case-in-chief in an efficient and expeditious manner, without compromising the fairness of the proceedings.² The Prosecution requests the admission of a two-page supplemental statement (Rule 65 *ter* 05976) tendered to clarify matters contained within the witness's original 2003 statement.³

3. The Defence indicated that it would make no submissions in relation to the Motion.⁴

B. Applicable Law

4. The main objective of Rule 92 *ter*—entitled "Other Admission of Written Statements and Transcripts"—is to ensure an effective and expeditious trial, while simultaneously ensuring and respecting the rights of the accused. The jurisprudence of the Tribunal has applied the Rule as permitting, by necessary inference,⁵ the admission of exhibits where they accompany written statements or transcripts and form an "inseparable and indispensable" part of the evidence.⁶ In order to satisfy this requirement, the document must be one without which the witness's testimony would become incomprehensible or of lesser probative value.⁷ Moreover, the evidence sought to be

¹ Motion, paras 1, 3-4.

² Motion, para. 1.

³ Motion, paras 4, 6.

⁴ Email from the Defence to the Trial Chamber, 2 January 2013.

⁵ Prosecutor v. Stanišić and Župljanin, Case No. IT-08-91-T, Decision on Prosecution's Motions for Admission of Evidence Pursuant to Rule 92 ter (ST012 and ST019), 29 September 2009 (confidential) ("Stanišić and Župljanin Decision"), para. 18; Prosecutor v. Prlić et al., Case No. IT-04-74-T, Decision on the Application of Rule 92 ter of the Rules, 25 June 2007, p. 2; Prosecutor v. Delić, Case No. IT-04-83-T, Decision on Prosecution Motion to Admit Written Witness Statements under Rule 92 ter, 27 September 2007, para. 10.

⁶ Stanišić and Župljanin Decision, para. 18; Prosecutor v. Lukić and Lukić, Case No. IT-98-32/1-T, Decision on Confidential Prosecution Motion for the Admission of Prior Testimony with Associated Exhibits and Written Statements of Witnesses Pursuant to Rule 92 ter, 9 July 2008 ("Lukić and Lukić Decision"), para. 15; Prosecutor v. Ljubičić, Case No. IT-00-41-PT, Decision on Prosecution's Motion for Admission of Transcripts Pursuant to Rule 92 bis (D) of the Rules, 23 January 2004, p. 3; Prosecutor v. Dordević, Case No. IT-05-87/1-T, Decision on Prosecution's Motion for Admission of Evidence Pursuant to Rule 92 ter, 10 February 2009 ("Dordević Decision"), para. 5.

⁷ Stanišić and Župljanin Decision, para. 18; Lukić and Lukić Decision, para. 15; Prosecutor v. Stanišić and Simatović, Case No. IT-03-69-T, Decision on Prosecution's Motion for the Admission of Written Evidence of Witness Slobodan

admitted, whether a written statement or a transcript of oral testimony, must fulfil the general requirements of admissibility of Rule 89(C): the proposed evidence must be relevant and have probative value.⁸

C. Discussion

5. GH-025's proposed Rule 92 *ter* statement contains information about (a) the alleged arming and outfitting of Serb forces; (b) the formation, training, and structure of military and paramilitary groups; (c) alleged interactions and coordination between military and paramilitary groups; (d) alleged actions of members of the alleged joint criminal enterprise ("JCE"); and (e) alleged crimes committed against non-Serbs in Đeletovci, Ilača, Tovarnik, and Vukovar, as well as at Ovčara and Velepromet detention facilities. The Trial Chamber will additionally consider Rule 65 *ter* 05976, which supplements GH-025's original witness statement. The Trial Chamber finds that the evidence is relevant, has probative value, and is appropriate for admission pursuant to Rules 89(C) and 92 *ter*.

D. Disposition

6. Accordingly, the Trial Chamber, pursuant to Rules 54, 89(C), and 92 *ter* of the Rules, hereby

(a) **DECIDES** that the evidence of GH-025 is appropriate for admission into evidence; and

Lazarević Pursuant to Rule 92 ter with Confidential Annex, 16 May 2008, para. 19; *Prosecutor v. Haraqija and Morina*, Case No. IT-04-84-R77.4, Decision on Prosecution Motion for Admission of Evidence Pursuant to Rule 92 bis and/or 92 ter, 2 September 2008 ("Haraqija and Morina Decision"), para. 12; *Dordević* Decision, para. 5.

⁸ Stanišić and Župljanin Decision, para. 19; Lukić and Lukić Decision, para. 20; *Dorđević* Decision, para. 6; *Haraqija* and Morina Decision, para. 13.

(b) **INFORMS** the parties that the Trial Chamber will make a final decision on whether to admit the evidence, if the conditions set forth in Rule 92 *ter* have been fulfilled, when the witness gives evidence in these proceedings.

Done in English and French, the English text being authoritative.

Done this sixteenth day of January 2013, At The Hague, The Netherlands.

Judge Guy Delvoie Presiding

[Seal of the Tribunal]