

**UNITED  
NATIONS**



International Tribunal for the  
Prosecution of Persons  
Responsible for Serious Violations of  
International Humanitarian Law  
Committed in the Territory of the  
former Yugoslavia since 1991

Case No. IT-04-75-PT  
Date: 31 August 2012  
Original: English

---

**IN THE TRIAL CHAMBER**

**Before:** Judge Guy Delvoie, Pre-Trial Judge  
**Registrar:** Mr. John Hocking  
**Decision:** 31 August 2012

**PROSECUTOR**

**v.**

**GORAN HADŽIĆ**

**PUBLIC**

---

**DECISION ON DEFENCE REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO  
RESPOND TO RULE 92 *QUATER* MOTIONS  
CONCERNING BABIĆ AND OKUN**

---

**The Office of the Prosecutor:**

Mr. Douglas Stringer

**Counsel for Goran Hadžić:**

Mr. Zoran Živanović

Mr. Christopher Gosnell

**I, Guy Delvoie**, Judge of the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the former Yugoslavia since 1991 (“Tribunal”) and Pre-Trial Judge in the present case, am seised of the “Request for Extension of Time to Respond to the Rule 92 *quater* Motions Concerning Babić and Okun” filed confidentially by Goran Hadžić (“Hadžić”) on 31 August 2012 (“Motion”).

1. In the Motion, Hadžić argues that the material tendered for admission by the Prosecution in relation to Herbert Okun and Milan Babić, pursuant to Rule 92 *quater* of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence of the Tribunal (“Rules”), is exceptionally voluminous because it includes hundreds of pages of transcripts and over a hundred exhibits.<sup>1</sup> Hadžić argues that he has been working diligently to comply with deadlines in respect of the motions relating to Herbert Okun and Milan Babić as well as the Prosecution’s omnibus Rule 92 *quater* and Rule 92 *bis* motions, which were all filed simultaneously.<sup>2</sup> Hadžić submits that he has shown good cause for the requested extension and requests a one week extension of time to respond to the Prosecution’s motions for admission of materials related to Herbert Okun and Milan Babić.<sup>3</sup>

2. The Prosecution has indicated that it does not oppose the Motion.<sup>4</sup>

3. Having considered the arguments of Hadžić and the lack of opposition from the Prosecution, I find that good cause has been shown for the requested extension.

---


<sup>1</sup> Motion, para. 2.

<sup>2</sup> Motion, paras 3-4. *See also*, Prosecution Omnibus Motion for Admission of Evidence Pursuant to Rule 92 *bis* (with confidential annex), 21 August 2012; Prosecution Omnibus Motion for Admission of Evidence Pursuant to Rule 92 *quater* (with confidential and confidential and *ex parte* annexes), 21 August 2012; Prosecution Motion for the Admission of Evidence Pursuant to Rule 92 *quater* (Milan Babić) (with confidential annex A and public annexes B-C), 21 August 2012; Prosecution Motion for the Admission of Evidence Pursuant to Rule 92 *quater* (Herbert Okun) (with confidential annex A, public annexes B-C, and confidential and *ex parte* annex D), 21 August 2012.

4. Accordingly, I, pursuant to Rules 54, 126 *bis*, and 127 of the Rules, hereby **GRANT** the Motion and **ORDER** Hadžić to submit his responses the motions relating to Herbert Okun and Milan Babić by no later than 11 September 2012.

Done in English and French, the English text being authoritative.

Done this thirty-first day of August 2012,  
At The Hague,  
The Netherlands.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judge Guy Delvoie  
Pre-Trial Judge

[Seal of the Tribunal]

---

<sup>3</sup> Motion, paras 1, 4.

<sup>4</sup> Email from Prosecution to Legal Officer, 31 August 2012.