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THIS TRIAL CHAMBER of the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the former Yugoslavia since 1991 ("Tribunal"),

NOTING its "Decision on Prosecution's Motion for the Admission of Evidence from the Bar Table (Srebrenica)" issued on 22 May 2012 ("Decision"), in which it ordered that a number of documents be admitted into evidence from the bar table; ${ }^{1}$

CONSIDERING that, due to a typographical error, paragraphs 11 and 35(a) refer to a document with Rule 65 ter number 03290; ${ }^{2}$

## PURSUANT TO Rule 54 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence of the Tribunal,

ORDERS that paragraphs 11 and 35(a) of the Decision shall respectively read as follows:
11. Having reviewed the Items to which no objection is made, the Chamber notes that, with the exception of documents with Rule 65 ter numbers 08390 and 10886, all are relevant to the present case and have probative value as they go to one or more of the following: (i) the implementation of Directive 4; (ii) the chain of command in the Army of Republika Srpska ("VRS"); (iii) the co-operation between civilian, police, and military authorities in the RS before and after the Srebrenica events of July 1995; (iv) the communication lines at all levels in the RS, including to and from the Accused; (v) the chronology of events in the Srebrenica area in July 1995; (vi) the restriction of the access to Srebrenica after July 1995; and (vii) the exchange of prisoners after July 1995. Accordingly, the documents bearing the following 65 ter numbers shall be admitted into evidence from the bar table: 00596, 01355, 01893, 01923, 01936, 01944, 01946, 01949, 01950, 01955, 01956, 01960, 01961, 01963, 01966, 01985, 01999, 02014, 02015, 02022, 02047, 02048, 02049, 02057, 02076, 02083, 02095, 02097, 02103, 02104, 02109, 02117, $02134,02156,02283,03508,03560,03639,03649,03920,03921,03922,03963,03968,03970$, 03975, 03986, 04058, 04060, 04063, 04064, 04066, 04068, 04086, 04316, 04332, 04400, 04401, 04673, 04674, 04676, 04677, 04725, 06110, 07175, 07587, 07616, 08171, 08428, 08970, 08972, 09036, 09205, 09236, 09261, 09305, 09397, 10884, 10889, 10893, 10901, 11365, 11581, 13304, 13525, 13593, 13700, 13746, 14333, 14376, 14431, 14729, 15299, 15371, 16561, 16559, 16563,

[^0] $22810,22811,22813,22817,22819,22822,22823,22829,23196,23673,23688,23692,23693$, 23694，23700，40219，40246B，and 45054．${ }^{3}$

35．（a）Unanimously ADMITS into evidence documents bearing the following 65 ter numbers：
 01961，01963，01966，01985，01999，02014，02015，02022，02047，02048，02049，02057，02076， ‘80SE0 ‘909Z0 ‘ZLEZO ‘E8ZZ0 ‘9SIZ0 ‘tEIZ0 ‘LIIZ0 ‘60IZ0 ‘t0IZ0 ‘E0IZ0 ‘L60Z0 ‘ऽ60Z0 ‘E80Z0
 ‘IOtt0 ‘00tt0 ‘ZEEt0 ‘9LEt0 ‘ZEZt0 ‘980t0 ‘0L0t0 ‘890t0 ‘990t0 ‘t90t0 ‘E90t0 ‘090t0 ‘8S0t0


 ‘8S0IZ‘I006I ‘ELI8I＇tS89I ‘E9S9I ‘6SS9I ‘I9S9I ‘8SS9I ‘LOZ9I ‘ILESI ‘66ZSI ‘6ZLtI ‘IEttI

 22830，23196，23673，23688，23692，23693，23694，23700，40219，40246B，and 45054；${ }^{4}$

Done in English and French，the English text being authoritative．
Dated this twenty－fourth day of May 2012
The Netherlands



[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Decision, para. 35.
    ${ }^{2}$ The Chamber further notes that it had noted in paragraph 1 and footnote 1 of the Decision that the Prosecution had erroneously indicated in the "Prosecution's Bar Table Motion for the Admission of Documents (Srebrenica) with Public Appendices A and B, and Confidential Appendices C and D" filed on 4 May 2012 ("Motion") that it sought the admission of 177 items when in fact only 175 were sought for admission, but that in actual fact only 174 items were sought for admission as Rule 65 ter 35025 was included twice in the Motion.

