N-08-91-T 016192-016190 11 MAY BIZ

UNITED. NATIONS

International Tribunal for the Prosecution of<br/>Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of<br/>International Humanitarian Law Committed in the<br/>Territory of the former Yugoslavia since 1991Case No:IT-08-91-T<br/>Date:0riginal:English

## IN TRIAL CHAMBER II

**Before:** 

Judge Burton Hall, Presiding Judge Guy Delvoie Judge Frederik Harhoff

**Registrar:** 

**Decision of:** 

Mr. John Hocking

11 May 2012

### PROSECUTOR

#### V.

# MIĆO STANIŠIĆ AND STOJAN ŽUPLJANIN

## **PUBLIC**

## DECISION DENYING PROSECUTION MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF WORD LIMIT FOR FINAL TRIAL BRIEF

**The Office of the Prosecutor** 

Ms. Joanna Korner Mr. Thomas Hannis

**Counsel for the Accused** 

Mr. Slobodan Zečević and Mr. Slobodan Cvijetić for Mićo Stanišić Mr. Dragan Krgović and Mr. Aleksandar Aleksić for Stojan Župljanin **TRIAL CHAMBER II** ("Trial Chamber") of the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the former Yugoslavia since 1991 ("Tribunal");

**BEING SEISED OF** the "Prosecution urgent Motion seeking variation of the word limit for the final trial brief", filed on 11 May 2012 ("Motion");

**NOTING** that paragraph 4 of the Practice Direction on the Length of Briefs and Motions ("Practice Direction")<sup>1</sup> provides that final trial briefs will not exceed 60,000 words;

**NOTING** that on 18 January 2012 the Trial Chamber having initially decided that the Prosecution's final trial brief shall not exceed 100,000 words,<sup>2</sup> increased this limit to 120,000 words after having considered the Prosecution's oral request to file a final trial brief of 175,000 words;<sup>3</sup>

**RECALLING** that on 1 May 2012, the Trial Chamber denied an additional request by the Prosecution to file a final trial brief of no more than 175,000 words;<sup>4</sup>

**RECALLING** that on 25 April 2012 and 27 April 2012 the Trial Chamber also denied additional requests to increase the word limits of the final trial briefs for the Župljanin and the Stanišić Defences respectively;<sup>5</sup>

**NOTING** that paragraph 7 of the Practice Direction requires the party seeking authorisation to exceed the relevant word limit to demonstrate to the Chamber exceptional circumstances that necessitate the oversized filing;

**NOTING** that with the Motion, the Prosecution seeks authorisation to file a final trial brief of no more than 140,000 words;

**CONSIDERING** that the Prosecution has not put forward materially different reasons to those advanced in its Motion of 26 April 2012 in support of its request for a further 20,000 word increase to the word limit;<sup>6</sup>

Case No. IT-08-91-T

11 May 2012

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> IT/184/Rev. 2, 16 September 2005.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Hearing, 18 January 2012, T. 26671-26672.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Hearing, 18 January 2012, T. 26672, 26687. See also Order on final trial briefs and closing arguments, 30 March 2012, p. 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Decision denying Prosecution Motion for extension of word limit for final trial brief, 1 May 2012.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Decision denying Župljanin Defence Motion for extension of word limit for final trial brief, 25 April 2012; Decision denying Stanišić Defence Motion for extension of word limit for final trial brief, 27 April 2012.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Prosecution Motion for variation of the word limit for its final trial brief, 26 April 2012.

**CONSIDERING** that the Prosecution therefore has not established that there are exceptional circumstances that necessitate the filing of a final trial brief in excess of the currently authorised word limit of 120,000 words;

PURSUANT TO Rule 54 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence and the Practice Direction,

**HEREBY DENIES** the Motion, by majority, Judge Delvoie dissenting.

Done in English and French, the English version being authoritative.

MA Judge Burton Hall

Presiding

Dated this 11th day of May 2012 At The Hague The Netherlands

[Seal of the Tribunal]

Case No. IT-08-91-T