DI6188-016186 11 MAY 212 16129

S//

UNITED NATIONS

International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Case No:IT-08-91-TPersons Responsible for Serious Violations of<br/>International Humanitarian Law Committed in the<br/>Territory of the former Yugoslavia since 1991Date:11 May 2012Original:English

## **IN TRIAL CHAMBER II**

**Before:** 

Judge Burton Hall, Presiding Judge Guy Delvoie Judge Frederik Harhoff

**Registrar:** 

Mr. John Hocking

**Decision of:** 

11 May 2012

#### PROSECUTOR

#### V.

# MIĆO STANIŠIĆ AND STOJAN ŽUPLJANIN

## **PUBLIC**

# DECISION DENYING PROSECUTION MOTION TO ADD EXTRACT TO EXHIBIT L336

The Office of the Prosecutor

Ms. Joanna Korner Mr. Thomas Hannis

**Counsel for the Accused** 

Mr. Slobodan Zečević and Mr. Slobodan Cvijetić for Mićo Stanišić Mr. Dragan Krgović and Mr. Aleksandar Aleksić for Stojan Župljanin **TRIAL CHAMBER II** ("Trial Chamber") of the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the former Yugoslavia since 1991 ("Tribunal");

**BEING SEISED OF** the "Prosecution's Motion seeking leave to add a further extract to exhibit L00336", filed on 8 May 2012, ("Motion") in which the Prosecution requests the Trial Chamber to add selected pages from a Military Lexicon to exhibit L336, which cover the definition of "combat activities", as attached in Annex A of the Motion;

**NOTING** that, according to the Prosecution, both Defence teams declined to agree that the definition of "combat activities" be added to the exhibit;<sup>1</sup>

**RECALLING** that the Prosecution and Defence teams have closed their cases;

**RECALLING** that the primary consideration in determining an application for reopening a case to allow for the admission of fresh evidence is the question of whether, with reasonable diligence, the evidence could have been identified and presented in the case-in-chief of the party making the application;<sup>2</sup>

**CONSIDERING** that the Prosecution could have identified the extract it now seeks to have admitted at a much earlier stage in the proceedings especially given its involvement in the process of selecting terms for admission from the Military Lexicon in coordination with the Defence;<sup>3</sup>

**CONSIDERING** additionally that the Trial Chamber is not persuaded as to the particular relevance of the extract;

PURSUANT TO Rule 89 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Motion, para. 5.

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Prosecutor v. Delalić et al., Case No. IT-96-21-A, Judgement, 20 February 2001 ("Čelebići Appeal Judgement"), para. 283. See also Prosecutor v. Ante Gotovina et al., IT-06-90-AR73.6, Decision on Ivan Čermak and Mladen Markač interlocutory appeals against Trial Chamber's decision to reopen the Prosecution case, 1 July 2010, para. 23
<sup>3</sup> Motion, para. 4; Hearing, 7 October 2011, T. 24692.

**HEREBY DENIES** the Motion.

Done in English and French, the English version being authoritative.

ASH Judge Burton Hall

Presiding

Dated this 11th day of May 2012 At The Hague The Netherlands

## [Seal of the Tribunal]