



International Tribunal for the Prosecution of  
Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of  
International Humanitarian Law Committed  
in the Territory of the Former Yugoslavia  
since 1991

Case No.: IT-03-67-T  
Date: 7 December 2011  
Original: ENGLISH  
French

---

**TRIAL CHAMBER III**

**Before:** Judge Jean-Claude Antonetti, Presiding Judge  
Judge Frederik Harhoff  
Judge Flavia Lattanzi

**Registrar:** Mr John Hocking

**Decision of:** 7 December 2011

**THE PROSECUTOR**

v.

**VOJISLAV ŠEŠELJ**

***PUBLIC***

---

**ORDER ON STATUS OF DOCUMENTS MARKED FOR  
IDENTIFICATION**

---

**Office of the Prosecutor**

Mr Mathias Marcussen

**The Accused**

Mr Vojislav Šešelj

## I INTRODUCTION

1. Trial Chamber III (“Chamber”) of the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the Former Yugoslavia since 1991 (“Tribunal”) notes that there are 28 documents<sup>1</sup> marked for identification (“MFI”) remaining in the present case and that it is appropriate at this time to rule on their status since Vojislav Šešelj (“Accused”) has opted not to present a defence case<sup>2</sup> and the date for submitting final briefs has been set for 5 February 2012.<sup>3</sup>

## II APPLICABLE LAW

2. The Chamber recalls that Rules 89 (C) and (D) of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence (“Rules”) provide that a Chamber may admit any relevant evidence which it deems to have probative value and exclude evidence if its probative value is substantially outweighed by the need to ensure a fair trial. Furthermore, the Chamber recalls that if evidence must be reliable in order to have probative value, a *prima facie* showing of reliability is nevertheless sufficient.

3. The Chamber also wishes to recall that there is a fundamental distinction between the legal admissibility of evidence and the weight to be accorded to it when ruling on the possible guilt of an accused.<sup>4</sup> At this stage in the proceedings, the Chamber need not make a final assessment of relevance, reliability and probative value of the evidence in question. This assessment will be done at the end of the trial

---

<sup>1</sup> Documents MFI D 6, MFI D 7, MFI P 512, MFI P 46, MFI P 52, MFI P 91, MFI P 93, MFI P 95, MFI P 96, MFI P 97, MFI P 98, MFI P 99, MFI P 100, MFI P 101, MFI P 114, MFI P 115, MFI P 116, MFI P 117, MFI P 118, MFI P 119, MFI P 120, MFI P 130, MFI P 132, MFI P 133, MFI P 134, MFI P 135, MFI P 136 and MFI P 139. The Chamber notes that it is basing itself on the list of MFI documents uploaded by the Prosecution and the Defence and available on the *ecourt* system (“*ecourt*”).

<sup>2</sup> Hearing of 23 August 2011, Transcript in French (“T(F”). 17025, 17026, 17039 and 17040.

<sup>3</sup> “Scheduling Order (Final Briefs, Prosecution and Defence Closing Arguments)”, public, 31 October 2011, p. 4. Date upheld in the “Order Amending the “Scheduling Order (Final Briefs, Prosecution and Defence Closing Arguments) of 31 October 2011”, public, 24 November 2011, p. 5.

<sup>4</sup> See in this sense, “Order Setting out the Guidelines for the Presentation of Evidence and the Conduct of the Parties During Trial”, public, 30 October 2007, Annex to the Order, para. 2.

after all the evidence, both Prosecution and Defence, has been tendered into the record.<sup>5</sup>

### III DISCUSSION

4. The Chamber will now rule on the status of the 28 MFI documents<sup>6</sup> in light of the admissibility criteria set out above.<sup>7</sup>

1) With respect to document MFI D 6, corresponding to an excerpt from a military booklet belonging to Jovica Stegić concerning the period between 28 April 1980 and 15 December 1994, undated, and document MFI D 7, corresponding to a written statement from Jovica Stegić given to the Accused's associates, dated 14 January 2008:

5. The Chamber recalls that during the hearing of 24 January 2008, it ordered the Registry *proprio motu* to mark for identification document MFI D 6 tendered by the Accused during the cross-examination of Witness Goran Stoparić.<sup>8</sup> The Chamber also ordered the Registry, upon a request from the Accused and the Prosecution, to mark for identification document MFI D 7, also tendered by the Accused through the same witness.<sup>9</sup>

6. In its Decision of 7 March 2008,<sup>10</sup> the Chamber decided to leave documents MFI D 6 and MFI D 7 marked for identification pending the testimony of Jovica Stegić, whom these two documents concern and whom the Accused intended to call to testify as part of his case.<sup>11</sup>

---

<sup>5</sup> See in this sense, *The Prosecutor v. Jadranko Prlić et al.*, Case No. IT-04-74-T, "Decision to Admit Documentary Evidence Presented by the Prosecution", confidential, 5 October 2007, p. 7.

<sup>6</sup> *Supra*, para. 1.

<sup>7</sup> *Supra*, paras 2 and 3.

<sup>8</sup> Hearing of 24 January 2008, T(F). 2795.

<sup>9</sup> Hearing of 24 January 2008, T(F). 2795 and 2796.

<sup>10</sup> "Decision on Admission of Evidence Presented During the Testimony of Goran Stoparić", public, 7 March 2008 ("Decision of 7 March 2008").

<sup>11</sup> Decision of 7 March 2008, paras 18 and 19; see also Hearing of 24 January 2008, T(F). 2791 and 2796.

7. As the Accused stated, during the administrative hearing of 23 August 2011, that he would not present a defence case<sup>12</sup> and as Jovica Stegić did not testify in the present case, the Chamber deems that it is appropriate to lift the marked for identification status from documents MFI D 6 et MFI D 7 and not to admit these two documents into evidence.

2) With respect to document MFI P 512, corresponding to a telephone intercept of a conversation between Zoran Rankić, a member of the Crisis Staff of the Serbian Radical Party (“SRS”), and Darko Pesić, dated 28 June 1991:

8. The Chamber recalls that during the hearing of 16 July 2008, it ordered the Registry *proprio motu* to mark for identification document MFI P 512, put to Witness VS-1112 by the Office of the Prosecutor (“Prosecution”) during an additional cross-examination by the Prosecution.<sup>13</sup>

9. The Chamber notes that during the hearing of 16 July 2008, the Prosecution did not expressly request the admission into evidence of document MFI P 512, but did argue that this document was relevant as it attests to the monitoring of the situation in the field, and more specifically on the frontline, by the SRS headquarters in Belgrade.<sup>14</sup> The Chamber notes that the Accused objected to the possible admission into evidence of the said document, objecting, *inter alia*, to its admissibility.<sup>15</sup> The Accused argued in particular that the document, dated 28 June 1991, deals with a period outside the temporal scope of the Indictment.<sup>16</sup> Admitting this document would contravene the case-law of the European Court of Human Rights because it originates from Darko Pesić, whom the Accused describes as an agent provocateur of the police, and was obtained through police provocation.<sup>17</sup>

<sup>12</sup> Hearing of 23 August 2011, T(F). 17025, 17026, 17039 and 17040.

<sup>13</sup> Hearing of 16 July 2008, T(F). 9469.

<sup>14</sup> Hearing of 16 July 2008, T(F). 9461-9465 and 9469.

<sup>15</sup> Hearing of 16 July 2008, T(F). 9462-9465.

<sup>16</sup> *The Prosecutor v. Vojislav Šešelj*, Case No. IT-03-67, Third Amended Indictment, filed on 7 December 2007, French version filed on 2 January 2008 (“Indictment”).

<sup>17</sup> Hearing of 16 July 2008, T(F). 9462-9465.

10. The Chamber notes firstly that Witness VS-1112 did not comment on the contents of the document. It notes next that the document does not bear a seal or a signature and in the absence of an authentication by Witness VS-1112, it does not present sufficient indicia of reliability. Consequently, the Chamber deems that it is appropriate not to admit document MFI P 512 into evidence.

3) With respect to documents MFI P 46, MFI P 52, MFI P 91, MFI P 93, MFI P 95, MFI P 96, MFI P 97, MFI P 98, MFI P 99, MFI P 100, MFI P 101, MFI P 114, MFI P 115, MFI P 116, MFI P 117, MFI 118, MFI P 119, MFI P 120, MFI P 130, MFI P 132, MFI P 133, MFI P 134, MFI P 135, MFI 136 and MFI P 139 ( “Milošević MFI Documents”):<sup>18</sup>

11. The Chamber recalls that on 5 February 2007, the Prosecution requested, pursuant to Rules 89, 95 and 90 (E) of the Rules, admission into evidence in the present case of 1) excerpts of the Accused’s testimony in *The Prosecutor v. Slobodan Milošević*, Case No. IT-02-54 (“*Milošević Case*”), 2) evidence admitted into the record through the Accused or other witnesses in the *Milošević Case* and presented during his testimony and 3) MFI documents used during the Accused’s testimony in the *Milošević Case* (“Motion of 5 February 2007”).<sup>19</sup>

12. In his response filed as a public document on 24 July 2007 (“Response of 24 July 2007”),<sup>20</sup> the Accused stated that he did not object to the Motion of 5 February 2007 but requested that all of his testimony in the *Milošević Case* be admitted into

<sup>18</sup> The Chamber notes that it identified these documents on the basis of information available on the e-court system.

<sup>19</sup> “Prosecution’s Motion to Admit in Evidence Transcripts of Evidence of Accused in the *Milošević Case*”, public, 5 February 2007, paras 1 and 22. With regard to the evidence and document tendered for admission by the Prosecution, see Annex B to the Motion of 5 February 2007. See also “Prosecution’s Reply to the Response to the Prosecution’s Motion to Admit in Evidence Transcripts of Evidence of Accused in the *Milošević Case*”, para. 3. The Chamber notes that the Prosecution did not provide any grounds in support of its motion for admission into evidence in the present case of the MFI documents used during the testimony of the Accused in the *Milošević Case*, or evidence tendered into the record by way of other witnesses and used during the Accused’s testimony in the said case.

<sup>20</sup> French translation of the BCS original: “Professor Vojislav Šešelj’s Response to the Prosecution’s Motion to Admit into Evidence Transcripts of Evidence of Accused in the *Milošević Case*”, public, 24 July 2007.

evidence.<sup>21</sup> The Accused argued furthermore that he did not object to the admission into the record of evidence tendered by way of the Accused or other witnesses, and the MFI documents used during the Accused's testimony in the *Milošević* Case.<sup>22</sup>

13. On 30 October 2007, the Chamber ordered 1) that the Accused's transcript in the *Milošević* Case and the documents admitted during his testimony be admitted into evidence and 2) that the documents used during the Accused's testimony in the *Milošević* Case and marked for identification in the said case, and documents used during the testimony of the said Accused and admitted through other witnesses who testified in the *Milošević* Case, namely the Milošević MFI Documents, be marked for identification in the present case to enable a complete analysis of the transcript of the Accused's testimony in the *Milošević* Case; the Chamber deems that an assessment of the transcript of the hearing would be incomplete without these documents.<sup>23</sup>

- a) With respect to document MFI P 52, corresponding to an undated map of the Serbian Republic of Krajina, a map detailing the territorial distribution of Croatian Serbs by town according to the 31 March 1981 census and a map entitled "Ustasha Genocide against Serbian Population in the Territory of the "Independent State of Croatia", 1941-1945", published in the magazine *Vojska*:

14. The Chamber understands that in its Motion of 5 February 2007 in the case in question, the Prosecution requested the admission into evidence of a document entitled "the Babić map", which corresponds to the document published in the magazine *Vojska*, consisting of 1) an undated map of the Serbian Republic of Krajina, 2) a map detailing the territorial distribution of Croatian Serbs by town according to the 31 March 1981 census and 3) a map entitled "Ustasha Genocide against Serbian Population in the Territory of the "Independent State of Croatia", 1941-1945".<sup>24</sup> In the Decision of 30 October 2007, the Chamber marked for identification document MFI P 52, consisting of these three maps. Consequently, the Chamber deems that it is not the entire issue of *Vojska* number 9-10 of February-March 1994 appearing in *ecourt* under

<sup>21</sup> Response of 24 July 2007, pp. 3 and 5.

<sup>22</sup> Response of 24 July 2007, pp. 10 to 12.

<sup>23</sup> "Decision on Prosecution's Motion to Admit Into Evidence Transcripts of Vojislav Šešelj's Testimony Given in the Milošević Case", public, 30 October 2007, pp. 3 and 4.

<sup>24</sup> Annex B to the Motion of 5 February 2007, p. 3.

MFI P 52 that should have this number, but rather these 3 maps only.<sup>25</sup> Furthermore, the Chamber notes that the map of the Republic of Serbian Krajina is undated and does not bear sufficient indicia of reliability. With respect to the other two maps that constitute this document, the Chamber notes that they relate to a period that falls outside the temporal scope of the Indictment and that they do not bear sufficient indicia of relevance to the Indictment in the case in question. Consequently, the Chamber deems that it is appropriate not to admit document MFI P 52 into evidence.

- b) With respect to document MFI P 93, corresponding to a decision from the Belgrade Court on the release of five detainees previously sentenced for violations of common law, dated 13 November 2000:

15. The Chamber notes that this document concerns violations of common law likely committed between 1999 and 2000 and is of the opinion that this document does not relate to the Indictment. Consequently, the Chamber deems that document MFI P 93 does not bear indicia of relevance or probative value and that it is appropriate to deny its admission into evidence.

- c) With respect to document MFI P 98, corresponding to an excerpt from the Accused's book entitled "Straight Talking", published in 2001:

16. The Chamber notes that pages 4 to 7 of the BCS original of this document contain a table of contents that is not included in the excerpt and does not appear in the English translation. The Chamber notes furthermore that the English translation of the document available on *ecourt* is a draft translation. With respect to the contents of the document, the Chamber notes that it contains an excerpt of an undated television interview of the Accused during which he was questioned by a former member of the SRS about allegations of extortion of funds and the evolution of the party's structure. The Chamber deems that document MFI P 98 does not bear sufficient indicia of relevance and probative value and that it is appropriate to deny its admission into evidence.

- d) With respect to document MFI P 99, corresponding to an excerpt from the Accused's book entitled "The Fifth Homeland Congress", published in 2005:

---

<sup>25</sup> Document MFI P 52, draft English translation, pp. 3 to 13.

17. The Chamber notes that pages 3 to 5 of the BCS original of this document contain a table of contents that is not included in the excerpt and does not appear in the English translation. The Chamber notes furthermore that the English translation of the document available on *ecourt* is a draft translation. With respect to the contents of the document, the Chamber notes that it contains a speech by the Accused dating from 2003 in which he made statements, amongst other things, on Serbian identity and the future of Serbia. The Chamber notes that the statements made by the Accused on these subjects relate to a period that falls outside of the temporal scope of the Indictment. The Chamber deems, therefore, that document MFI P 99 does not bear sufficient indicia of relevance and probative value and that it is appropriate to deny its admission into evidence.

- e) With respect to document MFI P 100, corresponding to an excerpt from the Accused's book "Patriots Rebuild, Traitors Destroy", published in 2002:

18. The Chamber notes that pages 4 to 5 in the BCS original of this document contain a table of contents that is not included in the excerpt and does not appear in the English translation. The Chamber notes furthermore that the English translation of the document available on *ecourt* is a draft translation. With respect to the contents of this document, the Chamber notes that it is an introduction to a book on the government of national unity and more specifically on the period during which the Accused was the Vice-Prime Minister of the Republic of Serbia. The Chamber notes that the document concerns a period that falls outside of the temporal scope of the Indictment in the case in question. The Chamber deems, therefore, that document MFI P 100 does not present sufficient indicia of relevance and probative value and that it is appropriate to deny its admission into evidence.

- f) With respect to document MFI P 139, corresponding to a criminal report filed against Dražen Erdemović with the State Security Centre in Novi Sad on 6 March 1996:

19. The Chamber notes that this document relates to crimes allegedly committed in Zvornik in 1995 and concerns facts that fall outside of the temporal scope of the Indictment. The Chamber deems that document MFI P 139 bears no relevance to the



allegations contained in the Indictment in the case in question and that therefore it is appropriate to deny its admission into evidence.

- g) With respect to 1) documents MFI P 114, MFI P 115, MFI P 116, MFI P 117, MFI P 118 and MFI P 119, corresponding to six statements from Ministers of Foreign Affairs of the Contact Group on Kosovo disclosed to the President of the Security Council by the permanent representatives to the United Nations of the Contact Group member states, made during the meetings held between 9 March 1998 and 29 January 1999; 2) document MFI P 120, corresponding to Resolution 1160 adopted by the Security Council regarding the situation in Kosovo, 31 March 1998; 3) document MFI P 130, corresponding to a book entitled “Phoenixes of Freedom, Kosovo Liberation Army Martyrs” on the victims of the conflict in Kosovo amongst the ranks of the Kosovo Liberation Army in 1998 and 1999, published in Priština in 2002; 4) document MFI P 133, corresponding to an excerpt of a report entitled “The Fall of Milošević” describing the support given by the Serbian parliament to Slobodan Milošević when he rejected the draft Rambouillet agreement, undated, and 5) documents MFI P 134, MFI P 135 and MFI P 136, corresponding to a collection of photographs and reports on the exhumations of bodies buried in graves in the municipality of Kosovska Mitrovica, dated June 1999:

20. The Chamber notes that these 12 documents concern the situation in Kosovo between 1998 and 1999 and, consequently, events that occurred outside of the geographical and temporal scope of the Indictment in the case in question. The Chamber deems that these 12 documents do not bear relevance to the Indictment and that, therefore, it is appropriate to deny the admission into evidence of documents MFI P 114, MFI P 115, MFI P 116, MFI P 117, MFI P 118, MFI P 119, MFI P 120, MFI P 130, MFI P 133, MFI P 134, MFI P 135 and MFI P 136.

- h) With respect to document MFI P 46, corresponding to the shorthand notes of a session of the Council for Coordinating Positions on the State Policy in Belgrade, dated 21 January 1993:

21. The Chamber notes firstly that the English translation of document MFI P 46 uploaded onto *ecourt* is a draft translation.<sup>26</sup> With respect to the content of the said document, the Chamber notes that it describes the Serbian position regarding the territorial division proposed by the Vance-Owen plan around 21 January 1993. The Chamber notes furthermore that Radovan Karadžić, Slobodan Milošević, Ratko

Mladić and Momčilo Krajišnik, suspected members of the joint criminal enterprise alleged in the current case, were amongst those present at this session. The Chamber deems consequently that document MFI P 46 presents sufficient indicia of relevance, reliability and probative value and that it is appropriate to admit it into evidence, subject to the condition set out in the Annex to the present Order.

- i) With respect to document MFI P 91, corresponding to three articles by Jovan Dulović published in *Politika Ekspres*, dated November 1991:

22. The Chamber notes that in its Motion of 5 February 2007 in the present case, the Prosecution sought the admission into evidence of a document entitled “Articles by Jovan Dulović Dated 1991”.<sup>27</sup> In the Decision of 30 October 2007, the Chamber marked for identification document MFI P 91. The Chamber now sees that the BCS original uploaded onto *ecourt* under MFI P 91 consists of 8 pages of the newspaper *Politika Ekspres* which contain various articles amongst which those by Jovan Dulović are not clearly identifiable. Nevertheless, the Chamber deems that the draft English translation uploaded onto *ecourt* corresponds to the document marked for identification by the Chamber in its Decision of 30 October 2007, namely three articles by Jovan Dulović, marked for identification as MFI P 91. The Chamber notes furthermore that these three articles concern fighting between Croatian forces and Serbian volunteer forces in the area around Borovo Selo and Vukovar in November 1991 and are relevant to the Indictment in the case in question. The Chamber deems that document MFI P 91, in its draft English translation, bears sufficient indicia of reliability, relevance and probative value and that is appropriate to admit it into evidence, subject to the condition described in the Annex to the present Order.

- j) With respect to 1) document MFI P 95, corresponding to an excerpt from the Accused’s book entitled: “Serbia Under American Bombs”, published in 2002; 2) document MFI P 96, corresponding to an excerpt from the Accused’s book entitled “The Power of Arguments”, published in 2000; 3) document MFI P 97, corresponding to an excerpt from the Accused’s book entitled “The Government of National Unity”, published in 2001 and 4) document MFI P 101, corresponding to an excerpt from the Accused’s book entitled “Violence Against Serbia”, published in 2002:

---

<sup>26</sup> The Chamber notes furthermore that the draft translation currently uploaded onto *ecourt* contains pages that do not appear in the BCS original, namely pages 75 to 79.

<sup>27</sup> Annex to the Motion of 5 February 2007, p. 8.

23. The Chamber notes that the BCS originals of these four documents contain pages with a table of contents that is not included in the excerpts translated into English.<sup>28</sup> The Chamber notes furthermore that the English translations of the four documents available on *ecourt* are draft translations. With regard to the contents of the four documents, the Chamber notes that documents MFI P 95, MFI P 97 and MFI P 101 contain excerpts of the Accused's undated televised interviews during which he spoke about his relationship with Slobodan Milošević around 1993 and, in the case of document MFI P 96, between 1992 and mid-1993. The Chamber notes furthermore that, during the interview contained in document MFI P 97, the Accused also spoke about SRS volunteers who fought in "western Serbian territories". The Chamber deems consequently that these four documents bear sufficient indicia of relevance, reliability and probative value and that it is appropriate to admit documents MFI P 95, MFI P 96, MFI P 97 et MFI P 101 into evidence, subject to the condition described in the Annex to the present Order.

k) With respect to document MFI P 132, corresponding to an information note signed by Mile Babić, the commander of the 1<sup>st</sup> Military District, addressed, amongst others, to the Federal Secretariat for National Defence and to the Security Organ of the 1<sup>st</sup> Military District, dated 18 October 1991:

24. The Chamber notes that the English translation of this document uploaded onto *ecourt* is a draft translation. With regard to the content of document MFI P 132, the Chamber notes that the report concerns military operations and weapon, ammunition and food supplies to "Serbian volunteers" under the direction of Željko Ražnjatović, aka Arkan, in the Serbian Autonomous Region of Slavonia, Baranja and Western Srem in mid-October 1991. The Chamber deems that document MFI P 132 is relevant to the Indictment of the case in question and decides, consequently, that it is appropriate to admit it into evidence, subject to the condition described in the Annex to the present Order.

---

<sup>28</sup> Document MFI P 95, pp. 6 to 8 in the BCS original; document MFI P 96, pp. 4 to 6 of the BCS original; document MFI P 97, pp. 8 to 10 of the BCS original and document MFI P 101, pp. 2 to 5 of the BCS original.

#### IV DISPOSITION

25. For the foregoing reasons, pursuant to Rules 54 and 89 of the Rules,

***PROPRIO MOTU***

**DECIDES** to admit into evidence the documents marked “admitted on condition” in the Annex to the present Order, namely documents MFI P 46, MFI P 91, MFI P 95, MFI P 96, MFI P 97, MFI P 101 and MFI P 132,

**DECIDES** not to admit into evidence the documents marked as “not admitted” in the Annex to the present Order, namely documents MFI D 6, MFI D 7, MFI P 512, MFI P 52, MFI P 93, MFI P 98, MFI P 99, MFI P 100, MFI P 114, MFI P 115, MFI P 116, MFI P 117, MFI P 118, MFI P 119, MFI P 120, MFI P 130, MFI P 133, MFI P 134, MFI P 135, MFI P 136, and MFI P 139, **AND**

**ORDERS** the Prosecution to upload onto *ecourt* the correct BCS versions of documents MFI P 46, MFI P 91, MFI P 95, MFI P 96, MFI P 97 and MFI P 101 and full English translation by CLSS of those documents marked as “admitted on condition”, as specified in the Annex to the present Order.

Done in English and French, the French version being authoritative.

/signed/

Jean-Claude Antonetti  
Presiding Judge

Done this seventh day of December 2011  
The Hague (The Netherlands)

**[Seal of the Tribunal]**

## ANNEX

<b>DOCUMENT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION</b>	<b>PARTY PRESENTING DOCUMENT</b>	<b>WITNESS THROUGH WHICH DOCUMENT WAS TENDERED</b>	<b>ADMITTED/NOT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE</b>
MFI D 6	Defence	Goran Stoparić	Not admitted (ground: Jovica Stegić, to whom the document apply, did not testify in the case in question. The document was not the subject of renewed motions for admission into evidence filed by the parties.)
MFI D 7	Defence	Goran Stoparić	Not admitted (ground: Jovica Stegić, to whom the document apply, did not testify in the case in question. The document was not the subject of renewed motions for admission into evidence filed by the

			parties.)
MFI P 512	Prosecution	VS-1112	Not admitted (ground: the document does not bear a seal, signature or indicia of reliability and relevance.)
MFI P 46	Prosecution	Milošević Document MFI	Admitted on condition: the Chamber orders the Prosecution to upload onto <i>ecourt</i> a full English translation by CLSS corresponding to the BCS original onto <i>ecourt</i> .
MFI P 52	Prosecution	Milošević Document MFI	Not admitted (ground: the document does not bear sufficient indicia of relevance and probative value.)
MFI P 91	Prosecution	Milošević Document MFI	Admitted on condition: the Chamber orders the Prosecution to upload onto <i>ecourt</i> a full English translation by CLSS of the BCS original.

			The Chamber also orders the Prosecution to clearly identify in the BCS original the articles constituting MFI P 91.
MFI P 93	Prosecution	Milošević Document MFI	Not admitted (ground: the document is devoid of relevance and probative value.)
MFI P 95	Prosecution	Milošević Document MFI	Admitted on condition: the Chamber orders the Prosecution to upload a full English translation by CLSS of the BCS original. The Chamber also orders the Prosecution to upload a BCS version of the excerpt without a table of contents.
MFI P 96	Prosecution	Milošević Document MFI	Admitted on condition: the Chamber orders the Prosecution to upload a full English translation by CLSS

			of the BCS original. The Chamber also orders the Prosecution to upload a BCS version of the excerpt without a table of contents.
MFI P 97	Prosecution	Milošević Document MFI	Admitted on condition: the Chamber orders the Prosecution to upload a full English translation by CLSS of the BCS original. The Chamber also orders the Prosecution to upload a BCS version of the excerpt without a table of contents.
MFI P 98	Prosecution	Milošević Document MFI	Not admitted (ground: the document does not bear sufficient indicia of relevance and probative value.)
MFI P 99	Prosecution	Milošević Document MFI	Not admitted (ground: the document does not bear sufficient



			indicia of relevance and probative value.)
MFI P 100	Prosecution	Milošević Document MFI	Not admitted (ground: the document does not bear sufficient indicia of relevance and probative value.)
MFI P 101	Prosecution	Milošević Document MFI	Admitted on condition: the Chamber orders the Prosecution to upload a full English translation by CLSS of the BCS original. The Chamber also orders the Prosecution to upload a BCS version of the excerpt without a table of contents.
MFI P 114	Prosecution	Milošević Document MFI	Not admitted (ground: the document does not bear sufficient indicia of relevance and probative value.
MFI P 115	Prosecution	Milošević Document MFI	Not admitted (ground: the document does not bear sufficient

			indicia of relevance and probative value.)
MFI P 116	Prosecution	Milošević Document MFI	Not admitted (ground: the document does not bear sufficient indicia of relevance and probative value.)
MFI P 117	Prosecution	Milošević Document MFI	Not admitted (ground: the document does not bear sufficient indicia of relevance and probative value.)
MFI P 118	Prosecution	Milošević Document MFI	Not admitted (ground: the document does not bear sufficient indicia of relevance and probative value.)
MFI P 119	Prosecution	Milošević Document MFI	Not admitted (ground: the document does not bear sufficient indicia of relevance and probative value.)
MFI P 120	Prosecution	Milošević Document MFI	Not admitted (ground: the document does not bear sufficient indicia of relevance and probative value.)

MFI P 130	Prosecution	Milošević Document MFI	Not admitted (ground: the document does not bear sufficient indicia of relevance and probative value.)
MFI P 132	Prosecution	Milošević Document MFI	Admitted on condition: the Chamber orders the Prosecution to upload a full English translation by CLSS of the BCS original.
MFI P 133	Prosecution	Milošević Document MFI	Not admitted (ground: the document does not bear sufficient indicia of relevance and probative value.)
MFI P 134	Prosecution	Milošević Document MFI	Not admitted (ground: the document does not bear sufficient indicia of relevance and probative value.)
MFI P 135	Prosecution	Milošević Document MFI	Not admitted (ground: the document does not bear sufficient indicia of relevance and probative value.)
MFI P 136	Prosecution	Milošević	Not admitted

		Document MFI	(ground: the document does not bear sufficient indicia of relevance and probative value.)
MFI P 139	Prosecution	Milošević Document MFI	Not admitted (ground: the document does not bear sufficient indicia of relevance and probative value.)