

**UNITED  
NATIONS**



International Tribunal for the  
Prosecution of Persons  
Responsible for Serious Violations of  
International Humanitarian Law  
Committed in the Territory of the  
former Yugoslavia Since 1991

Case No.: IT-95-5/18-T  
Date: 17 November 2011  
Original: English

**IN THE TRIAL CHAMBER**

**Before:** Judge O-Gon Kwon, Presiding Judge  
Judge Howard Morrison  
Judge Melville Baird  
Judge Flavia Lattanzi, Reserve Judge

**Registrar:** Mr. John Hocking

**Order of:** 17 November 2011

**THE PROSECUTOR**

v.

**RADOVAN KARADŽIĆ**

***PUBLIC***

---

**INVITATION TO THE UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND  
NORTHERN IRELAND**

---

**Office of the Prosecutor**

Mr. Alan Tieger  
Ms. Hildegard Uertz-Retzlaff

**The Government of the United Kingdom**

via the Embassy of the United Kingdom to  
The Netherlands, The Hague

**The Accused**

Mr. Radovan Karadžić

**Standby Counsel**

Mr. Richard Harvey

**THIS TRIAL CHAMBER** of the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the former Yugoslavia since 1991 (“Tribunal”),

**BEING SEISED** of the Accused’s “Motion for Invitation to United Kingdom”, filed publicly on 10 November 2011 (“Motion”), whereby the Accused requests the Trial Chamber to issue an invitation for a response from the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland (“UK”);<sup>1</sup>

**NOTING** that in the Motion the Accused indicates that he had previously filed a motion for a binding order against the UK in which he requested the production of 14 categories of documents relevant to his case,<sup>2</sup> but that he withdrew this motion after receiving some documents from the UK on a voluntary basis, while at the same time reserving the right to make further requests should he later learn that the UK is in possession of other relevant documents;<sup>3</sup>

**NOTING** further the Accused’s submission that, on 9 November 2011, he received a letter from the UK indicating that “seven documents potentially relevant to his request” are in its possession, but that because they originate from a third state and are highly classified, the UK is unable to release them to him;<sup>4</sup>

**NOTING** that the Accused now requests the Chamber to invite the UK to describe the seven documents in question and also reveal the identity of the third state that originally produced the said documents;<sup>5</sup>

**CONSIDERING** that it is in the interests of all parties involved that requests for documents are, if possible, dealt with on a voluntary basis;

**CONSIDERING** that, in order to determine the Motion, the Trial Chamber would be assisted by hearing from the UK;

**PURSUANT TO** Rule 54 of the Rules,

---

<sup>1</sup> Motion, para. 5.

<sup>2</sup> See Motion for Binding Order: Government of United Kingdom, 11 September 2009.

<sup>3</sup> Motion, paras. 1–2.

<sup>4</sup> Motion, para. 3, Annex A.

<sup>5</sup> Motion, para. 5.

**HEREBY**

- (a) **INVITES** the UK to assist the Trial Chamber by providing a response to the Motion within 14 days from this Invitation; and
- (b) **REQUESTS** the Registry to provide both the Motion and this Invitation to the UK.

Done in both English and French, the English text being authoritative.



---

Judge O-Gon Kwon  
Presiding

Done this seventeenth day of November 2011  
At The Hague  
The Netherlands

**[Seal of the Tribunal]**