

International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the former Yugoslavia since 1991

Case No.: IT-95-5/18-T

Date: 9 May 2011

Original: English

## **IN THE TRIAL CHAMBER**

Before: Judge O-Gon Kwon, Presiding Judge

Judge Howard Morrison Judge Melville Baird

Judge Flavia Lattanzi, Reserve Judge

Registrar: Mr. John Hocking

**Order of:** 9 May 2011

#### **PROSECUTOR**

 $\mathbf{v}_{\bullet}$ 

#### RADOVAN KARADŽIĆ

#### **PUBLIC**

# SCHEDULING ORDER RELATING TO RULE 54 *BIS* HEARING (BOLIVARIAN REPUBLIC OF VENEZUELA)

Office of the Prosecutor: The Government of Venezuela:

Mr. Alan Tieger via Embassy of Venezuela to Ms Hildegard Uertz-Retzlaff The Netherlands, The Hague

The Accused: Standby Counsel:

Mr. Radovan Karadžić Mr. Richard Harvey

49649

THIS TRIAL CHAMBER of the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the

Territory of the former Yugoslavia since 1991 ("Tribunal");

BEING SEISED OF the Accused's "Motion for Binding Order: Government of Venezuela",

filed on 1 November 2010 ("Venezuela Motion"), whereby the Accused requests the Trial

Chamber to issue a binding order pursuant to Rule 54 bis of the Rules requiring the Government

of the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela ("Venezuela") to provide him with certain documents

which relate to the testimony of scheduled witness Ambassador Diego Arria of Venezuela;<sup>1</sup>

NOTING the Accused's "Motion for Binding Order: United Nations", filed on 1 November

2010 ("UN Motion"), whereby the Accused requests the Trial Chamber to issue a binding order

pursuant to Rule 54 bis of the Rules requiring the United Nations ("UN") to provide him with

certain documents which also relate to the testimony of Ambassador Arria;<sup>2</sup>

**NOTING** that, on 17 November 2010, the UN filed its response to the UN Motion, stating, *inter* 

alia, that "correspondence between Ambassador Arria and the [UN] during the period of

Venezuela's membership in the Security Council [...], other than the one-month period of

Venezuela's presidency and in his role as Security Council President, may not be disclosed

without breaching the duty of confidentiality that the [UN] owes to Venezuela" and that the

Accused's Venezuela Motion "may be an appropriate means of obtaining such documentation

directly from Venezuela" ("Response to UN Motion");<sup>3</sup>

NOTING the "Order Scheduling a Hearing Pursuant to Rule 54 bis (Bolivarian Republic of

Venezuela)" issued by the Chamber on 22 March 2011 ("Order"), scheduling a Rule 54 bis

hearing in relation to the Venezuela Motion ("Hearing") and inviting Venezuela to file its notice

of objection pursuant to Rule 54 bis (F), if any, not less than five days before the Hearing,<sup>4</sup>

NOTING the "Invitation to UN Regarding Hearing Pursuant to Rule 54 bis" issued by the

Chamber on 15 April 2011 ("UN Invitation"), in which the Chamber invited an authorised

representative of the UN to participate in the Hearing;<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Venezuela Motion, para. 1.

<sup>2</sup> UN Motion, para. 1.

<sup>3</sup> Response to UN Motion, p. 5.

<sup>4</sup> Order, pp. 3, 4.

<sup>5</sup> UN Invitation, p. 3.

Case No. IT-95-5/18-T 2 9 May 2011

49648

NOTING that on 27 April 2011, the UN responded to the UN Invitation, informing the

Chamber that it considered its position had "been set out fully in its previous submissions", that

"the question before the Trial Chamber in the upcoming hearing is a matter for Venezuela", and

of its intention to rely on its written submissions and not to attend the hearing ("Response to UN

Invitation");6

NOTING that on 6 May 2011, Venezuela filed a note verbale informing the Chamber that three

representatives of Venezuela would participate in the Hearing and raising no objections under

Rule 54 bis (F);

NOTING all the filings in relation to the Venezuela Motion which have been submitted by the

Accused, Venezuela, and the UN;

CONSIDERING that, in its Order, the Trial Chamber indicated that a detailed schedule of the

order of presentation of arguments would be issued following the filing of notice of objection, if

any;<sup>7</sup>

CONSIDERING the responsibility of Venezuela to co-operate with the Tribunal pursuant to

Article 29 of the Statute;

**PURSUANT TO** Article 29 of the Statute and Rules 54 and 54 bis (D) of the Rules;

**HEREBY ORDERS** as follows:

(1) The Hearing shall be conducted in accordance with the schedule set out in Annex A

hereto. In order to facilitate the smooth and prompt start of the Hearing at 4:00 p.m.,

the representatives of Venezuela should present themselves at the Tribunal at least

half an hour before the start of the Hearing.

<sup>6</sup> Response to UN Invitation, p. 1.

<sup>7</sup> Order, p. 4.

Case No. IT-95-5/18-T 3 9 May 2011

(2) During the Hearing, the Accused and the representatives of Venezuela should not repeat all of the arguments already raised in the filings but should instead focus on the contentious issues and any questions put to them by the Chamber.

Done in English and French, the English text being authoritative.

Judge O-Gon Kwon, Presiding

Dated this ninth day of May 2011 At The Hague The Netherlands

[Seal of the Tribunal]

# ANNEX A

## Tuesday 10 May 2011

- 1. Introductory remarks by the Chamber
- 2. Chamber questions for the Accused
- 3. Chamber questions for Venezuela
- 4. Further discussion (if necessary)

The above schedule is for guidance only and is subject to change at the direction of the Trial Chamber. All participants should be ready to address the Chamber at any point in time during the Hearing. Furthermore, they shall address all oral submissions through the Chamber.