

International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the Former Yugoslavia since 1991

Case No.:

IT-03-67-T

Date:

4 March 2011

ENGLISH

Original: French

## IN TRIAL CHAMBER III

Before:

Judge Jean-Claude Antonetti, Presiding

Judge Frederik Harhoff Judge Flavia Lattanzi

Registrar:

Mr John Hocking

**Decision of:** 

4 March 2011

THE PROSECUTOR

v.

VOJISLAV ŠEŠELJ

**PUBLIC DOCUMENT** 

## DECISION ON PROSECUTION MOTION FOR DISCLOSURE OF LETTER SENT FROM PRESIDING JUDGE TO PRESIDENT OF THE TRIBUNAL

## The Office of the Prosecutor

Mr Mathias Marcussen

## The Accused

Mr Vojislav Šešelj

TRIAL CHAMBER III ("Chamber") of the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the Former Yugoslavia since 1991 ("Tribunal");

SEIZED of the motion filed as a public document on 26 October 2010 by the Office of the Prosecutor ("Prosecution"), in which the Prosecution asks the Chamber to disclose to the parties the letter of disqualification sent by the Presiding Judge on his own behalf to the President of the Tribunal in connection to the contempt allegations against members of the Office of the Prosecutor ("Motion");<sup>1</sup>

**NOTING** the Statute;

**NOTING** Rules 15 (A) and 70 of the Rules;

**NOTING** the oral intervention by the Presiding Judge during the public hearing of 21 September 2010, informing the parties of the request for disqualification sent to the President of the Tribunal in connection with the contempt allegations brought against members of the Office of the Prosecutor;<sup>2</sup>

**NOTING** the Prosecution motion filed as a public document on 26 October 2010;<sup>3</sup>

CONSIDERING that the Prosecution requests that the Chamber disclose the letter sent by the Presiding Judge to the President of the Tribunal;

**CONSIDERING** that the Prosecution had previously sent a letter to the Chamber seizing it of this issue; that the Prosecution subsequently withdrew its motion;

CONSIDERING, nevertheless, that the Presiding Judge himself sent a letter to the parties indicating that the letter in question was of a private nature and did not call for disclosure to the parties;

Case No. IT-03-67-T 4 March 2011

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> "The Prosecution Motion for Disclosure of Presiding Judge's 2010 Request for His Disqualification from Contempt Determinations", public, 26 October 2010 ("Motion").

Hearing of 21 September 2010, transcripts pp. 16378-16380.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> "The Prosecution Motion for Disclosure of Presiding Judge's 2010 Request for his Disqualification from Contempt Determinations", op. cit.

**CONSIDERING** that, notwithstanding this letter, the Prosecution seized the Trial Chamber;

**CONSIDERING** that the Trial Chamber does not have the authority to transmit this letter as it is a letter from a Judge addressed to the President of the Tribunal;

**FOR THE FOREGOING REASONS** and pursuant to Rules 15 (A) and 70 of the Rules,

**REJECTS** the Motion.

Done in English and in French, the French version being authoritative.

/signed/
Jean-Claude Antonetti
Presiding Judge

Done this fourth day of March 2011 At The Hague The Netherlands

[Seal of the Tribunal]