



International Tribunal for the
Prosecution of Persons Responsible for
Serious Violations of International
Humanitarian Law Committed in the
Territory of the Former Yugoslavia
since 1991

Case No.: IT-04-74-T
Date: 30 July 2010
Original: ENGLISH
French

IN TRIAL CHAMBER III

Before: Judge Jean-Claude Antonetti
Judge Árpád Prandler
Judge Stefan Trechsel
Reserve Judge Antoine Kesia-Mbe Mindua

Registrar: Mr John Hocking

Decision of: 30 July 2010

THE PROSECUTOR

v.

**Jadranko PRLIĆ
Bruno STOJIC
Slobodan PRALJAK
Milivoj PETKOVIĆ
Valentin ĆORIĆ
Berislav PUŠIĆ**

PUBLIC

**CORRIGENDUM TO THE “DECISION ON JADRANKO PRLIĆ’S REQUEST
FOR 1) CLARIFICATION OF JUDGE PRANDLER’S ASSOCIATION WITH
VICTOR ANDREEV AND 2) PUBLIC HEARING”**

The Office of the Prosecutor:

Mr Kenneth Scott
Mr Douglas Stringer

Counsel for the Accused:

Mr Michael Karnavas and Ms Suzana Tomanović for Jadranko Prlić
Ms Senka Nožica and Mr Karim A. A. Khan for Bruno Stojic
Mr Božidar Kovačić and Ms Nika Pinter for Slobodan Praljak
Ms Vesna Alaburić and Mr Nicholas Stewart for Milivoj Petković
Ms Dijana Tomašegović-Tomić and Mr Dražen Plavec for Valentin Ćorić
Mr Fahrudin Ibrišimović and Mr Roger Sahota for Berislav Pušić

TRIAL CHAMBER III (“Chamber”) of the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the Former Yugoslavia since 1991 (“Tribunal”),

PROPRIO MOTU,

NOTING the “Decision on Jadranko Prlić’s Request for 1) Clarification of Judge Prandler’s Association with Victor Andreev and 2) Public Hearing”, rendered publicly by the Chamber on 26 July 2010 (“Decision”),

CONSIDERING that the penultimate paragraph on page 2 of the Decision is worded as follows:

CONSIDERING that the Prlić Defence claims that the importance of this information, revealed by Judge Prandler himself during the hearing of 8 March 2010, and an appearance of potential bias on his part, came to light when the Prlić Defence read extracts of the R. Mladić diary revealing Viktor Andreev’s position on the conflict in the RBiH disclosed by the Prosecution on 14 April 2010,

CONSIDERING that this paragraph ought, in fact, to be worded as follows:

CONSIDERING that the Prlić Defence claims that the importance of this information, revealed by Judge Prandler himself during the hearing of 8 March 2010, and an appearance of potential bias on his part, came to light when the Prlić Defence read extracts of the R. Mladić diary revealing Viktor Andreev’s position on the conflict in the RBiH disclosed by the Prosecution on 14 April 2010,

CONSIDERING that the third paragraph on page 3 of the Decision is worded as follows:

CONSIDERING that the Chamber observes that the Prlić Defence had only realised the extent of the “potential appearance of bias” by Judge Prandler, resulting from the fact that he is “acquainted” with

Viktor Andreev, after the Prosecution disclosed the R. Mladić diary on 14 April 2010,

CONSIDERING that this paragraph ought, in fact, to be worded as follows:

CONSIDERING that the Chamber observes that the Prlić Defence had only realised the extent of the “potential appearance of bias” by Judge Prandler, resulting from the fact that he is “acquainted” with Viktor Andreev, after the Prosecution disclosed the R. Mladić diary on 14 April 2010,

FOR THE FOREGOING REASONS,

PURSUANT TO Rule 54 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence,

ORDERS that the penultimate paragraph on page 2 of the Decision be amended as follows:

CONSIDERING that the Prlić Defence claims that the importance of this information, revealed by Judge Prandler himself during the hearing of 8 March 2010, and an appearance of potential bias on his part, came to light when the Prlić Defence read extracts of the R. Mladić diary revealing Viktor Andreev’s position on the conflict in the RBiH disclosed by the Prosecution on 14 April 2010,

ORDERS that the third paragraph on page 3 of the Decision be amended and be worded as follows:

CONSIDERING that the Chamber observes that the Prlić Defence had only realised the extent of the “potential appearance of bias” by Judge Prandler, resulting from the fact that he is “acquainted” with Viktor Andreev, after the Prosecution disclosed the R. Mladić diary on 14 April 2010,

Done in English and in French, the French version being authoritative.

/signed/

Jean-Claude Antonetti
Presiding Judge

Done this thirtieth day of July 2010
At The Hague (The Netherlands)

[Seal of the Tribunal]