In the Trial Chamber III

Case No. Date : IT-03-67-T 16 June 2010

IT-03-64-T

17 JUNE 2010

)47894

Before:

Judge Jean Claude Antonetti, Presiding Judge Judge Frederik Harhoff Judge Flavia Lattanzi Aj.

Registrar:

Mr. John Hocking

Public Redaction Order

The Prosecutor V Vojislav Šešelj

Order to redact the public transcript and the public broadcast of a hearing

The Trial Chamber

ORDERS that the following blacked out text be omitted from the public transcript of the hearing dated 14 June 2010 and be edited from the public broadcast of this hearing.

	9/06/2010 Untitled106] pt Search Annotate Issue Report Options Window Help	
- <u> </u>		e se faste s
	() regiong	
	7:15 this letter without violating the confidentiality either of the document	· · š
	7:19 or of the contents, just to indicate the problem.	
617:4		
	7:32 provide for the security of a certain witness who was with the security of a certain witness who was	Al w
	You're expressing your concern for his safety. That	
	7:44 witness was never in any danger in any way whatsoever. The problem lies	
	7:50 with the Prosecution. When they accepted him as a witness, they promise	
	18:00 him a job and an apartment in a foreign country, and they did not fulfil 18:05 that promise. And the witness first agreed to testify falsely in order	.1
	18:08 to receive these benefits, and then when that fell through, he did not	
	8:14 wish to testify falsely anymore.	A hardware and the second of a
	to it with to cestify faitery allymore. We	
	A State of the second	Mandar's Antibality and 2.8
17 17 4		
	8:30 the confidentiality of the document or revealing the identity of the	
	8:35 witness, so I think that there is no reason for this to be redacted from	L
	8:38 the transcript either. In a way, this is a kind of protest of mine	
	8:44 because of the actions by the Trial Chamber. You had no evidence that	
22 17:4	8:47 the witness was really in danger. None of the witnesses that appeared i	n
23 17:4	8:52 this courtroom or that the Prosecutor placed on its list of witnesses	
24 17:4	8:58 against me were never exposed to any kind of danger anywhere; not just i	.n
25 17:4	9:05 my case, but in any case where a Serb was accused. ^ .	
58:1 17:4	9:08 I have one more matter.	
2 17:4		
	9:22 have just said on a personal note. If my colleagues disagree with me,	
	9:24 they will take the floor.	
5 17:4	non, if o a decorter of paypennes, and as points for an	
	9:32 ex-witness, who is a protested witness, draw the attention of the Serbia	.n
	9:40 authorities to the identification of this witness and if this should be	
	9:46 protected ^ . We don't have much information. We have information whic 9:50 is piecemeal and we might be wrong, but in this case it's better to do	'n
	9:50 is piecemeal and we might be wrong, but in this case it's better to do 9:57 more than not do enough, and we are asking the Serbian authorities to	
TO[T]: 4	2.37 more than not do enough, and we are asking the serbian authorities to	14.
Sec.		
New Open Transcript Transcript T	Londow Up Deck Down Go To Page	
POST-SESSION - BLA		
1001-0000001.00	un	14.

The blacked out text, as identified by the passages prior and subsequent thereto, is confidential.

Any person or organization, including media organizations, which has possession of the public recording of all or the relevant portion of the proceeding containing the confidential information is hereby enjoined from disclosing it to any other person(s) or organization(s) as of the date and time this order is received. The failure to conform may result in contempt charges being issued by the Tribunal against the disclosing person or organization.

fucoul Judge Jean-Claude Antonetti-Presiding Judge