IT. 06.90. AR 73.5	80
A 80. A77	PC
13 MAY 2010	

International Tribunal for the	Case No.	IT-06-90-AR73.5	
Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law	Date:	13 May 2010	
Committed in the Territory of the Former Yugoslavia since 1991	Original:	English	
	Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the	Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of Date: International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the	Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of Date: 13 May 2010 International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the

#### **IN THE APPEALS CHAMBER**

Judge Fausto Pocar, Presiding Judge Mehmet Güney Judge Liu Daqun Judge Andrésia Vaz Judge Theodor Meron

**Registrar:** 

Before:

UNITED NATIONS

Mr. John Hocking

### **Decision:**

13 May 2010

### PROSECUTOR

v.

## ANTE GOTOVINA IVAN ČERMAK MLADEN MARKAČ

### PUBLIC

# DECISION ON ANTE GOTOVINA'S MOTION TO STRIKE THE PROSECUTION'S RESPONSE DUE TO VIOLATION OF THE PRACTICE DIRECTION ON LENGTH OF BRIEFS AND MOTIONS

### The Office of the Prosecutor:

Mr. Alan Tieger

## Republic of Croatia:

via The Embassy of the Republic of Croatia to The Netherlands, The Hague

#### **Counsel for the Accused:**

Mr. Gregory W. Kehoe, Mr. Luka S. Mišetić and Mr. Payam Akhavan for Ante Gotovina Mr. Stephen Kay QC, Mr. Andrew Cayley and Ms. Gillian Higgins for Ivan Čermak Mr. Goran Mikuličić and Mr. Tomislav Kuzmanović for Mladen Markač

**THE APPEALS CHAMBER** of the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the former Yugoslavia since 1991 ("Appeals Chamber" and "Tribunal", respectively);

**NOTING** the decision rendered in the case *Prosecutor v. Ante Gotovina et al.*, by Trial Chamber I ("Trial Chamber") on 12 March 2010 ("Impugned Decision")<sup>1</sup> and the "Decision on Defence Request for Certification to Appeal the Trial Chamber Decision of 12 March 2010" granting the request of Ante Gotovina ("Gotovina") for certification to appeal;<sup>2</sup>

**NOTING** the "Gotovina Defence Appeal Against 12 March 2010 Decision on Requests for Permanent Restraining Orders Directed to the Republic of Croatia" filed by Gotovina on 28 April 2010 ("Gotovina Appeal") and the "Prosecution's Response to Gotovina Defence Appeal Concerning Permanent Restraining Orders to the Republic of Croatia" filed by the Office of the Prosecutor ("Prosecution") on 10 May 2010 ("Prosecution's Response");

**BEING SEISED** of "Ante Gotovina's Motion to Strike the Prosecution's Response Due to Violation of the Practice Direction on Length of Briefs and Motions" filed by Gotovina on 12 May 2010 ("Motion");

**NOTING** that in his Motion, Gotovina submits that the appendices of the Prosecution's Response include quotations from pleadings and the Impugned Decision and present legal and factual arguments resulting in a total of 11,547 words in violation of the requirement set out in the Practice Direction on the Length of Briefs and Motions ("Practice Direction")<sup>3</sup> which imposes a word limit of 9,000 words;<sup>4</sup>

**NOTING** that Gotovina requests the Appeals Chamber to strike the Prosecution's Response in its entirety<sup>5</sup> or, should the Appeals Chamber order the Prosecution to re-file its response, to allow him to file his reply within four days of the re-filing of the Prosecution's Response;<sup>6</sup>

**NOTING** "The Prosecution's Response to Gotovina's Motion to Strike" filed by the Prosecution on 13 May 2010 ("Response of 13 May 2010") arguing that the Motion should be dismissed as the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Prosecutor v. Ante Gotovina et al., Case No. IT-06-90-T, Decision on Requests for Permanent Restraining Orders Directed to The Republic of Croatia, 12 March 2010.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Prosecutor v. Ante Gotovina et al., Case No. IT-06-90-T, Decision on Defence Request for Certification to Appeal the Trial Chamber Decision of 12 March 2010, 21 April 2010, paras 8-11.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Practice Direction on the Length of Briefs and Motions, IT/184 Rev. 2, 16 September 2005.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Motion, paras 3, 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Motion, paras 1, 5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Motion, para. 5.

remedy requested is disproportionate and unwarranted and that the Appendices meet the requirements of the Practice Direction;<sup>7</sup>

**NOTING** that the reply from Gotovina to the Prosecution's Response is due to be filed no later than 14 May 2010;

**CONSIDERING** that the interests of justice justify rendering this Decision without any delay and prior to the expiry of the deadline for the filing of a reply to the Response;<sup>8</sup>

**RECALLING** that, pursuant to paragraph (C)(6) of the Practice Direction, unlike quotations, appendices do not count towards the word limit provided they do not contain legal or factual arguments, but only non-argumentative material;

**CONSIDERING** that Appendices A and B of the Prosecution's Response contain quotations from both the Gotovina Appeal and the Impugned Decision and, therefore, should count towards the word limit in accordance with paragraph (C)(6) of the Practice Direction;

**NOTING** that Appendix A of the Prosecution's Response is a table comparing Gotovina's arguments on appeal under his first and second grounds of appeal with his arguments before the Trial Chamber and that Appendix B of the Prosecution's Response is a table comparing Gotovina's factual assertions under his first and second grounds of appeal with the Trial Chamber's contrary findings;

**CONSIDERING FURTHER** that the juxtaposition of these arguments and quotations amounts to legal and factual arguments supplementary to the Prosecution arguments,<sup>9</sup> in violation of paragraph (C)(6) of the Practice Direction;

**FINDING** therefore that the Prosecution's Response unjustifiably exceeds the limit of 9,000 words set out in paragraph (C)(2)(2) of the Practice Direction without demonstrating any exceptional circumstances warranting an oversized filing;<sup>10</sup>

# FOR THE FOREGOING REASONS,

GRANTS the Motion IN PART;

RY

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Response of 13 May 2010, paras 1, 3-8, 10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> The deadline for filing the said reply expires on 17 May 2010. Considering the arguments presented by Gotovina and the Prosecution and the outcome of the Decision, the Appeals Chamber further finds that rendering this Decision prior to the expiry of this deadline is without prejudice to the parties.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Prosecution's Response, paras 10, 12.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> See Practice Direction on Length, para. 7.

**ORDERS** the Prosecution to re-file its Response consisting of no more than 9,000 words no later than 17 May 2010 in strict compliance with paragraph (C)(6) of the Practice Direction;

**ORDERS** Gotovina to file his reply, if any, to the Prosecution's Response within four days of the re-filing of the Prosecution's Response, in any event no later than 21 May 2010, in accordance with the requirements set out in the relevant provisions of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence and the Practice Direction.

Done in English and French, the English version being authoritative.

Done this 13th day of May 2010, At The Hague, The Netherlands.

ind lour -0-

ψ

Judge Fausto Pocar Presiding

[Seal of the Tribunal]