



International Tribunal for the  
Prosecution of Persons  
Responsible for Serious Violations of  
International Humanitarian Law  
Committed in the Territory of the  
Former Yugoslavia since 1991

Case No. IT-03-67-R77.2

Date: 1 July 2009

Original: English

**IN TRIAL CHAMBER II**

**Before:** Judge O-Gon Kwon, Presiding  
Judge Kevin Parker  
Judge Iain Bonomy

**Registrar:** Mr. John Hocking

**Order:** 1 July 2009

**PROSECUTOR**

v.

**VOJISLAV ŠEŠELJ**

***PUBLIC***

---

**DECISION ON OFFICE OF THE PROSECUTOR'S MOTION  
SEEKING ACCESS TO TRIAL RECORD**

---

**Amicus Curiae Prosecutor:**  
Mr. Bruce MacFarlane, Q.C.

**Office of the Prosecutor**  
Mr. Daryl Mundis  
Ms. Christine Dahl

**The Accused:**  
Mr. Vojislav Šešelj

**THIS TRIAL CHAMBER** of the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the Former Yugoslavia since 1991 (“Tribunal”);

**BEING SEISED** of the “Prosecution Motion Seeking Access to Trial Record” filed confidentially and *ex parte* by the Office of the Prosecutor in the case IT-03-67-T (“*Šešelj* Prosecution” and “*Šešelj* case”, respectively) on 17 June 2009 (“Motion”), in which the *Šešelj* Prosecution seeks access to the entire trial record in the present case, including the full transcripts (public, private and closed sessions) of all hearings and all public and confidential exhibits (“Requested Material”)<sup>1</sup>;

**NOTING** the *Šešelj* Prosecution submits that there exists a clear nexus between the present case and the *Šešelj* case;<sup>2</sup>

**NOTING** Rule 79(A) of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence of the Tribunal (“Rules”) pursuant to which a Chamber “may order that the press and the public be excluded from all or part of the proceedings for reasons of: (i) public order or morality; (ii) safety, security or non-disclosure of the identity of a victim or witness as provided in Rule 75; or (iii) the protection of the interests of justice”;

**NOTING** Rule 75(G) of the Rules provides that a “party to the second proceedings seeking to rescind, vary, or augment protective measures ordered in the first proceedings must apply: (i) to any Chamber, however constituted, remaining seised of the first proceedings”;

**CONSIDERING** that a party is entitled to seek material from any source, including from another case before this Tribunal, to assist in the preparation of its case if the material sought has been identified or described by its general nature and if a legitimate forensic purpose for such access has been shown, and that access to confidential material from another case may be granted wherever the Chamber is satisfied that the party seeking access has established that such material may be of material assistance to its case;<sup>3</sup>

**CONSIDERING** that the present case concerns allegations that Vojislav Šešelj “knowingly violated orders granting protective measures in respect of three witnesses in his trial by publishing

---

<sup>1</sup> Motion, para. 6.

<sup>2</sup> Motion, para. 4.

<sup>3</sup> *Prosecutor v. Mile Mrkšić and Veselin Šljivančanin*, Case No. IT-95-13/1-A, Decision on Veselin Šljivančanin’s Motion Seeking Access to Confidential Material in the *Kordić* and *Čerkez* Case, 22 April 2008, para. 7.


information enabling the identification of these witnesses in a book he authored”<sup>4</sup> and that the Requested Material may be of assistance to the *Šešelj* Prosecution’s case;

**CONSIDERING** that, while the Motion was filed confidentially and *ex parte* by the Prosecution, the Chamber sees no reason not to issue the present decision publicly;

**PURSUANT TO** Rules 54 and 75(G)

**HEREBY GRANTS** the Motion and **ORDERS** that the *Šešelj* Prosecution be provided with the Requested Material as soon as practicable.

Done in English and French, the English version being authoritative.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Judge O-Gon Kwon  
Presiding

Dated this first day of July 2009  
At The Hague  
The Netherlands

**[Seal of the Tribunal]**

---

<sup>4</sup> Decision on Allegations of Contempt, 21 January 2009, para. 3.