13462 Puk



International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the

former Yugoslavia since 1991

Case No.: IT-95-5/18-PT

Date:

19 March 2009

Original: English

IN THE TRIAL CHAMBER

Before:

Judge Iain Bonomy, Presiding

Judge Christoph Flügge Judge Michèle Picard

Acting Registrar:

Mr. John Hocking

Order of:

19 March 2009

PROSECUTOR

v.

RADOVAN KARADŽIĆ

PUBLIC

ORDER CONFIRMING A RULE 65 TER MEETING AND SCHEDULING A STATUS CONFERENCE

Office of the Prosecutor:

Mr. Alan Tieger

Mr. Mark B. Harmon

Ms Hildegard Uertz-Retzlaff

The Accused:

Mr. Radovan Karadžić

THIS TRIAL CHAMBER of the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the former Yugoslavia since 1991 ("Tribunal") hereby issues this order, *ex proprio motu*, confirming a meeting to be held pursuant to Rule 65 *ter* of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence ("Rules") and scheduling a Status Conference.

- 1. On 12 March 2009, the Trial Chamber communicated to both the Office of the Prosecutor ("Prosecution") and the Accused that a meeting would be held on 24 March 2009 in one of the Tribunal's conference rooms, under Rule 65 ter of the Rules, at which a number of issues relating to trial preparation would be discussed. This meeting would be attended by all three Judges of the Chamber, as well as the Accused and representatives from the Prosecution.
- 2. On 16 March 2009, the Accused filed a Request for Proceedings to be held in Public ("Request"), in which he declined to attend the scheduled meeting and requested that "any and all proceedings in his case be held in public in a courtroom of the Tribunal." The Accused further requested that all communication between the Chamber and the parties be conducted by way of publicly filed documents, rather than by private correspondence. On 18 March 2009, the Prosecution filed a Response to Karadžić's Request for Proceedings to be held in Public, opposing both aspects of the Request, citing to relevant Rules and practice in other cases.
- 3. The Trial Chamber notes the terms of Rule 65 ter, which sets out the role of the Pre-Trial Judge at this Tribunal, and some of the mechanisms by which the Pre-Trial Judge ensures that cases are fairly and expeditiously brought to trial. Rule 65 ter (D) establishes a framework for meetings to be held regularly between the parties in order to discuss practical matters of trial preparation, under the supervision of the Pre-Trial Judge and/or a Senior Legal Officer of the Chamber. Meetings held within the terms of Rule 65 ter are generally conducted in private, in a conference room, and do not form part of the trial process. Rather, they are for purposes of effective trial preparation in a context where the parties are encouraged to discuss matters freely without any risk to the safety of witnesses by inadvertent reference to confidential material. A transcript of such meetings is recorded by the Registry, and provided to the parties on a confidential basis. Normally, the accused is not present at such meetings, but is represented by counsel who engages in the discussions with counsel for the Prosecution and the representatives of the Chamber. These provisions are routinely used in cases at this Tribunal and are recognised tools of pre-trial case management.
- 4. Rule 65 ter meetings are therefore to the benefit of all the parties to a case. One of their aims is to ensure transparency in the trial process, because the parties are encouraged to share

information, discuss practical problems that may arise in the course of trial preparation, and find mutually acceptable solutions to such problems. This system of meetings works well in practice, and the Trial Chamber sees no reason why, if the Accused wishes to represent himself, it should not work well in this case, with his participation. Following such meetings, should the Chamber or Pre-Trial Judge deem it appropriate, the transcript recorded by the Registry may be made public, upon further order. In addition, should there be matters which the parties consider to be more appropriately discussed in the context of a public hearing, these can be raised at one of the regularly scheduled status conferences. Indeed, the Chamber considers that such status conferences are likely to be more productive if they are conducted after a Rule 65 ter meeting has been held, which has been the routine practice in other cases at this Tribunal.

- 5. While the meeting scheduled for 24 March 2009 is to be attended by all of the Judges of the Chamber, this does not change its character as an administrative meeting for purposes of trial preparation. The Chamber considers it appropriate, in light of the fact that this is the first such meeting in the present case, for all of the Judges to be present, as well as the Accused and the Prosecution, so that a full discussion can be held of how the Rule 65 *ter* process will be applied in the future, among other administrative matters.
- 6. For these reasons, while the Chamber notes the Accused's expressed reluctance to attend any such Rule 65 *ter* meeting, it wishes to leave him in no doubt that the decision to hold them is not his, and that his attendance is in his own interests and is expected.
- 7. With regard to the Accused's request that all communication between the Chamber and the parties be conducted by way of publicly filed documents, the Chamber again notes that it is standard practice for the parties to proceedings before the Tribunal to communicate privately, by way of letter or e-mail, about routine administrative matters. In the present case, both parties are notified of all such correspondence. This Chamber will continue to use such methods of communication in order to most effectively and expeditiously prepare the case for trial without clogging the trial record with routine administrative correspondence.
- 8. Pursuant to Rules 54, 65 bis, and 65 ter of the Rules, the Chamber **HEREBY**:

DENIES the Request;

CONFIRMS that a Rule 65 *ter* meeting will be held on Tuesday 24 March 2009, at 2:15 p.m., in conference room M177, to be attended by the Accused, appropriate representatives from the Prosecution, and the Judges of the Chamber; and

SCHEDULES a Status Conference for Thursday 2 April 2009, at 9:00 a.m., in Courtroom 1.

Done in English and French, the English text being authoritative.

Judge Iain Bonomy

Presiding

Dated this nineteenth day of March 2009 At The Hague The Netherlands

[Seal of the Tribunal]