

International Tribunal for the

Prosecution of Persons

Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the

former Yugoslavia since 1991

Case No.:

IT-95-5/18-PT

Date:

25 February 2009

Original:

**English** 

# **IN THE TRIAL CHAMBER**

Before:

Judge Iain Bonomy, Presiding

Judge Christoph Flügge Judge Michèle Picard

**Acting Registrar:** 

Mr. John Hocking

Decision of:

**25 February 2009** 

## **PROSECUTOR**

v.

# RADOVAN KARADŽIĆ

#### **PUBLIC**

DECISION ON ACCUSED MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE RESPONSE

TO PROSECUTION MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION

## Office of the Prosecutor

Mr. Alan Tieger

Mr. Mark B. Harmon

Ms Hildegard Uertz-Retzlaff

## The Accused

Mr. Radovan Karadžić

12954

THIS TRIAL CHAMBER of the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the former Yugoslavia since 1991 ("Tribunal") is seised of the Accused's "Motion for Extention [sic] of Time to

File Response to Prosecution Motion for Reconsideration", filed by the Accused on 24 February 2009

("Motion"), and hereby issues this decision thereon.

reasonably be expected to react to material received at the last minute.<sup>3</sup>

1. In the Motion, the Accused requests that the date for responding to the "Prosecution Motion for Reconsideration of the Trial Chamber's Decision to Amend the First Amended Indictment and Urgent Request for a Stay of the Trial Chamber's Order to File a Second Amended Indictment", filed on 17 February 2009 with Confidential Annex A ("Prosecution Motion") be set at 14 days after the assignment of Mr. Marko Sladojević as legal associate for the Accused. The Accused argues that he is not in a position to analyse the supporting material appended to the Prosecution Motion in sufficient detail to respond to it, and that he wishes to consult with his legal associate Mr. Peter Robinson, who is scheduled to be in the Hague on 5 March 2009, before submitting his response. The Accused further argues that in the period of 18–20 February 2009 he was served with 15 pleadings and that he cannot

2. The Trial Chamber does not consider that a response from the Office of the Prosecutor to this Motion is necessary.

3. The Trial Chamber notes that the issues raised by the Accused in his Motion relate to the management of his case. As the Trial Chamber has pointed out on prior occasions, the Accused must realise the disadvantages of his decision to represent himself in these proceedings.<sup>4</sup> The Chamber reiterates to the Accused that choosing to proceed *pro se* is no justification for habitual requests for extension of time. The Chamber does not find that the requested extension of time in the Motion is warranted, and accordingly the deadline for the submission of a response to the Prosecution Motion by the Accused remains 25 February 2009.<sup>5</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Motion, para. 15.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Motion, paras. 9–11.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Motion, para. 14.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See Prosecutor v. Karadžić, Case No. IT-95-5/18-PT, Decision on Momčilo Perišić's Motion for Access to Confidential Materials in the Radovan Karadžić Case, 14 October 2008, para. 14.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Status Conference, 20 February 2009, T. 110.

| 4. | Accordingly, | pursuant to | Rule 54 o | f the Rules, | the Trial | Chamber | hereby | <b>DENIES</b> | the Motion. |
|----|--------------|-------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|---------|--------|---------------|-------------|
|----|--------------|-------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|---------|--------|---------------|-------------|

Done in English and French, the English text being authoritative.

Judge Iain Bonomy

Dated this twenty-fifth day of February 2009 At The Hague The Netherlands

[Seal of the Tribunal]