UNITED NATIONS 4-08-91- 2-15 December 2008

hok Hg.

International Tribunal for the

Prosecution of Persons

Responsible for Serious Violations of

International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the Former Yugoslavia since 1991 Date:

Case No:

15 December 2008

IT-08-91-PT

Original:

English

## IN TRIAL CHAMBER II

Before:

Judge O-Gon Kwon, Presiding

Judge Kimberly Prost Judge Ole Bjørn Støle

Registrar:

Mr Hans Holthuis

**Decision:** 

**15 December 2008** 

**PROSECUTOR** 

v.

MIĆO STANIŠIĆ STOJAN ŽUPLJANIN

**PUBLIC** 

DECISION ON STOJAN ŽUPLJANIN'S MOTION REQUESTING AN ORDER THAT THE PROSECUTION CLARIFY ITS MOTION OF 19 NOVEMBER 2008

## The Office of the Prosecutor:

Mr Thomas Hannis

## Counsel for the Accused:

Mr Slobodan Zečević and Mr Slobodan Cvijetić for Mićo Stanišić Mr Tomislav Višnjić and Mr Igor Pantelić for Stojan Župljanin

403

**TRIAL CHAMBER II** ("Chamber") of the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the Former Yugoslavia since 1991 ("Tribunal");

**BEING SEIZED** of "Stojan Župljanin's Motion Requesting an Order that the Prosecution Clarify its Motion of 19 November 2008" by Counsel for Stojan Župljanin ("Župljanin Defence"), filed on 3 December 2008, seeking that (i) an order to the Prosecution to file appropriate motions under Rule 92bis, Rule 92ter and Rule 92quater in relation to Stojan Župljanin, (ii) an order to the Prosecution to properly identify to the Župljanin Defence how material disclosed on 2 December 2008 relates to such motions, and (iii) an extension of time to respond to the Prosecution's Motion of 19 November 2008 to be granted to the Župljanin Defence ("Župljanin Defence Motion");

**NOTING** the "Prosecution Notice and Request Regarding Rule 92bis, 92ter and 92quater Evidence, filed on 19 November 2008" ("Prosecution's Notice"), in which it referred to its "Motion to Amend Its Rule 65ter Witness List, With Confidential Annexes" filed on 29 February 2008 in *Prosecutor v. Mićo Stanišić*, Case No. IT-04-79-PT, "which included lists of witnesses whose evidence was proposed to be introduced pursuant to Rule 92bis, Rule 92ter and Rule 92quater", and gave notice of its intent "to have its 29 February Motion be considered as applicable to both accused in this case number;"

NOTING the "Prosecution Amended Notice and Request Regarding Rule 92bis, Rule 92ter, and Rule 92quater Evidence" ("Prosecution's Amended Notice"), filed on 9 December 2008, in which the Prosecution gave notice of its intent "to have all five of its 29 February 2008 pleadings [...] be considered as applicable to both accused in this case number", i.e. (i) "Motion to amend its Rule 65ter Witness List, With Confidential Annexes", (ii) "Prosecution Motion for Admission of Transcripts and Written Transcripts in Lieu of Viva Voce Testimony Pursuant to Rule 92bis", (iii) "Prosecution Motion for Admission of Evidence Pursuant to Rule 92ter", (iv) "Prosecution Motion for Admission of Evidence Pursuant to Rule 92quater", and (v) "Prosecution Notice of Disclosure of Expert Witness Statements Under Rule 94bis", all filed on 29 February 2008 in Prosecutor v. Mićo Stanišić, Case No. IT-04-79-PT, ("Five 29 February 2008 Motions");

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Prosecution's Notice, para 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Prosecution's Notice, para 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Prosecution's Amended Notice, para 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Prosecution's Amended Notice, para 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Prosecution's Amended Notice, para 4.

**NOTING** further the Defence submissions that (i) the Prosecution has not expressly referred to any

Rule 92bis, Rule 92ter or Rule 92quater Motion in the Prosecution's Notice,6 (ii) the Župlianin

Defence initially had no access to confidential documentation from any other case, including Case

No. IT-04-79,7 and that only on 2 December 2008 did the Prosecution disclose to the Župljanin

Defence the material relevant to the Five 29 February 2008 Motions, and that the Annexes to the

these motions refer to paragraphs of an earlier indictment;<sup>9</sup>

**CONSIDERING** that, the Prosecution by the Prosecution's Amended Notice has now clarified that

it is the Five 29 February 2008 Motions it intends to have considered as applicable to both Accused

in the present case;

**CONSIDERING** further that, according to the Defence submission, in the disclosure on 2

December 2008, the Prosecution has separated the material annexed to the motions into four

separate folders according to Rule 92bis, Rule 92ter, Rule 92quater and Rule 94bis, and further,

according to information from Counsel for Prosecution to Chamber's Legal Staff on 11 December

2008, the disclosure of 2 December 2008 contains a spreadsheet for each motion containing a

hyperlink to the relevant material of that motion;

**CONSIDERING**, therefore, that the Prosecution in this respect has specified how the material

disclosed on 2 December 2008 relates to the Five 29 February 2008 Motions;

CONSIDERING further that, in the material annexed to the Five 29 February 2008, the

Prosecution has not provided cross-references to relevant provisions in the current indictment, i.e.

the Consolidated Indictment of 29 September 2008, and that it should do so by Friday 19 December

2008;

CONSIDERING further that on 26 November 2008 the Chamber granted the Župljanin Defence

access to all documents filed by the Prosecution on a confidential basis in the case of *Prosecutor v* 

Mićo Stanišić, 10 and that the Župljanin Defence received all material annexed to the Five 29

February 2008 Motions on 2 December 2008;

<sup>6</sup> Župljanin Defence Motion, para 6.
<sup>7</sup> Župljanin Defence Motion, para 3.
<sup>8</sup> Župljanin Defence Motion, para 5.
<sup>9</sup> Župljanin Defence Motion, para 5.

3

**CONSIDERING** also the volume of the material annexed to the motions;

For the foregoing reasons and pursuant to Rules 54 and 126bis of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence, the Chamber hereby:

- (1) Orders that the Prosecution provide to the Župljanin Defence and the Chamber cross-references to the relevant provisions in the Consolidated Indictment by Friday 19 December 2008;
- (2) Orders that the Župljanin Defence file its response to the Five 29 February 2008 Motions no later than Monday 26 January 2009;
- (3) Denies the Župljanin Defence Motion in all other respects.

Done in English and French, the English text being authoritative.

Dated this fifteenth of December 2008 At The Hague The Netherlands

Judge O-Gon Kwon

Presiding

[Seal of the Tribunal]

Case No.: IT-08-91-PT

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Order Regarding Access to Confidential Filings, 26 November 2008.