



International Tribunal for the
Prosecution of Persons Responsible
for Serious Violations of International
Humanitarian Law Committed in the
Territory of the Former Yugoslavia
since 1991

Case No.: IT-04-74-T
Date: 17 September 2008
Original: ENGLISH
French

IN TRIAL CHAMBER III

Before: Judge Jean-Claude Antonetti, Presiding
Judge Árpád Prandler
Judge Stefan Trechsel
Reserve Judge Antoine Kesia-Mbe Mindua

Registrar: Mr Hans Holthuis

Order of: 17 September 2008

THE PROSECUTOR

v.

**Jadranko PRLIĆ
Bruno STOJIĆ
Slobodan PRALJAK
Milivoj PETKOVIĆ
Valentin ČORIĆ
Berislav PUŠIĆ**

PUBLIC

**CORRIGENDUM TO ORDER ADMITTING EVIDENCE RELATED TO
WITNESS MILE AKMADŽIĆ (P 10509)**

The Office of the Prosecutor:

Mr Kenneth Scott
Mr Douglas Stringer

Counsel for the Accused:

Mr Michael Karnavas and Ms Suzana Tomanović for Jadranko Prlić
Ms Senka Nožica and Mr Karim A.A. Khan for Bruno Stojić
Mr Božidar Kovačić and Ms Nika Pinter for Slobodan Praljak
Ms Vesna Alaburić and Mr Nicholas Stewart for Milivoj Petković
Ms Dijana Tomašegović-Tomić and Mr Dražen Plavec for Valentin Čorić
Mr Fahrudin Ibrišimović and Mr Roger Sahota for Berislav Pušić

TRIAL CHAMBER III (“Chamber”) of the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the Former Yugoslavia since 1991 (“Tribunal”),

NOTING the request to admit evidence related to Witness Mile Akmadžić, filed by the Office of the Prosecutor (“Prosecution”) on 25 June 2008¹ (“Request”), in which the Prosecution requested the admission of Exhibit P 10509, among others.

NOTING the Order Admitting Evidence Related to Witness Mile Akmadžić, rendered by the Chamber on 17 July 2008 (“Order of 17 July 2008”), in which it ruled on the Request, among other things,

CONSIDERING that with regard to Exhibit P 10509 requested for admission by the Prosecution, in the Annex attached to the Order of 17 July 2008, it is marked as follows:

“Admitted”,

CONSIDERING that the Chamber presently notes that only certain extracts from Exhibit P 10509 have been translated into English and uploaded to the electronic e-court system marked ET-0219-9451,

CONSIDERING consequently that in the Order of 17 July 2008, in application of the guidelines on the admission of evidence in the present case,² the Chamber should have specified that only the extracts of Exhibit P 10509 translated into English marked ET-0219-9451 were admitted and this should be corrected in the disposition in this regard,

FOR THE FOREGOING REASONS,

PURSUANT TO Rules 54 and 89 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence,

ORDERS that the Annex to the Order of 17 July 2008 concerning Exhibit P 10509 be amended as follows:

¹ IC 00812.

² Decion Adopting Guidelines for the Presentation of Defence Evidence, 24 April 2008, para. 28.

“P 10509: admitted in part (English version marked ET-0219-9451) and not admitted in all other respects (reason: the remaining pages of the document have not been translated into one of the Tribunal’s working languages).”

Done in English and in French, the French version being authoritative.

/signed/

Jean-Claude Antonetti
Presiding Judge

Done this seventeenth day of September 2008
At The Hague
The Netherlands

[Seal of the Tribunal]