



International Tribunal for the
Prosecution of Persons
Responsible for Serious Violations of
International Humanitarian Law
Committed in the Territory of the
former Yugoslavia Since 1991

Case No.: IT-95-5/18-I
Date: 29 August 2008
Original: English

IN TRIAL CHAMBER III

Before: Judge Patrick Robinson, Presiding
Judge Iain Bonomy, Pre-Trial Judge
Judge Michèle Picard

Registrar: Mr. Hans Holthuis

Decision of: 29 August 2008

THE PROSECUTOR

v.

RADOVAN KARADŽIĆ

PUBLIC

**DECISION ON THE ACCUSED'S REQUEST FOR COPIES OF ORDERS TO FREEZE
ASSETS**

Office of the Prosecutor:

Mr. Mark B. Harmon
Mr. Alan Tieger

The Accused:

Mr. Radovan Karadžić

TRIAL CHAMBER III (“Trial Chamber”) of the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the former Yugoslavia since 1991 (“Tribunal”);


BEING SEISED OF the Accused’s request filed 6 August 2008 (the “Request”),¹ which seeks copies of orders to freeze assets issued in the Accused’s case pursuant to Rule 61(D) of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence (“Rules”);²

NOTING the Prosecution’s Response to the Request, filed on 13 August 2008 (“Prosecution Response”),³ in which the Prosecution states that it “has no knowledge of any orders to freeze assets of the Accused issued pursuant to Rule 61(D) of the Rules”;⁴

CONSIDERING that, indeed, no orders to freeze assets were filed by any organ in the Tribunal in the Accused’s case pursuant to Rule 61(D) of the Rules;

DECLARES the Accused’s Request **MOOT**.

Done in both English and French, the English text being authoritative.



Judge Patrick Robinson
Presiding

Done this twenty-ninth day of August 2008
At The Hague
The Netherlands

[Seal of the Tribunal]

¹ Original in B/C/S with an English translation entitled “Request for Copies of Court Orders to Freeze Assets”, filed 6 August 2008. While the Request does not conform to the format ordinarily accepted for such filings, the Trial Chamber will exceptionally accept the Accused’s filing given his unfamiliarity with the provisions of the Tribunal. Going forward however, the Accused must both familiarise and conform himself to those provisions, or designate counsel who will do so.

² Request, p. 1.

³ Prosecution’s Response to Accused’s Request for Copies of Orders Freezing Assets Pursuant to Rule 61(D) of the Rules, filed 13 August 2008.

⁴ Prosecution Response, para. 1.