

International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law

Committed in the Territory of the

former Yugoslavia since 1991

Case No.:

IT-05-87-T

Date:

1 July 2008

Original:

English

IN THE TRIAL CHAMBER

Before:

Judge Iain Bonomy, Presiding

Judge Ali Nawaz Chowhan Judge Tsvetana Kamenova

Judge Janet Nosworthy, Reserve Judge

Registrar:

Mr. Hans Holthuis

Order of:

1 July 2008

PROSECUTOR

v.

MILAN MILUTINOVIĆ NIKOLA ŠAINOVIĆ DRAGOLJUB OJDANIĆ NEBOJŠA PAVKOVIĆ VLADIMIR LAZAREVIĆ SRETEN LUKIĆ

PUBLIC

ORDER ON TESTIMONY VIA VIDEO-CONFERENCE LINK FOR ALEKSANDAR DIMITRIJEVIĆ AND DECISION ON DEFENCE REQUEST TO INTERVIEW HIM PRIOR TO HIS TESTIMONY

Office of the Prosecutor

Mr. Thomas Hannis Mr. Chester Stamp

Counsel for the Accused

Mr. Eugene O'Sullivan and Mr. Slobodan Zečević for Mr. Milan Milutinović

Mr. Toma Fila and Mr. Vladimir Petrović for Mr. Nikola Šainović

Mr. Tomislav Višnjić and Mr. Norman Sepenuk for Mr. Dragoljub Ojdanić

Mr. John Ackerman and Mr. Aleksandar Aleksić for Mr. Nebojša Pavković

Mr. Mihajlo Bakrač and Mr. Đuro Čepić for Mr. Vladimir Lazarević

Mr. Branko Lukić and Mr. Dragan Ivetić for Mr. Sreten Lukić

THIS TRIAL CHAMBER of the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the former Yugoslavia since 1991 ("Tribunal") hereby (1) issues this order *ex proprio motu* regarding the testimony of Aleksandar Dimitrijević via video-conference link, and (2) is seised of an "Ojdanic Urgent Request to Interview Aleksandar Dimitrijevic Prior to His Testimony as a Trial Chamber Witness," filed 30 June 2008 ("Request"), and hereby renders its decision thereon.

Video-conference link

- 1. The Chamber first invited, and then summoned, Aleksandar Dimitrijević ("witness") to give evidence in the above-captioned proceeding. On 30 June 2008, the Chamber received a report from the Government of the Republic of Serbia that the summons has been served upon the witness, along with a report regarding the poor health of the witness, which purportedly prevent him from travelling to the Hague to testify.
- 2. Where a trial chamber is satisfied that a witness is unable or unwilling to come to the Tribunal, and that his or her testimony is sufficiently important to make it unfair to proceed without it, it may allow testimony to be conducted via video-conference link. The Chamber considers that a video-conference link is appropriate in the present set of circumstances, based upon the fact that the information furnished to the Chamber indicates that the witness is fit enough to give evidence, although not to travel to the seat of the Tribunal to do so. The witness may arrange for the attendance of medical personnel during the taking of the testimony.
- 3. For the foregoing reasons, the Trial Chamber, pursuant to Rules 54 and 81 bis of the Rules, hereby **ORDERS** that the testimony of the witness shall be received through video-conference link on 8 and 9 July 2008 (or on a date to be communicated later to the witness and the parties), provided that the necessary equipment can be made available to the Tribunal, and **DIRECTS** the Registry to take all steps reasonably necessary to ensure that the video-conference link is established under the following conditions:
 - (a) The Registry shall make arrangements for an appropriate location from which to conduct the proceedings, in this case the Tribunal's Belgrade Field Office. The venue must be conducive to the giving of truthful and open testimony.
 - (b) The safety and solemnity of the proceedings at the location must be guaranteed.

¹ Prosecutor v. Tadić, Case No. IT-94-1-T, Decision on the Defence Motions to Summon and Protect Defence Witnesses, and on the Giving of Evidence by Video-Link, 25 June 1996, para. 19; Prosecutor v. Kvočka et al., Case

- (c) The Trial Chamber will appoint a Presiding Officer to ensure that the testimony is given freely and voluntarily. The Presiding Officer will identify the witness and explain the nature of the proceedings and the obligation to speak the truth. The Presiding Officer will inform the witness that he is liable to prosecution for perjury in case of false testimony, will administer the taking of the oath, and will keep the Trial Chamber informed at all times of the conditions at the location.
- (d) The testimony shall be given in the physical presence only of the Presiding Officer and, if necessary, of a member of the Registry technical staff, unless the Trial Chamber decides otherwise. The witness may also arrange for any necessary medical personnel to be present in order to monitor his condition, should he so desire.
- (e) The witness must, through a monitor, be able to see, at various times, the Judges, the Accused, and the questioner. The Judges, the Accused, and the questioner must each be able to observe the witness on their monitor.
- (f) A statement made under solemn declaration by a witness shall be treated as having been made in the courtroom and the witness shall be liable to prosecution for perjury in exactly the same way as if he or she had given evidence at the seat of the Tribunal.

Request for pre-testimony interview

4. The Trial Chamber ordered, on 13 March 2008, that the parties were to have no contact with the witness until his evidence was completed by the Chamber.² In its Request, which has been joined by the Lukić, Pavković, and Šainović Defence, the Ojdanić Defence asks that it be allowed to conduct an interview of the witness prior to his testimony.³ The Chamber has reviewed the *Stakić* decision cited by the Ojdanić Defence in support of the Motion,⁴ as well other jurisprudence of the Tribunal.⁵ The Chamber can discern no reasoned, *per se* rule that a party has a right to

3

No. IT-98-30/1-A, Confidential Appeals Chamber Decision on Prosecution's Request for Testimony by Video-Conference Link and Protective Measures, 2 July 2004, p. 3.

² Order Regarding Potential Witnesses to be Called by Trial Chamber, 13 March 2008.

³ Sreten Lukić Joinder to Ojdanić Urgent Request to Interview Aleksandar Dimitrijević prior to his Testimony as a Trial Chamber Witness, 30 June 2008; Pavković Joinder in General Ojdanić's Urgent Request to Interview Aleksandar Dimitrijević prior to his Testimony as a Trial Chamber Witness, 30 June 2008; Šainović Defence Submission Joining Ojdanić Urgent Request to Interview Aleksandar Dimitrijević prior to his Testimony as a Trial Chamber Witness, 30 June 2008.

⁴ Prosecutor v. Stakić, Decision to Summon a Witness Proprio Motu, 20 September 2005, p. 4.

⁵ Prosecutor v. Krajišnik, Case No. IT-00-39-T, Finalized Procedure on Chamber Witnesses; Decisions and Orders on Several Evidentiary and Procedural Matters, 24 April 2006; Prosecutor v. Blaškić, Case No. IT-95-14-T, Decision of Trial Chamber I to Call Colonel Šerif Patković as a Witness of the Trial Chamber, 21 May 1999; Prosecutor v.

interview a witness called by the Chamber to give evidence pursuant to Rule 98. Having considered all the circumstances, the Chamber finds that it would not be appropriate to allow the parties to conduct interviews of the witness prior to his giving evidence.

5. Accordingly, the Trial Chamber, pursuant to Rules 54 and 98 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence, hereby DENIES the Request.

Done in English and French, the English text being authoritative.

Judge Iain Bonomy

Presiding

Dated this first day of July 2008 At The Hague The Netherlands

[Seal of the Tribunal]

Blaškić, Case No. IT-95-14-T, Decision of Trial Chamber I in Respect of the Appearance of General Enver Hadzihasanović, 25 March 1999; Prosecutor v. Orić, Case No. IT-03-68-T, Proprio Motu Order to Call a Handwriting Expert, 25 January 2006; Prosecutor v. Orić, Case No. IT-03-68-T, Further Proprio Motu Order to Call a Handwriting Expert, 3 February 2006; Prosecutor v. Orić, Case No. IT-03-68-T, Amended Further Proprio Motu Order to Call a Handwriting Expert, 7 February 2006; Prosecutor v. Krstić, Case No. IT-98-33-A, Decision to Summon a Witness Proprio Motu, 19 November 2003.

A BARRY OF RESERVE ASSESSMENT