30 January 2008

United Nations Nations Unies



International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia

Court Management and **Support Services** Section

Tribunal Pénal International pour l'ex-Yougoslavie

Section des Services d'administration et d'appui judiciaire

CASE/AFFAIRE NO.	IT-04-74-T	DATE

FROM/DE CHUKWUKA EHIGHIBE, COURT OFFICER LYMICE				
TO/A		The second secon		
President/Président	6 Prosecutor/Procureur	Defense Counsel/Conseil de la Défense	cc	
0 Appeals Chamber/ Chambre d'appel	Case Manager/ Commis aux affaires	MR. M. G. KARNAVAS / MS. S. TOMANOVIĆ MS. S. NOŽICA / MR. K. A. A. KHAN MR. B. KOVAČIĆ / MS. N. PINTER MS. V. ALABURIĆ / MR. N. STEWART MS. D. TOMAŠEGOVIĆ-TOMIĆ / MR. D. PLAVEC MR. F. IBRIŠIMOVIĆ / MR. R. SAHOTA	(TD)	
0 Trial Chamber I/ Chambre de 1ère instance I	0 Chief of Investigations/ Chef des enquêtes			
0 Trial Chamber II/ Chambre de 1ère instance II				
(3) Trial Chamber III/ (1) Chambre de 1ère instance III				
& E-copy (IT-04-74-T)				
0 Embassy/Ambassade				
0 Other/Autre				
Registrar/Deputy Registrar/Gr	effier/Greffier adjoint	0 VWS Coordinator/Coordinateur de la SVT		
© Senior Legal Officer/Juriste hors-classe MS. L. MURNANE / MS. J. VAILHÉ (1)		0 UNDU Commanding Officer/Commandant du QPNU		
Communications Service/Service Communication OLAD				

Notice of				
confidentiality				
applicable to fax				

This facsimile transmission contains United Nations proprietary information that is strictly confidential and/or legally privileged, and is intended solely for the use of officials of the United Nations and/or the named recipient hereof. Any unauthorized disclosure, copying, distribution or other use of the information herein is trictly prohibited. If you have erroneously received this facsimile transmission, please notify the United Nations immediately.

Order/Warrant/decision issued by Appeals Chamber or Trial Chamber o r a Jud ge on/ Ordonnance/Mandat/Décision émis(e) par la Chambre d'appel ou les Chambres de lère instance ou un Juge le 29 /ol 76-y				
0 Order/Decision issued by the President on/Ordonnance/Décision émise par le Président le/				
0 Motion/Request/Application submitted by Prosecution/Defence Counsel on/ Motion/Requête/Demande présentée par l'Accusation/le Conseil de la défense le/				
0 Response/reply/brief submitted by Prosecution/Defence Coun Réponse/Réplique/Mémoire présenté(e) par l'Accusation/le Co				
0 Decision of the Registrar on/Décision du Greffier le/				
0 Other/Autre				
RECEIVED/RECU	FILED/ENREGISTRE			
Office hours/heures ouvrables Date: 29/01/2.8	Office hours/heures ouvrables Date: 27/01/2-3			
O Outside Office hours/en dehors des heures ouvrables Date: / /	Outside Office hours/en dehors des heures ouvrables Date: / /			

Article 27.2- Directive for the Registry: A party anticipating a late filing will call the Registry during office hours to request permission of the Registrar and instruction for after hour filing.

Time/Heure:

Article 27.2-Directive pour le Greffe: une partie prévoyant un dépôt hors des heures ouvrables se mettra en rapport avec le personnel du Greffe durant les heures de bureau pour solliciter l'autorisation du Greffier et les instructions nécessaires.

Churchillplein 1, 2517 JW The Hague. P.O. Box 13888, 2501 EW The Hague. Netherlands Churchillplein 1, 2517 JW La Haye. B.P. 13888, 2501 La Haye. Pays-Bas Tel.: 31-70-416 5000 Fax: 31-70-416 8637

UNITED NATIONS

IT-04-74-T D4 - 1/39473 BIS 29 January 2008 4/39473 BIS

SF



International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the Former Yugoslavia since 1991

Case No.:

IT-04-74-T

Date:

23 January 2008

ENGLISH

Original:

French

IN TRIAL CHAMBER III

Before:

Judge Jean-Claude Antonetti

Judge Árpád Prandler Judge Stefan Trechsel

Reserve Judge Antoine Kesia-Mbe Mindua

Registrar:

Mr Hans Holthuis

Decision of:

23 January 2008

THE PROSECUTOR

v.

Jadranko PRLIĆ Bruno STOJIĆ Slobodan PRALJAK Milivoj PETKOVIĆ Valentin ĆORIĆ Berislav PUŠIĆ

PUBLIC

Decision on Request to Reconsider Decisions Rejecting Admission of 17 Exhibits

The Office of the Prosecutor:

Mr Kenneth Scott Mr Douglas Stringer

Counsel for the Accused:

Mr Michael Karnavas and Ms Suzana Tomanović for Jadranko Prlić

Ms Senka Nožica and Mr Karim A. A. Khan for Bruno Stojić

Mr Božidar Kovačić and Ms Nika Pinter for Slobodan Praljak

Ms Vesna Alaburić and Mr Nicholas Stewart for Milivoj Petković

Ms Dijana Tomašegović-Tomić and Mr Dražen Plavec for Valentin Ćorić

Mr Fahrudin Ibrišimović and Mr Roger Sahota for Berislav Pušić

TRIAL CHAMBER III ("Chamber") of the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the Former Yugoslavia since 1991 ("Tribunal"),

SEIZED of the "Prosecution submission concerning various outstanding exhibits and requesting their admission", filed by the Office of the Prosecutor ("Prosecution") on 16 January 2008 ("Motion"), in which the Prosecution asked the Chamber to admit 17 exhibits, the admission of which, for the most part, had already been requested earlier ("Proposed Exhibits");

NOTING the oral decision adopted by the Chamber on 29 November 2007¹, in which it set as 10 December 2007 the deadline for the Prosecution to file motions ("Decision of 29 November 2007");

NOTING the "Decision on the Prosecution Motion for Admission of Evidence (Heliodrom Camp)", rendered by the Chamber on 5 December 2007 ("Heliodrom Decision"), in which the Chamber rejected the Prosecution motion for admission of Proposed Exhibit P 07896;

NOTING the "Decision on the Prosecution Motion for Admission of Documentary Evidence (Two Motions: HVO and Herceg-Bosna)", rendered by the Chamber on 12 December 2007 ("HVO Decision"), in which the Chamber rejected the Prosecution motion for admission of all the other Proposed Exhibits, with the exception of Exhibit P 05737;

CONSIDERING that the Chamber notes firstly that, unlike the other Proposed Exhibits, Exhibit P 05737 had never been requested for admission previously;

CONSIDERING that in support of the Motion, the Prosecution maintains that this is not about a request for the admission of additional evidence, but about outstanding matters ("outstanding matters") it wishes to resolve;

CONSIDERING that the Prosecution maintains that it supplied the translations and pages missing from the Proposed Exhibits, that it corrected the technical difficulties and that the Chamber should consequently admit the Proposed Exhibits;

CONSIDERING that with the exception of Exhibit P 05737, the Chamber already rejected the motion for admission of all Proposed Exhibits in the Heliodrom Decision and the HVO Decision;

CONSIDERING that, contrary to what the Prosecution alleges, this is therefore not about outstanding matters which should be resolved, but rather about a request to reconsider the Heliodrom Decision and the HVO Decision;

CONSIDERING that the Trial Chamber has the intrinsic power to reconsider its own decisions and that it can receive a request for reconsideration if the requesting party demonstrates to the Chamber that the reasoning behind the contested decision

_

¹ Hearing transcript in French, p. 25312.

contains a manifest error or that the particular circumstances, be they facts or fresh arguments², justify its reconsideration in order to prevent injustice³;

CONSIDERING that the Prosecution did not even try to explain to the Chamber why it had been unable to present all the Proposed Exhibits before, even though the Chamber allowed it to correct the mistakes which crept into the respective motions for admission⁴, either by authorising the filing of *corrigenda*, or responses⁵;

CONSIDERING, however, that in the Decision of 29 November 2007, the Chamber set a deadline for the Prosecution to file the motions for 10 December 2007, that the Heliodrom Decision is dated 5 December 2007 and the HVO Decision is dated 11 December 2007, but that the Prosecution waited until 16 January 2008 to file its Motion;

CONSIDERING that the Chamber finds that the Prosecution showed lack of due diligence concerning the motion to admit the Proposed Exhibits;

CONSIDERING that as regards Exhibit P 05737, the Prosecution should have filed a motion for admission before 10 December 2007;

CONSIDERING that the Chamber does not deem it necessary to wait for the Counsel for the six Accused to respond, insofar as the Motion is inadmissible;

FOR THE FOREGOING REASONS,

PURSUANT TO Rule 54 of the Rules,

DENIES the Request.

Done in English and in French, the French version being authoritative.

/signed/
Jean-Claude Antonetti
Presiding Judge

Case No. IT-04-74-T 3 23 January 2008

² The Prosecutor v. Stanislav Galić, Case No. IT-98-29-A, Decision on Defence's Request for Reconsideration, 16 July 2004, pp. 3 and 4 citing The Prosecutor v. Laurent Semanza, Case No. ICTR-97-20-T, Trial Chamber III, Decision on Defence Motion to Reconsider Decision Denying Leave to Call Rejoinder Witnesses, 9 May 2002, para. 8.

³ The Prosecutor v. Stanislav Galić, Case No. IT-98-29-A, Decision on Defence's Request for

The Prosecutor v. Stanislav Galić, Case No. IT-98-29-A, Decision on Defence's Request for Reconsideration, 16 July 2004, pp. 3 et 4 citing in particular The Prosecutor v. Zdravko Mucić et al., Case No. IT-96-21A bis, Appeals Judgement on Sentence, 8 April 2003, para. 49; The Prosecutor v. Popović et al., Case No. IT-05-88-T, Decision on Defence Motion for Certification to Appeal Decision Admitting Written Evidence pursuant to Rule 92 bis, 19 October 2006, p. 4.

⁴ Prosecution Motion for Admission of Documentary Evidence, 28 juin 2007, amended by the Amended Prosecution Motion for Admission of Documentary Evidence, filed on 27 August 2007; partly confidential Prosecution Motion for Admission of Documentary Evidence, filed on 21 September 2007.

⁵ HVO Decision, paras. 1, 2, 5, 9, 20, 28 and 29.

T 1/39473 BIS

Done this twenty-third day of January 2008 At The Hague The Netherlands

[Seal of the Tribunal]