



International Tribunal for the Prosecution of  
Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of  
International Humanitarian Law Committed in  
the Territory of Former Yugoslavia since 1991

Case No. IT-98-29/1-T

Date 13 November 2007

Original: English

**IN TRIAL CHAMBER III**

**Before:** Judge Patrick Robinson, Presiding  
Judge Antoine Kesia-Mbe Mindua  
Judge Frederik Harhoff

**Registrar:** Mr. Hans Holthuis

**Date:** 13 November 2007

**PROSECUTOR**

v.

**DRAGOMIR MILOŠEVIĆ**

***PUBLIC***

---

**DECISION ON URGENT MOTION OF GENERAL  
MILETIĆ FOR ACCESS TO CONFIDENTIAL  
MATERIAL IN THE DRAGOMIR MILOŠEVIĆ CASE**

---

**The Office of the Prosecutor:**

Mr. Stefan Waespi  
Ms. Carolyn Edgerton  
Mr. John Docherty

**Counsel for the Accused:**

Mr. Branislav Tapušković  
Ms. Branislava Isailović

**Counsel for Radivoje Miletić:**

Ms. Natacha Faveau Ivanović  
Mr. Nenad Petrusić

**TRIAL CHAMBER III** (“Trial Chamber”) of the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the former Yugoslavia since 1991 (“Tribunal”);

**BEING SEISED** of the “Urgent Motion of General Miletić for Access to Confidential Material in the Dragomir Milošević Case”, filed by the Defence for Radivoje Miletić on 23 October 2007 (“Motion”);

**NOTING** that the Defence in the current case did not respond to this Motion;

**NOTING** that the Defence for Radivoje Miletić requests access to confidential United Nations documents from the period of 8 March 1995 to 31 August 1995 which were admitted in the present case, including UNPROFOR and UNMO reports, correspondence between UNPROFOR and the civilian and military authorities of Bosnia and Herzegovina and Republika Srpska and the minutes of various meetings attended by UN representatives;

**NOTING** that the Prosecutor in the current case filed a response to the Motion on 7 November 2007, in which it does not object to the Motion, but, in light of the fact that any such documents “were provided to the Office of the Prosecutor pursuant to Rule 70 requirements”, requests the Trial Chamber to limit the decision to direct the Office of the Prosecutor to seek Rule 70 clearance to release the documents requested to the Defence for Radivoje Miletić;

**NOTING** that the Defence for Radivoje Miletić argued that this material is relevant to its case because the case against Radivoje Miletić concerns events taking place in Eastern Bosnia, Srebrenica and Žepa, which, like Sarajevo, were UN protected zones and thus information as to their status, their demilitarization and humanitarian assistance provided to those zones could be relevant to the defence of Radivoje Miletić;

**CONSIDERING** that a party may seek material from any source, including from another case before the Tribunal, to assist in the preparation of its case, if it is able to describe the documents sought by their general nature as clearly as possible even though it cannot describe them in detail and if a legitimate forensic purpose for such access has been shown, but that in doing so, a party may not engage in a “fishing expedition”;<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> *Prosecutor v. Dario Kordić & Mario Čerkez*, Case No. IT-95-14/2-A, Decision on Motion by Hadžihasanović, Alagić, and Kubura for Access to Confidential Supporting Material, Transcripts and Exhibits in the *Kordić & Čerkez* Case, 23 January 2003, p. 3; *Prosecutor v. Miroslav Kvočka et al.*, Case No. IT-98-30/1-A, Decision on Momčilo Gruban’s Motion for Access to Material, 13 January 2003, para. 5; *Prosecutor v. Enver Hadžihasanović et al.*, Case No. IT-01-47-AR73, “Decision on Appeal from Refusal to Grant Access to Confidential Material in another Case”, 23 April 2002, p. 3.

**CONSIDERING** that the relevance of the material being sought by a party may be determined by showing the existence of a nexus between the applicant's case and the case from which such material is sought, that is, where a geographical, temporal or other material overlap between the cases exists the material sought is likely to be of assistance to the applicant's case, or at least, there is a good chance that it may assist the defence of the applicant;<sup>2</sup>

**CONSIDERING** that a temporal and geographical overlap exists between the cases;

**CONSIDERING** that the requested material is likely to be of assistance to the case of Radivoje Miletić;

**CONSIDERING** that it is in the interests of justice that Rule 70 material is not disclosed except upon the provider's consent;

**PURSUANT TO**, Articles 20 and 21 of the Statute and Rules 54 and 75 of the Rules;

**HEREBY GRANTS** the Motion and **ORDERS** that access to the confidential material in the *Dragomir Milošević* case, available to date, insofar as it concerns United Nations documents from the period of 8 March 1995 to 31 August 1995, including UNPROFOR and UNMO reports, correspondence between UNPROFOR and the civilian and military authorities of Bosnia and Herzegovina and Republika Srpska and the minutes of various meetings attended by UN representatives insofar as these documents pertain to the status as protected zones of Eastern Bosnia, Srebrenica and Žepa, and Sarajevo, and/or contain information as to the demilitarization or humanitarian assistance provided to those zones;

1. For the purpose of this disposition:
  - (a) the "Prosecution" means the Prosecutor of the Tribunal and her staff;
  - (b) the "Accused" means Radivoje Miletić, the Defence counsels and immediate legal assistants and staff and others specifically assigned by the Tribunal to the Defence team and identified in a list to be maintained by the lead counsel and filed with the Trial Chamber *ex parte* and under seal within ten days of the entry of this order. Any and all additions and deletions to the initial list in respect of any of the above categories of persons who are necessarily identified and properly involved in the preparation of the defence shall be notified to the Trial Chamber in similar fashion within seven days of such additions or deletions;

---

<sup>2</sup> *Prosecutor v. Enver Hadžihasanović et al.*, Case No. IT-01-47-AR73, "Decision on Appeal from Refusal to Grant

- (c) the “public” means all persons, governments, organisations, entities, clients, associations and groups, other than the Judges of the Tribunal and the staff of the Registry (assigned to either Chambers or the Registry), the Prosecution and the accused, as defined above. The “public” specifically includes, without limitations, family, friends and associates of the accused, the accused in other cases or proceedings before the Tribunal and Defence counsel in other cases or proceedings before the Tribunal;
- (d) the “media” means all video, audio and print media personnel, including journalists, authors, television and radio personnel, their agents and representatives;
2. as the Prosecution is familiar with the material, it shall provide it to the Registry for disclosure to the Accused;
  3. material which falls under Rule 70 of the Rules shall not be disclosed unless prior authorisation is obtained by the Prosecution from the relevant authorities; the Prosecution shall be responsible for informing the Registry as appropriate;
  4. the Accused shall not disclose to the media any confidential or non public materials provided by the Prosecution;
  5. save as is directly and specifically necessary for the preparation and presentation of their case and only on leave being first granted by the Chamber, the Accused shall not disclose to the public, to the media, or to the family members and associates of the accused;
    - (a) the names, identifying information or whereabouts of any witness or potential witness identified by the Prosecution, copies of witness statements, the contents thereof, or any other information which would enable them to be identified and would breach the confidentiality of the protective measures already in place, unless absolutely necessary for the preparation of the accused case and always with the leave of the Chamber;
    - or


---

Access to Confidential Material in another Case”, 23 April 2002, p. 3.

- (b) any evidence (including documentary, audio visual, physical, or other evidence) or any written statement of a witness or the contents, in whole or in part, of any non-public evidence, statement or prior testimony disclosed to the accused;
6. if the Accused finds it directly and specifically necessary to disclose such information for the preparation and presentation of his case and having obtained leave from the Trial Chamber to do so, the Accused shall inform each person among the public to whom non-public material or information is shown or disclosed, that he or she is forbidden to copy, reproduce or publicise such non-public material or information, and is not to show or disclose it to any other person. If provided with the original or any copy or duplicate of such material, such person shall return it to the accused when such material is no longer necessary for the preparation and presentation of the Defence;
  7. if a member of the Defence team withdraws from the case, all material in his or her possession shall be returned to the lead Defence counsel for the relevant Defence team;
  8. the Accused shall have no contact with the witnesses concerned with the material to be disclosed, unless otherwise decided by the Trial Chamber under the conditions set by the latter;
  9. subject to the protective measures and orders prescribed above, the protective measures that are already in place in relation to the material disclosed should remain in place;

**REQUESTS** the Registrar to provide the material to which disclosure has been granted.

Done in English and French, the English version being authoritative.



---

Judge Patrick Robinson  
Presiding

Dated this thirteenth day of November 2007

At The Hague

The Netherlands

**[Seal of the Tribunal]**