In the Trial Chamber III

Case No.

Date:

IT-04-74-T 04 October 2007

Before:

Judge Antonetti, Presiding

Judge Prandler
Judge Trechsel
Judge Mindua

27-04-74-T 08 ocroson 207

Registrar:

Mr. Hans Holthuis, Registrar

Public Order

The Prosecutor

Jadranko Prlić, Bruno Stojić, Slobodan Praljak, Milivoj Petković, Valentin Ćorić, Berislav Pušić

Order to redact the public transcript and the public broadcast of a hearing

The Trial Chamber

(At the request of the Prosecutor / Defence and with the agreement of the parties)

ORDERS that the following blacked out text be omitted from the public transcript of this hearing and be edited from the public broadcast of this hearing

broadcast of this hearing. ≒ LiveNote SR - [04/10/2007 Day 215 + LiveNote, Inc.] Elle Edik Transcript Search Annotate Issue Report Options Window. Help The demarcation, there's mention of object, and I don't know what 509:34:39 609:34:42 that really means. And then there's mention of Bijela creek and I don't 709:34:47 know if that's included or excluded. 8 09:34:49 Now, when a military unit is given an action area, usually 909:34:54 specific geographical points are mentioned and it is mentioned whether 10 09:34:57 they are included or excluded. So here I don't know whether the Bijela 1109:35:03 Bridge is included or excluded. Now, as regards the hydroelectric installation, we see here that 1209:35:05 13 09:35:09 group north 1 in the southern part is told that the buildings to the 14 09:35:15 north of the hydroelectric installation and to the dam are excluded. 1509:35:22 obviously at the north area of the area of responsibility of the central 16 09:35:28 group these buildings are included, the buildings that are to the north 1709:35:34 of the dam. That is to say that the dam would not be under the 18 09:35:38 responsibility of group north, but it would be under the responsibility 19 09:35:42 of the central Operational Group. 2009:35:46 JUDGE TRECHSEL: A question, 21 09:35:51 we have before us an order which divides the terrain in different 22 09:36:00 operational zones, does that mean that the troops concerned have actual 23 09:36:06 control of that zone, or is it perhaps a wish or a hypothetical, $24\,$ 09:36:16 theoretical delineation of -- of areas of interest rather than a 25 09:36:23 reflection of effective control? Could you enlighten us on this? 14:1 09:36:28 does it mean an order like this one? 209:36:37 THE WITNESS: [Interpretation] Normally the division of the 3 09:36:40 battlefield into areas of responsibility implies that one unit is made 409:36:47 responsible for whatever happens in that particular area. It's in charge 5 09:36:55 of defending area or attacking in the area. Then there are many 6 09:37:01 variations if we talk about where the attack comes from or other 709:37:05 technical matters that we can leave aside for the time being. 8 09:37:08 So I understand that these areas are under the control of each 909:37:15 one of the sides involved. In military terms, you cannot exclude that 10 09:37:20 from that area through observation or through shelling you could in fact 11 09:37:26 control or observe other areas beyond that particular area. 12 09:37:31 JUDGE ANTONETTI: [Interpretation] Well, we tried to understand.

The blacked-out text, as identified by the passages prior and subsequent thereto, is confidential.

Any person or organization, including media organizations, which has possession of the public recording of all or the relevant portion of the proceeding containing the confidential information is hereby enjoined from disclosing it to any other person(s) or organization(s) as of the date and time this order is received. The failure to conform may result in contempt charges being issued by the Tribunal against the disclosing person or organization.

Jean Claude Antonetti Presiding Judge