In the Trial Chamber I

Case No. Date:

17-04-84-T 17-04-84-T 18 September 2007

225817

Before:

Judge Alphons Orie, Presiding

Judge Frank Höpfel Judge Ole Bjørn Støle

Registrar:

Mr. Hans Holthuis, Registrar

Public Order

The Prosecutor
v.
Ramush HARADINAJ
Idriz BALAJ
Lahi BRAHIMAJ

Order to Redact the Public Transcript and the Public Broadcast of a Hearing

The Trial Chamber

(At the request of the Prosecutor / Defence and with the agreement of the parties)

ORDERS that the following blacked-out text be omitted from the public transcript of the hearing dated 21 August 2007 and be edited from the public broadcast of this hearing.

LiveNote SR [21/08/2007 Day 71] File Edik Transcript Search Annotate Issue Report Options Window Help 15 15: 16: 30 which of the two bodies from the concrete part of the canal could 16 15:16:34 correspond to the bodies found in the earthen part of the canal. 17 15:16:39 thing I was supposed to do, in my understanding, was to see whether there was 80 degrees 18 15:16:43 were any other bodies according to 19 15:16:50 burned. 20 15:16:50 Q. Very well. 2015:16:50 Q. Very well.
2115:16:54 A. Therefore, the analysis of 4 A and B was not conducted, since I
2215:17:01 had no parameters for that. First of all I don't know where they were
23 15:17:07 taken and when the body that was found was actually pulled out and
24 15:17:11 marked. I can say that outright. We can see here an upper past of the
25 15:17:18 body whereas in the other photographs we have lower parts of bodies whice 25 15:17:18 body whereas in the other photographs we have lower parts of bodies which 18:17:25 may correspond to some other corpses that were subsequently found. I 2 15:17:31 solely analysed the two corpses that I was tasked with, the ones 3 15:17:38 pertaining to the concrete part of the canal.
4 15:17:40 Q. It may be that there was some misunderstanding in the questions 5 15:17:45 you were asked to address your mind to overnight. You did understand you 6 15:17:49 were being asked as well to look at the remains that were recovered from 7 15:17:55 R-18 onwards and to assist us to identify, if you would, please, whether 8 15:18:01 there is any set of remains that is consistent with the passage that I 9 15:18:07 put to you from the statement of this was paragraphs 24 and 10 15:18:16 5 and 32 and 33, where he describes an 80 per cent burnt male body that 11 15:18:24 was found two to three metres from the up-turned car and recovered from 12 15:18:30 the assistance of the fire brigade. Were you able to assist in that 13 15:18:39 regard? 13 15:18:39 regard? 13|15:18:39 regard?

A. Could we please look at photograph 18 A and 18 B? In these two 15|15:19:00 photographs, one can see the upturned vehicle. Behind the rear part of 16|15:19:08 the vehicle, it seems the trunk lid is open and we can see there some 17|15:19:16 bodily remains. Parts of the body. In photograph 18 B, one can see the 18|15:19:25 body shot from a different angle. It is charred along its entire back 19|15:19:35 side and it was the only body that was burned. If we take a look at his 20|15:19:39 statement, what I believe he remembers is this photograph, 18B, where we 21 15:20:00 can see that the body had been burned.

The blacked-out text, as identified by the passages prior and subsequent thereto, is confidential. Any person or organization, including media organizations, which has possession of the public recording of all or the relevant portion of the proceeding containing the confidential information is hereby enjoined from disclosing it to any other person(s) or organization(s) as of the date and time this order is received. The failure to conform may result in contempt charges being issued by the Tribunal against the disclosing person or organization.

Alphons Orie Presiding Judge