



International Tribunal for the  
Prosecution of Persons Responsible for  
Serious Violations of International  
Humanitarian Law Committed in the  
Territory of the Former Yugoslavia  
since 1991

Case No.: IT-04-74-T  
Date: 11 June 2007  
Original: ENGLISH  
French

**IN TRIAL CHAMBER III**

**Before:** Judge Jean-Claude Antonetti  
Judge Árpád Prandler  
Judge Stefan Trechsel  
Reserve Judge Antoine Kesia-Mbe Mindua

**Registrar:** Mr Hans Holthuis

**Decision of:** 11 June 2007

**THE PROSECUTOR**

v.

Jadranko PRLIĆ  
Bruno STOJIC  
Slobodan PRALJAK  
Milivoj PETKOVIĆ  
Valentin ĆORIĆ  
Berislav PUŠIĆ

***CONFIDENTIAL ANNEX***

**DECISION ON THE MOTION FOR PROVISIONAL RELEASE OF THE ACCUSED  
PRLIĆ**

**The Office of the Prosecutor:**  
Mr Kenneth Scott

**Counsel for the Accused:**

Mr Michael Karnavas and Ms Suzana Tomanović for Jadranko Prlić

**The Republic of Croatia**

**TRIAL CHAMBER III** (“Chamber”) of the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the Former Yugoslavia since 1991 (“Tribunal”),

**SEIZED** of “Jadranko Prlić’s Motion for Provisional Release” and its two annexes, filed confidentially by Counsel for the Accused Jadranko Prlić (“Defence for the Accused Prlić”) on 17 May 2007 (“Jadranko Prlić’s Motion”), whereby the Defence for the Accused Prlić requests his provisional release, for humanitarian reasons, to Croatia during the summer 2007 judicial recess,

**NOTING** the “Prosecution Consolidated Response to Defence Applications for Provisional Release During Summer Holiday” and its two annexes, filed confidentially by the Prosecution on 1 June 2007 (“Response”),

**CONSIDERING** that in support of the Motion, the Defence for the Accused Prlić submits that: (1) Jadranko Prlić surrendered voluntarily to the Tribunal; (2) the Accused Prlić has been provisionally released on three occasions and fully complied with the conditions imposed by the Trial Chamber in its decisions on provisional release; (3) the Government of the Republic of Croatia has pledged that the Accused Prlić will comply with the conditions imposed by the Tribunal should the application for provisional release be granted by the Chamber, and guaranteed that the Accused Prlić will return to The Hague at the request of the Chamber and it will cover all costs associated with his release, and finally (4) the parents and brother of the Accused Prlić suffer from ill health,

**CONSIDERING** that in its Response, the Prosecution again opposes the provisional release of all the Accused because none of the reasons given by the Accused in support of their motions for provisional release constitute humanitarian grounds for release,

**CONSIDERING** alternatively that should the Chamber grant Jadranko Prlić’s Motion, the Prosecution requests that: (1) the Accused Prlić be prohibited from setting foot or travelling in Bosnia and Herzegovina; (2) the Accused Prlić be prohibited from having contact with any witness, potential witness or victim; (3) the Accused Prlić be prohibited from discussing the case with anyone other than his Counsel and from having any contact with the media, and (4) the period of provisional release be significantly reduced,

**CONSIDERING** that pursuant to Rule 65 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence (“Rules”) the Chamber may order provisional release “only if it is satisfied that the accused will appear for trial and, if released, will not pose a danger to any victim, witness or other person”,

**CONSIDERING** that the Accused Prlić, while on earlier release, complied with all the conditions imposed by Trial Chambers in their orders and decisions dated 30 July 2004,<sup>1</sup> 1 July 2005,<sup>2</sup> 26 June 2006,<sup>3</sup> and 8 December 2006,<sup>4</sup>

**CONSIDERING** that the host country has not objected to any possible provisional release proceedings,<sup>5</sup>

**CONSIDERING** that in its letter of 16 May 2007, the Government of the Republic of Croatia provided guarantees to the effect that the Accused Prlić would return to The Hague and would not abscond,

**CONSIDERING** in addition that, given the Accused’s appropriate behaviour on previous occasions of provisional release, the Chamber is satisfied that, if released, the Accused Prlić will not pose a danger to any victim, witness or other person,

**CONSIDERING** that the Chamber will adjourn proceedings for the summer judicial recess and that the presence of the Accused Prlić will therefore not be required in court,

**CONSIDERING** that the application for provisional release submitted by the Accused Prlić to visit his ailing parents and brother may be considered as a request based on humanitarian grounds,

**CONSIDERING** that the Defence for the Accused Prlić submitted medical certificates to the effect that his brother and his father are ill,

**CONSIDERING** that a short visit by the Accused Prlić might help his brother and parents in their hour of need,

---

<sup>1</sup> *The Prosecutor v. Prlić et al.*, Case No. IT-04-74-PT, Order on Provisional Release of Jadranko Prlić, 30 July 2004.

<sup>2</sup> *The Prosecutor v. Prlić et al.*, Case No. IT-04-74-PT, Order on Jadranko Prlić’s Motion for Variation of Conditions of Provisional Release, 1 July 2005.

<sup>3</sup> *The Prosecutor v. Prlić et al.*, Case No. IT-04-74-PT, Decision on Motion for Provisional Release of the Accused Prlić, 26 June 2006; the dates of the Accused Prlić’s provisional release mentioned in the previous decision were amended by the “Order Amending the Decision on the Accused Prlić’s Request for Provisional Release”, 4 July 2006.

**CONSIDERING** that the conditions set out in Rule 65 of the Rules have been met,

**CONSIDERING**, however, that the provisional release should be limited to a short period, including return travel,

**CONSIDERING** that such a short visit will enable the police authorities of the Republic of Croatia to carry out effective surveillance of the Accused Prlić, thereby providing an additional guarantee that he will appear for trial after the judicial recess,

**CONSIDERING** that the Chamber is of the opinion that during his stay in the Republic of Croatia, the Accused Prlić must be under continuous surveillance by the authorities of the Republic of Croatia in order to guarantee his safety and appearance for the resumption of trial,

**CONSIDERING** therefore that the Accused Prlić will be provisionally released during the dates and subject to the conditions set out in the confidential annex to this decision,

**FOR THE FOREGOING REASONS,**

**PURSUANT TO** Rule 65 of the Rules,

**GRANTS** Jadranko Prlić's motion in part,

**JUDGE ANTONETTI** appending a partially dissenting opinion as regards the partly confidential nature of the present decision and,

**ORDERS** the provisional release of the Accused Prlić during the dates and subject to the conditions set out in the confidential annex to this decision.

Done in English and in French, the French version being authoritative.

*/signed/*

---

Jean-Claude Antonetti  
Presiding Judge

Done this eleventh day of June 2007  
At The Hague  
The Netherlands

**[Seal of the Tribunal]**

---

<sup>4</sup> *The Prosecutor v. Prlić et al.*, Case No. IT-04-74-PT, Decision on the Motion for Provisional Release of the Accused Prlić, 8 December 2006.

<sup>5</sup> See letter from the Ministry of Foreign Affairs dated 23 May 2007.