UNITED NATIONS

International Tribunal for the

Prosecution of Persons

Responsible for Serious Violations of

International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the

Former Yugoslavia since 1991

Case No.

IT-03-68-A

Date:

14 May 2007

Original:

English

IN THE APPEALS CHAMBER

Before:

Judge Fausto Pocar, Presiding

Judge Liu Daqun Judge Andrésia Vaz Judge Theodor Meron

Judge Wolfgang Schomburg

Registrar:

Hans Holthuis

Decision of:

14 May 2007

PROSECUTOR

v.

NASER ORIĆ

DECISION ON PROSECUTION'S "NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY"

The Office of the Prosecutor:

Ms. Christine Dahl

Counsel for Naser Orić:

Ms. Vasvija Vidović

Mr. John Jones

THE APPEALS CHAMBER of the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the Former Yugoslavia since 1991 ("International Tribunal"),

RECALLING that it is seized with the "Prosecution's Notice of Appeal" filed on 31 July 2006 ("Notice of Appeal") from the Judgement of Trial Chamber II rendered on 30 June 2006 in *Prosecutor v. Naser Orić*;¹

NOTING "[t]he Prosecution's Appeal Brief" filed on 16 October 2006 as amended by the "Prosecution's Corrigendum to Appeal Brief" filed on 18 October 2006 and by the "Prosecution's Motion for Variance Concerning Order and Numbering of the Arguments on Appeal" of the same date, the "Defence Response Brief" filed on 27 November 2006, and "[t]he Prosecution's Reply Brief" filed on 12 December 2006;

NOTING the Prosecution's "Notice of Supplemental Authority", filed on 25 April 2007 ("Notice"), in which the Prosecution submits that the *Bralo* Sentencing Judgement³ contains findings that are relevant to the Prosecution's appeal in the present case;⁴

NOTING the "Defence Response to Prosecution 'Notice of Supplemental Authority" filed on 1 May 2007 ("Response"), in which Naser Orić ("Orić") objects to the filing of the Notice and submits that it should be struck;⁵

NOTING the "Prosecution's Reply to Defence Response to the Notice of Supplemental Authority" filed on 7 May 2007 ("Reply"), in which the Prosecution submits that it filed the Notice in order to give Orić fair notice that the Prosecution intends to rely on the *Bralo* Sentencing Judgement in its appeal;⁶

CONSIDERING the provisions of the "Practice Direction on Formal Requirements for Appeals from Judgement",

CONSIDERING that with the filing of the Prosecution's Reply Brief on 12 December 2006, the briefing for its appeal was completed;

 $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$

1

¹ Case No. IT-03-68-T.

² Decision on the Prosecution's Motion for Variance Concerning Order and Numbering of the Arguments on Appeal and on the Prosecution's Corrigendum to Appeal Brief, 3 May 2007, p. 3.

³ Prosecutor v. Miroslav Bralo, Case No. IT-95-17-A, Judgement on Sentencing Appeal, 2 April 2007 ("Bralo Sentencing Judgement").

⁴ Notice, paras 1-3.

⁵ Response, para. 3.

⁶ Reply, para. 1.

⁷ IT/201 of 7 March 2002, paras. 7-10.

CONSIDERING that a party may file "supplementary authorities [which] may be pertinent to an issue to be decided in [the] case" to bring its briefs up-to-date, provided that the issue has already been raised in the party's briefs and the supplemental authorities became available only after the filing of the briefs;8

CONSIDERING that the Bralo Sentencing Judgement was rendered after the briefing of the Prosecution's appeal in the present case was completed;

REMINDS the Prosecution that supplemental authorities to an appeal may be filed only with leave of the Appeals Chamber⁹ but, nevertheless, considers that it is in the interests of justice to accept the Notice as filed;

INVITES the parties, if they so wish, to elaborate on the relevance of the Bralo Sentencing Judgement during the hearing of the appeals;

FOR THE FOREGOING REASONS,

ACCEPTS the Notice as validly filed.

Done in French and English, the English version being authoritative.

Judge Fausto Pocar Presiding

Dated this day of 14th May 2007, at The Hague, The Netherlands.

[Seal of the International Tribunal]

⁸ Prosecutor v. Nikola Šainović and Dragoljub Ojdanić, Case No. IT-99-37-AR65, Order Granting Leave to File Supplemental Authorities, 16 October 2002, ("Šainović & Ojdanić Order"), p. 3.

⁹ Cf. Šainović & Ojdanić Order, p. 3; Prosecutor v. Radislav Krstić, Case No. IT-98-33-A, Decision on the

Prosecution's Motion Seeking Leave to File a Supplementary Book of Authorities, 19 November 2003, p. 2.