UNITED NATIONS		IT-98-29/1-Т Д2196-Д2194 12 Јамиару 2007		2196 RK
	International Tribunal for the Prosecution of	Case No.	IT-98-29/1-T	
	Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of Former Yugoslavia since 1991	Date:	12 January 2007	
		Original:	English	

## **IN TRIAL CHAMBER III**

Before:	Judge Patrick Robinson, Presiding Judge Antoine Kesia-Mbe Mindua Judge Frederik Harhoff
Registrar:	Mr. Hans Holthuis
Decision of:	12 January 2007

## PROSECUTOR

V.

# DRAGOMIR MILOŠEVIĆ

## DECISION ON PROSECUTION MOTION FOR ADMISSION OF WITNESS WRITTEN STATEMENT PURSUANT TO RULE 92*ter* OF THE RULES OF PROCEDURE AND EVIDENCE

# The Office of the Prosecutor:

Mr. Alex Whiting Mr. Stefan Waespi Ms. Carolyn Edgerton Mr. John Docherty

## **Counsel for the Accused:**

Mr. Branislav Tapušković Ms. Branislava Isailović **TRIAL CHAMBER III** ("Trial Chamber") of the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the former Yugoslavia since 1991 ("Tribunal");

**BEING SEISED** of the "Prosecution Motion for Admission of Witness Written Statement Pursuant to Rule 92 *ter* of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence, with Confidential Annex A", filed on 5 January 2007 ("Motion"), in which the Prosecution requests the Trial Chamber to admit into evidence the written statement of witness W-41;

**NOTING** that a redacted copy of the witness' statement is attached as Confidential Annex A to the Motion;

**NOTING** that the Defence have indicated that they do not object to the admission into evidence of the written statement;

**NOTING** Rule 92 *ter* of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence ("Rules"), pursuant to which a Trial Chamber may admit the evidence of a witness in the form of a written statement if the witness is present in court, available for cross-examination and any questioning by the Judges and if the witness attests that the statement accurately reflects the witness' declaration and what the witness would say if examined;

**NOTING** that W-41 is scheduled to appear in court in the week of 15 January 2007, at which time the requirements of Rule 92 *ter* can be fully met;

## FOR THE FOREGOING REASONS,

PURSUANT TO Rule 92 ter of the Rules,

## HEREBY GRANTS the Motion,

**ORDERS** that the written statement of witness W-41, as submitted in Confidential Annex A to the Motion, be admitted into evidence, subject to the witness:

1) appearing in court

2) being available for cross-examination and questioning by the Judges, and

3) attesting that the written statement accurately reflects his declaration and what he would say if examined, and

Case No. IT-98-29/1-T

Requests the Registrar to assign an exhibit number to the written statement as soon as these requirements are met.

Done in English and French, the English version being authoritative.

Judge Patrick Robinson Presiding

Dated this twelfth day of January 2007 At The Hague The Netherlands

## [Seal of the Tribunal]

Case No. IT-98-29/1-T