



International Tribunal for the
Prosecution of Persons Responsible
for Serious Violations of
International Humanitarian Law
Committed in the Territory of the
Former Yugoslavia since 1991

Case no.: IT-04-74-T
Date: 13 December 2006
English
Original: FRENCH

TRIAL CHAMBER III

Before: Judge Jean-Claude Antonetti
Judge Árpád Prandler
Judge Stefan Trechsel
Reserve Judge Antoine Kesia-Mbe Mindua

Registrar: Mr Hans Holthuis

Decision of: 13 December 2006

THE PROSECUTOR

vs.

Jadranko PRLIĆ
Bruno STOJIC
Slobodan PRALJAK
Milivoj PETKOVIĆ
Valentin ĆORIĆ
Berislav PUŠIĆ

**Decision on the Admission into the Record of Documents Presented at the
Hearing**

The Office of the Prosecutor:

Mr Kenneth Scott
Mr Daryl Mundis

Defence Counsel:

Mr Michael Karnavas and Ms Suzana Tomanović for Jadranko Prlić
Ms Senka Nožica and Mr Peter Murphy for Bruno Stojić
Mr Božidar Kovačić and Ms Nika Pinter for Slobodan Praljak
Ms Vesna Alaburić and Mr Nicholas Stewart for Milivoj Petković
Ms Dijana Tomašegović-Tomić and Mr Dražen Plavec for Valentin Ćorić
Mr Fahrudin Ibrišimović and Mr Roger Sahota for Berislav Pušić

TRIAL Chamber III (“Chamber”) of the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Criminal Law Committed in the Territory of the Former Yugoslavia since 1991 (“Tribunal”),

PROPRIO MOTU

NOTING the “Decision on New Measures to Bring the Trial to an End within a Reasonable Time” rendered by the Chamber on 13 November 2006 (“Decision of 13 November 2006”),

CONSIDERING that in the Decision of 13 November 2006, the Chamber ordered the Parties to move for the admission of evidence presented in court by filing written lists,

CONSIDERING that according to that same Decision, the lists will be filed in court and marked IC (“in court”),

CONSIDERING that it is in the interest of an expeditious and efficient trial to file, if necessary, the objections and the responses to those objections in writing,

CONSIDERING that to this end it is appropriate to adopt a single procedure here which sets the absolute time limits for filing such written submissions so that the Chamber may issue a ruling as quickly as possible,

CONSIDERING moreover that it is the responsibility of the Party requesting the admission of a document to indicate whether that document must be admitted under seal,

CONSIDERING finally that it is the responsibility of each Party to ensure that the documents for which it is requesting admission have been registered in the “e-court” database even before requesting that the documents be admitted into the record of the case,

FOR THESE REASONS

PURSUANT TO Rules 54 and 89 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence,

ORDERS the following under penalty of denial of the requests for the admission of documents and the objections of the Parties:

1. Once the last day of the appearance of the witness concerned has ended ("Day 1"), each Party must file in court a written list requesting the admission of documents which have been presented to that witness in court and whose admission it is seeking ("Requests for admission"), at the latest by the commencement of the first hearing day following Day 1 ("Day 2");
2. The objections to the documents in the Requests for admission must be filed in writing at the latest by the commencement of the first hearing day following Day 2 ("Day 3");
3. Any response to such objections must be filed in writing at the latest by the commencement of the first hearing day following Day 3 ("Day 4");
4. At the commencement of each hearing, the Parties shall file the written submissions to which reference is made in the above-mentioned points with the Court Officer and the Chamber's Legal Officer, it being understood that such written submissions shall then be assigned IC numbers ("in court").

Done in English and French, the French version being authoritative.

Jean- Claude Antonetti

Presiding Judge Trial Chamber III

Done this thirteenth day of December 2006

At The Hague

The Netherlands

[Seal of the Tribunal]