

A-145

REPUBLICA SRPSKA
MINISTARSTVO UNUTRAŠNJIH POSLOVA
STANICA JAVNE BEZBJEDNOSTI
SREBRENICA

RR:46579

Broj: 13--5/02-159/96.
Datum: 22.10.1996. godine

mi
ic
U
re
sa

SLUŽBENA ZABILJEŠKA

1 Sačinjena dana 22.10.1996. godine u prostorijama OKP-SJB Srebrenica po ovlaštenom službenom licu Vidović Željku, na okolinosti prikupljanja podataka i materijalnih dokaza protiv Nasera Orića i njegovih saradnika, u vezi sa izvršavanjem krivičnih djela ratnog zločina na našem području.

2 U vezi sa depešom CJB Zvornik br. 13-/02-230-363/96. od 13.08.1996. godine prikupili smo materijal koji ukazuje na mogućnost izvršenja krivičnih djela ratnog zločina na području opštine Srebrenica od strane Nasera Orića i dr.

3 Sav prikupljeni materijal pronadjen je po oslobodjenju Srebrenice u prostorijama bivšeg hotela "Donavija".

4 U rozom fasciklu nalazi se više prijedloga za dodjelu stimulativnih mjera, pohvala, odlikovanja, novačnih nagrada i dr. za jedinice i pojedince Teritorijalne odbrane Srebrenica, kao i dvije karte o napadima pojedinih jedinica Teritorijalne odbrane Srebrenica.

5 U drugom rozom fasciklu nalazi se komplet krivični spis o ubistvu Zekić Elobodana i njegove majke, koje je izvršeno 10.02.1993. godine, kao i Krsmanović Stojana izvršeno 27.07.1993. godine od strane Halilović Emira, sin Safeta i majke Emine Panić, rođen 01.09.1969. godine u Potočarima, opština Srebrenica, spis nosi broj HU-14/93.

6 U bijelom omotu pod brojem HU-5/93, nalazi se zapisnik o uvidjaju sačinjen dana 11.05.1993. godine u Srebrenici o ubistvu Dimitrovska Velinke, rođena 10.08.1940. godine u B.Bašti, i njenog muža Dimitrovska Krste, rođen 06.08.1935. godine u Krivoj Palanci, ER Makedonija, izvršeno od strane MN izvršioca.

7 U braon svesci velikog formata sačinjan je spisak poginulih boraca Oružanih snaga Srebrenice po pojedinim jedinicama.

8 U aktu br. 11/92 od 02.07.1992. godine OŠTO Srebrenica sadržan je sastav novog ratnog predsjedništva opštine Srebrenica, formirana ratna vojna policija za čijeg rukovođioca je postavljen Halilović Mirzet, te je formirana služba i veza i informisanje za čijeg načelnika je postavljen Alić Hamed.

9 - U izvještaj TO Srebrenica od 25.06.1992. godine br. 08/92. vidljive su aktivnosti TO Srebrenica o ubistvu 14 arkanovaca, kao i samog Arkana, koji je podlegao ranama. U istom izvještaju vidljiv je i podatak o postavljanju komandanta TO Srebrenica Nasera Orića, kao i druge aktivnosti o uništavanju oklopnih tran-

...

sportera, o ubijanju većeg broja, kako navode "četnika", među kojima i Gorana Zekića.

RR146580

U izvještaju br. 27/92. od 28.07.1992. godine navodi se uspješno izveden veći broj akcija, odnosno čišćenju terena na potezu između Kravice i Bratunca, te aktivnosti od 25.07.1992. godine na području Pajića i Rače, gdje su kako se navodi uništena 2 kamiona ŽS i jedno putničko vozilo, gdje je izbačen iz stroja veći broj ekstremista SDS i zaplenjena veća količina pješadijskog naoružanja i municije.

U zahtjevu br. 201/92. od 12.12.1992. godine Džananić Šehad traži odobrenje o učešću u akciji sa jedinicom koju vodi Alić Zajko sa Likara.

Na parčetu papira komandir SJB Srebrenica, Jusufović Nurija traži od nekog Avdića kako da postupa sa 9 lica Srpske nacionalnosti koji su kod njega pritvoreni, a imače su iz Karna.

U priloženih 13 potvrda Oružanih snaga Srebrenice sadržani su podaci pojedinih boraca o učešću na pojedinim akcijama, likvidiranju Srpskih vojnika, uništenim materijalno-tehničkim sredstvima, čišćenju pojedinih terena, odnosno odbrani istih, ranjavanju pojedinih, odnosno o provedenom vremenu u pojedinim jedinicama.

ZABILJEŠKU SAČINIO

Vidović Željko

RR146579

REPUBLIC OF SRPSKA
MINISTRY OF INTERIOR
PUBLIC SECURITY STATION /SJB/
SREBRENICA

Number: 13—5/02 / 159/96
Date: October 22, 1996

OFFICIAL NOTE

Prepared on October 22, 1996 in the premises of OKP SJB Srebrenica by the authorized employee, Zeljko Vidovic about circumstances of data collection and gathering of material evidences against Naser Oric and his associates related to commission of war crimes in our area.

In regards to the dispatch of CJB Zvornik No. 13-/02-230-363/96 dated on August 13, 1996, we gathered materials indicating the possibility that Naser Oric and others committed war crimes in the area of Srebrenica.

All gathered materials were found after liberation of Srebrenica in the premises of the former "Domavija" hotel.

The pink folder contains several proposed incentive measures, commendations, awards, financial prizes etc. for units and individuals from TO Srebrenica, as well as two maps of attacks of certain TO Srebrenica units.

The other pink folder contains the whole criminal file on the murder of Zekic Slobodan and his mother, committed on February 10, 1993, and murder of Krsmanovic Stojan, committed on July 27, 1993 by Halilovic Emir, son of Safet and mother Emina Palic, born on September 01, 1969 in Potocari, Srebrenica municipality; the file number is HU-14/93.

The white folder No. HU-5/93 contains an investigation report made on May 11, 1993 in Srebrenica on the murder of Velinka Dimitrovski, born on August 10, 1940 in Bajina Basta and her husband, Krsto Dimitrovski, born on August 08, 1935 in Kriva Palanka, FR Macedonia, committed by an unknown perpetrator.

The brown notebook of a large format contains the list of killed fighters of the Armed Forces of Srebrenica per unit.

The document No. 11/92 of OSTO Srebrenica, dated on July 02, 1992 contains data on composition of the new war presidency of Srebrenica municipality, the war military police was established and Mirzet Halilovic was appointed as the chief of police, and the

Draft translation RR146579-80

liaison and information department was also formed and Hamed Alic was appointed as the department head.

The report of TO Srebrenica dated on June 23, 1992 No. 08/92 includes activities of TO Srebrenica related to killing of 14 Arkan supporters and Arkan himself who died of wounds. The same report includes information about appointment of TO Srebrenica commander, Naser Oric and other activities related to destruction of armoured transporters, killing of a large number of "cetniks", as they say, including Goran Zekic among others.

RR146579

The report No. 27/92 from July 28, 1992 includes information about several successfully conducted actions i.e. ground inspection of the area between Kravica and Bratunac, and activities from July 25, 1992 in the area of Pajici and Raca, where, as stated, two ZS trucks and one passenger vehicle were destroyed, a large number of SDS extremists were disabled and large quantities of infantry weapons and ammunition were confiscated.

In the request No. 201/92 from December 12, 1992, Sehad Džananović asked for approval to take part in an action with the unit lead by Zajko Alic from Likari.

SJB Srebrenica commander, Nurija Jusufović asks a certain Avdić in a note on a piece of paper what to do with nine detained Serbs from Karno.

The attached 13 certificates of the Armed Forces of Srebrenica contain data on certain fighters and their involvement in certain actions, liquidation of Serb soldiers, destroyed material-technical means, ground inspection or defence, wounding of certain individuals i.e. time spent in certain units.

The Note prepared by:

Zeljko Vidović

2. Second clip is about the exchange of prisoners from Karno

13.08.1992.

We were here. Nobody touched us. We had everything we needed. They gave us to eat and drink whatever they had. Nobody touched us. They are taking us for the exchange now.

Question: Please tell us how many times you were taken for the exchange in Potocarska rijeka?

Answer: This is the third time.

Question: And how about the others? Have you been maltreated, beaten or tortured?

Answer: No, nobody.

Question: Let us ask these two other young men over here. You two came in later. Is that correct? Has someone beat you, maltreat you, or molest you? Please do not be afraid, tell me freely.

Answer: Nobody did.

Question: You had food and everything was o.k. Is that correct?

Answer: Yes we had.

O.K.

Transkript video klipa /razmjena zatvorenici Karno
13.08.1992.

Evo ovdje smo bili. Niko nas nije dirao. Sve smo imali uslužno. Što su imali davali su nam da jedemo. Pili smo. Niko nas nije pipnuo. Evo sad nas vode u razmjenu.

Pitanje: Samo nam recite koliko ste puta išli na razmjenu dole u Potočarsku rijeku?

Odgovor: Ovo nam je treći put.

Pitanje: Da li je neko još vas ostale maltretirao tukao, mučio?

Odgovor: Nije niko.


Pitanje: Da pitamo ovu dvojicu momaka ovamo. Vi ste kasnije dovedeni vas dvojica, je li tako? Da li vas je neko tukao, maltretirao ili zlostavljao? Nemojte se plašiti recite slobodno.

Odgovor: Nije niko.

Pitanje: Imali ste hranu, sve u redu je li tako?

Odgovor: Jesmo.

Dobro



2. Second clip is about the exchange of prisoners from Karno

13.08.1992.

We were here. Nobody touched us. We had everything we needed. They gave us to eat and drink whatever they had. Nobody touched us. They are taking us for the exchange now.

Question: Please tell us how many times you were taken for the exchange in Potocarska rijeka?

Answer: This is the third time.

Question: And how about the others? Have you been maltreated, beaten or tortured?

Answer: No, nobody.

Question: Let us ask these two other young men over here. You two came in later. Is that correct? Has someone beat you, maltreat you, or molest you? Please do not be afraid, tell me freely.

Answer: Nobody did.

Question: You had food and everything was o.k. Is that correct?

Answer: Yes we had.

O.K.

REPUBLIKA BOSNA I HERCEGOVINA
MINISTARSTVO UNUTRASNJIH POSLOVA SARAJEVO
CENTAR SLUZZBI BEZBJEDNOSTI TUZLA
STANICA JAVNE BEZBJEDNOSTI
S R E B R E N I C A
Broj:435/95
Dana,25.04.1995.godine

PREDMET:Spisak pripadnika Stanice
BEZBJEDNOSTI
javne bezbjednosti Srebrenica,
L A
d o s t a v l j a m o . -
pravne i
e sa sjedi-

CENTAR SLUZZBI
T U Z
-Odjeljenje za
upravne poslov
sstem u Tuzli-

VEZA:Vassa depessa br.20-PU-III/13-46 od 11.04.1995.godine. -

U vezi Vasse depesse broj i datum veze dostavljamo Vam
spisak
pripadnika Stanice javne bezbjednosti Srebrenica, koji su od poc
cetaka
ratnih dejstava do danas bili ili su joss uvijek angazzovani u S
tanici
javne bezbjednosti Srebrenica.

Takodje Vas izvjesstavamo da je Begich Hazir, sin Adila,
rodhen 1972.
godine bio angazzovan kao policajac u SJB Srebrenica od Republi
ke Bosne i
Hercegovine u "284" Istoccnj Bosanskoj lahkoj brigadi, i obavlja
duzznost
Naccelnika saobrachajne sluzzbe.
Napominjemo da je imenovani samovoljno napustio sluzzbu.

PRILOG: -Spisak pripadnika SJB
Srebrenica
-Spisak radnika SJB Srebrenica
koji su odredjeno vrijeme bili
angazzovani na drugim duzznostima.

N A C C E L N I
Hakija Meholjic

K
h

REPUBLIKA BOSNA I HERCEGOVINA
MINISTARSTVO UNUTRASNJIH POSLOVA SARAJEVO
CENTAR SLUZZBI BEZBJEDNOSTI TUZLA
STANICA JAVNE BEZBJEDNOSTI
S R E B R E N I C A

Broj:435/95

Dana,21.04.1995.godine

OSTI TUZLA

CENTAR SLUZZBI BEZBJEDN

upravne

-Odjeljenje za pravne i

u Tuzli-

poslove sa sjedisstem

Red. ka br.	Ime i prezime Duzznost ime oca koju obav.	Datum Datum angazz.	Aktivni Datum ili rez. razduzz.	Nacionalna pripadnost	SSkolska sprema
001.	Meholjich (Huseina) Hakija Nacelnik	01.03.74.	-	Musliman	SSS
002.	Mustafich (Mehmedalija) Tajib Komandir	01.02.89.	02.05.94.	"	VSS
003.	Jusufovich (Sade) Nurija VD Komand.	01.09.78.	-	"	SSS
004.	Djozich (Hruste) Elvir Referent	20.05.92.	18.03.94.	"	NSSS
005.	Efendich (Ressida) Ressid Pom.Nacel.	01.03.73.	-	"	VSSS
006.	Husich (Osman) Mujo Inspektor	28.04.93.	-	"	SSS
007.	Vranjkovina (Rame) Edhem Inspektor	16.01.84.	28.10.93.	"	SSS
008.	Hasich (Chazima) Mirsad Inspektor	10.06.92.	-	"	SSS
009.	Gluhich (Mustafe) Sabahudin Krim.tehn.	28.04.93.	-	"	SSS
010.	Mustafich (Ibre) Razija Daktilograf	11.10.83.	-	Muslimanka	NSSS
011.	Purkovich (SSevke) Nizama Pom.radnik	01.05.93.	-	"	NSSS
012.	Ahmetovich (Ibrahima) Sevda Pom.radnik	01.05.93.	12.07.94.	"	NSSS
013.	Jugovich (Avde) Bego Referent	04.05.93.	20.09.93.	Musliman	VSSS
014.	Aljkanovich (Hase) Azem Pom.K-dira	01.09.77.	-	"	VSSS
015.	Mustafich (Hajre) Hasan Kom.odjelj.	01.09.78.	-	"	SSS
016.	Djozich (Muaza) Mevzad Kom.odjelj.	10.09.84.	-	"	SSS
017.	Salihovich (Hasiba) Hasan			"	SSS

	Kom.odjelj.	10.06.91.	-			
018.	Salihovich (Alije) Senad			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	10.09.82.	04.05.93.			
019.	Nukich (Hirkije) Sakib			"	"	SSS
	Vodja sekt.	01.07.90.	-			
020.	Mustafich (Salke) Abid			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	01.07.91.	07.09.94.			
021.	Dzananovich (Ismeta) Dzanan			"	"	SSS
	Vodj.sekt.	01.07.91.	-			
022.	Halilovich (Himze) Hamed			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	01.07.91.	-			
023.	Dzanich (Jusufa) Samir			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	01.07.91.	-			
024.	Omerovich (Chamila) Selahudin			"	"	SSS
	Kom.odjelj.	07.04.93.	14.10.93.			
025.	Ahmetovich (Ibrahima) Hajrudin			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	01.01.93.	07.09.94.			
026.	Dautbassich (Bajre) Muhamed			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	01.05.93.	-			
027.	Alich (Alage) Fahrudin			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	06.05.93.	04.04.94.			
028.	Dzananovich (Dzemala) SSehad			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	28.04.93.	20.10.94.			
029.	Omerovich (Edhema) Ressid			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	28.04.93.	-			
030.	Salihovich (Junuza) Nedzad			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	28.04.93.	07.09.94.			
031.	Dervissevich (Hajrudin) Sabahudin			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	17.07.92.	-			
032.	Mustafich (Nijaza) Fakir			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	02.01.93.	-			
033.	Dzananovich (Avde) Osman			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	19.08.92.	10.11.93.			
034.	Suljich (Jakuba) Nesib			"	"	NSSS
	Policajac	02.01.93.	-			
035.	Osmanovich (SSahmana) Faruk			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	04.07.93.	-			
036.	Latifovich (Habiba) Nermin			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	02.09.92.	-			
037.	Karich (Sulejmana) Nusret			"	"	VSS
	Inspektor	21.05.92.	-			
038.	Karich (Nurije) Enez			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	21.05.92.	31.07.93.			
039.	Husejinagich (Cherima) Mirsad			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	09.08.92.	-			
040.	Smajich (Mehana) Mehmed			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	21.05.92.	-			
041.	Hasanovich (Mehmeda) Hurem			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	01.05.93.	-			
042.	Avdich (Halida) Abid			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	01.05.93.	21.05.93.			
043.	Mujccinovich (Alije) Muharem			"	"	SSS

	Vodja sekt.	02.05.93.	-			
044.	Gabeljich (Asima) Amir			"	"	SSS
	K-dir odjelj.	03.05.93.	-			
045.	Durakovich (Izeta) Muharem			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	04.05.93.	21.01.95.			
046.	Avdich (Omera) Hasib			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	06.05.93.	15.09.93.			
047.	Mehich (Asima) Muzaffer			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	03.05.93.	-			
048.	Tihich (Hameda) Sead			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	06.05.93.	18.10.93.			
049.	Bektich (Huseina) Huso			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	06.05.93.	-			
050.	Hasanovich (Huse) Ismet			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	06.05.93.	-			
051.	Hasanovich (Safeta) Mustafa			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	06.05.93.	-			
052.	Husich (Safeta) Sabit			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	06.05.93.	31.07.93.			
053.	CCivich (Bekte) Besim			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	06.05.93.	-			
054.	Nalich (Rifeta) Hakija			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	06.05.93.	-			
055.	Orich (Muje) Muhidin			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	06.05.93.	12.04.95.			
056.	Zukich (Abdulkadira) Midhat			"	"	SSS
	Inspektor	06.05.93.	-			
057.	Efendich (Hase) Zinudin			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	06.05.93.	12.04.95.			
058.	Efendich (Ismeta) Senad			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	06.05.93.	25.03.95.			
059.	Efendich (Edhema) Mehmed			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	06.05.93.	-			
060.	Malich (Nezira) Jakub			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	01.01.93.	14.02.95.			
061.	Zukich (Ibrahima) Dzevad			"	"	SSS
	Vodja sekt.	05.12.92.	-			
062.	Efendich (Dzemala) Dzevad			"	"	SSS
	Vodja sekt.	05.12.92.	-			
063.	Planich (Ibro) Sabahudin			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	05.12.92.	04.06.93.			
064.	Mustafich (Ragiba) Halil			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	06.05.93.	-			
065.	Hodzich (Malage) Redzep			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	06.05.93.	12.01.94.			
066.	Atich (Osmana) Mirsad			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	09.05.93.	-			
067.	Suljich (Memissa) Fahrudin			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	10.05.93.	-			
068.	Salccinovich (Bessira) Mensur			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	08.05.93.	-			
069.	Halilovich (Ize) Dahmo			"	"	SSS

	Policajac	10.05.93.	-	"	"	SSS
070.	Gabeljich (Jusufa) Fahrudin			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	10.05.93.	-	"	"	SSS
071.	Krdzich (Dauta) Nazif			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	12.05.93.	-	"	"	SSS
072.	Halilovich (Muniba) Hakija			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	10.05.93.		"	"	SSS
073.	Hasanovich (Rame) Mirsad			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	12.02.93.	13.10.93.	"	"	SSS
074.	Halilovich (Jusufa) Ismet			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	17.05.93.	-	"	"	SSS
075.	Kandzetovich (Atifa) Ibrahim			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	17.05.93.	-	"	"	SSS
076.	Orich (Abdurahmana) SSevket			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	12.05.93.	09.02.95.	"	"	SSS
077.	Teskeredzich (Dzemaila) Sejfo			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	07.06.93.	-	"	"	SSS
078.	Hrustich (Omera) Almir			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	01.07.91.	-	"	"	NSSS
079.	Dzanich (Eneza) Zihrada			"	"	NSSS
	Pom.radnik	01.07.93.	26.01.95.	"	"	SSS
080.	Selimovich (Juse) Admir			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	21.07.93.	-	"	"	SSS
081.	Nukich (Ibrahima) Mirsad			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	21.07.93.	-	"	"	SSS
082.	Salihovich (Hamdija) Mevlid			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	21.07.93.	18.01.94.	"	"	SSS
083.	Omerovich (Sulje) Sakib			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	21.07.93.	-	"	"	SSS
084.	Bektich (Rame) Mevlid			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	21.07.93.	-	"	"	SSS
085.	Pejmanovich (Tahmaza) Mirzet			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	21.07.93.	-	"	"	SSS
086.	Atich (Ibrahima) Seid			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	01.08.93.	25.05.95.	"	"	SSS
087.	Bessich (Besse) Hamdija			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	01.08.93.	-	"	"	SSS
088.	Djogaz (Ahme) Vahid			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	01.08.93.	16.02.95.	"	"	SSS
089.	Kadrich (SSukrije) Kadrija			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	01.08.93.	-	"	"	SSS
090.	Mujich (Salccina) Suada			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	01.08.93.	-	"	"	SSS
091.	Orich (Ibrahima) Bajro			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	01.08.93.	27.02.95.	"	"	SSS
092.	Hodzich (Bechira) Senudin			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	01.08.93.	13.10.94.	"	"	SSS
093.	Mustafich (Bekira) Sadik			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	01.08.93.	16.02.95.	"	"	SSS
094.	Ademovich (Taiba) Avdo			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	01.08.93.	-	"	"	SSS
095.	Omich (Hakija) Sabahudin			"	"	SSS

	Polocajac	01.08.93.	01.07.94.			
096.	Ikanovich (Rifeta) Refik			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	01.08.93.	-			
097.	Lolich (Ramiza) Behudin			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	01.08.93.	-			
098.	Efendich (Salke) Aziz			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	01.08.93.	25.03.95.			
099.	Delich (Chamila) Omer			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	01.08.93.	-			
100.	SSechich (Ohrana) Jasmin			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	01.08.93.	16.02.95.			
101.	Hodzich (Jusufa) Asim			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	01.08.93.	-			
102.	Mujkich (Hajre) Fahrudin			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	01.08.93.	-			
103.	Alissevich (Vejza) Himzo			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	01.08.93.	-			
104.	Hadzovich (Hajrulaha) Avdulah			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	01.08.93.	-			
105.	Orich (Nurifa) Mevludin			"	"	OSS
	Policajac	01.08.93.	16.02.95.			
106.	Bektich (Zildzije) Fahrudin			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	10.08.93.	-			
107.	Avdich (Dzemala) SSevko			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	10.08.93.	-			
108.	Ahmetovich (Hasana) Ahmo			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	10.08.93.	-			
109.	Ibrahimovich (Zuhde) Hasib			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	10.08.93.	-			
110.	Krdzich (Sadika) Ohran			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	14.09.93.	-			
111.	Hasanovich (Eneza) Edhem			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	19.09.93.	01.02.95.			
112.	Smajich (Nezira) Zildzija			"	"	SSS
	SSef odsjeka	20.09.93.	-			
113.	Hukich (Muje) Sabit			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	17.09.93.	-			
114.	Suljich (Jusufa) Halil			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	15.09.93.	-			
115.	Tursunovich (Jusuf) Mujo			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	15.09.93.	-			
116.	Gabeljich (Rasima) Zijad			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	15.09.93.	-			
117.	Memissevich (Nurifa) Nurija			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	14.09.93.	09.02.95.			
118.	Djozich (Edhema) Hidajet			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	04.10.93.	-			
119.	Husich (Mehmeda) Mujo			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	06.10.93.	-			
120.	Kadrich (Juse) Jusuf			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	04.10.93.	-			
121.	CCivich (Safeta) Sakib			"	"	SSS

	Policajac	03.12.93.	-			
122.	Halilovich (Hajre) Avdulah			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	03.12.93.	-			
123.	Abdurahmanovich (Rasima) Asim			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	03.12.93.	-			
124.	Krdzich (Safeta) Mevludin			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	03.12.93.	-			
125.	SSechich (Rame) Enver			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	03.12.93.	01.02.94.			
126.	Begich*Adila) Hazir			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	03.12.93.	12.01.94.			
127.	Hasanovich (Mehmeda) Mustafa			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	25.12.93.	-			
128.	Mehmedovich (Muje) Beriz			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	25.12.93.	-			
129.	Tabakovich (Hameda) Vahdet			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	25.12.93.	-			
130.	Dzelich (Fadila) Emir			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	25.12.93.	09.02.95.			
131.	Kandzetovich (Bekte) Dzemaal			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	25.12.93.	-			
132.	Mulalich (Omera) Salih			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	25.12.93.	-			
133.	Tursunovich (Ramiza) Muriz			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	25.12.93.	25.04.94.			
134.	Osmanovich (Mustafe) Fahrudin			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	25.12.93.	-			
135.	Mehmedovich (Adema) Nail			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	11.02.94.	-			
136.	Selimovich (Selima) Sejad			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	11.02.94.	09.02.95.			
137.	Smajich (Jusufa) Essef			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	24.02.94.	-			
138.	Hajdarevich (Ragiba) Esed			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	18.01.94.	-			
139.	Orich (Nesiba) Bekir			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	08.03.94.	09.02.95.			
140.	Mujanovich (Asima) Sabrija			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	08.03.94.	-			
141.	Bektich (Kirama) Kadrija			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	08.03.94.	09.02.95.			
142.	Handzich (Hakije) Sejad			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	08.03.94.	09.02.95.			
143.	Salihovich (Lutve) Senad			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	29.03.94.	09.02.95.			
144.	Malagich (Rifet) Adis			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	29.03.94.	-			
145.	Hasanovich (Alije) Samir			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	29.03.94.	-			
146.	Osmanovich (Rame) Nijaz			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	29.03.94.	12.11.94.			
147.	Efendich (Refika) Reuf			"	"	SSS

	Policajac	04.04.94.	-			
148.	Muratovich (Idriza) Abdulah			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	04.04.94.	13.10.94.			
149.	Smajlovich (Mede) Husejn			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	04.04.94.	21.02.95.			
150.	Imssirevicg (Arofa) Behaija			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	04.04.94.	24.07.94.			
151.	Orich (Jusufa) Nermjn			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	04.04.94.	16.02.95.			
152.	Orich (Abida) Sezan			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	04.04.94.	16.02.95.			
153.	Durakovich (Hasana) Huso			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	04.04.94.	-			
154.	Jassarevich (Mehe) Velid			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	04.04.94.	05.02.95.			
155.	Ahmetovich (Huse) Hajrija			"	"	OSS
	Pom.radnik	13.04.94.	25.12.94.			
156.	Kalich (Idriza) Muriz			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	26.07.94.	-			
157.	Hasanovich (Alije) Mirdihan			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	18.08.94.	-			
158.	Avdich (kadrije) Mesud			"	"	NSSS
	Policajac	29.08.94.	-			
159.	Begich (Dalije) Dzevad			"	"	NSSS
	Policajac	29.08.94.	-			
160.	Suljich (Muje) Senahid			"	"	NSSS
	Policajac	29.08.94.	-			
161.	Bajraktarevich (Ismeta) Mirsad			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	29.08.94.	-			
162.	Salihovich (Rame) Safir			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	13.09.94.	09.02.95.			
163.	Zuhrich (Mehmeda) Esad			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	09.09.94.	09.02.95.			
164.	Alich (Ramiza) Sabrija			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	09.09.94.	-			
165.	Mustafich (Ahme) Sakib			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	01.10.94.	-			
166.	Kandzetovich (Ahmeta) Omer			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	14.10.94.	-			
167.	Avdich (Sulejmana) Sulejma			"	"	NSSS
	Pom.radnik	20.10.94.	-			
168.	Hajdarevich (Salima) Kiram			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	25.10.94.	-			
169.	Aljich (SSukrije) Velija			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	04.11.94.	14.02.95.			
170.	Hamzabegovich (SSemse) SSemsudin			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	04.11.94.	10.11.94.			
171.	Zimich (Azema) Salih			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	04.11.94.	-			
172.	Dzanich (Zuhde) Fahrudin			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	04.11.94.	-			
173.	Bumbulovich (Mehe) Fikret			"	"	NSSS

	Policajac	04.11.94.	14.02.95.			
174.	Hasanovich (Saliha) Salkan	"	"	"	"	SSS
	Policajac	04.11.94.	-			
175.	Handzich (Huseija) Sadet	"	"	"	"	SSS
	Policajac	04.11.94.	14.02.95.			
176.	Memissevich (Ressida) Sejad	"	"	"	"	NSSS
	Policajac	04.11.94.	26.11.94.			
177.	Delich (Selima) Ramiz	"	"	"	"	SSS
	Policajac	04.11.94.	-			
178.	Ahmetovich (Mehmeda) Mevlid	"	"	"	"	NSSS
	Policajac	04.11.94.	14.02.95.			
179.	Sulejmanovich (Selima) Kemal	"	"	"	"	SSS
	Policajac	04.11.94.	-			
180.	Selimovich (Mehmeda) Salko	"	"	"	"	NSSS
	Policajac	04.11.94.	21.11.94.			
181.	Ademovich (Fadila) Bego	"	"	"	"	NSSS
	Policajac	04.11.94.	14.02.95.			
182.	Bechirovich (Mehmeda) Memiss	"	"	"	"	SSS
	Policajac	04.11.94.	-			
183.	Kremich (Asima) Adil	"	"	"	"	SSS
	Policajac	04.11.94.	-			
184.	Salihovich (Redze) Remzija	"	"	"	"	SSS
	Policajac	04.11.94.	14.02.95.			
185.	Numanovich (Edhema) Sabir	"	"	"	"	SSS
	Policajac	04.11.94.	-			
186.	Hasanovich (Mehmedalija) Nermin	"	"	"	"	SSS
	Policajac	10.11.94.	-			
187.	Smajich (Omera) Refik	"	"	"	"	VSSS
	Inspektor	16.01.95.	-			
188.	Zahirovich (Nedzada) Muriza	"	"	"	"	NSSS
	Pom.radnik	16.02.95.	-			
189.	Husich (Hamdije) Alma	"	"	"	"	SSS
	Referent	20.03.95.	-			
190.	Mandzich (Ahme) Vejsil	"	"	"	"	SSS
	Referent	28.03.95.	-			
191.	Ahmetovich () Hafa	"	"	"	"	NSSS
	Pom.radnik	28.12.94.	01.04.95.			
192.	Talovich (Muje) Emina	"	"	"	"	NSSS
	Pom.radnik	01.04.95.	-			
193.	Bogilovich (Asima) Bechir	"	"	"	"	SSS
	Nacelnik	20.05.92.	15.04.93.			
194.	Mehmedovich (Mustafe) Mujo	"	"	"	"	SSS
	Policajac	21.05.92.	10.04.93.			
195.	Hadzovich (Mustafe) Sabahudin	"	"	"	"	SSS
	Policajac	01.02.93.	01.04.93.			
196.	Salkich (Salccina) Mehmedalija	"	"	"	"	SSS
	Zam.K-dira	20.05.92.	01.10.92.			
197.	Salkich (Mehmeda) Beriz	"	"	"	"	SSS
	Policajac	21.05.92.	21.10.92.			
198.	Ssehich (Alije) Nedzib	"	"	"	"	SSS
	Policajac	21.05.92.	21.10.92.			
199.	Sejdinovich (Jusufa) Avdo	"	"	"	"	SSS

	Policajac	31.05.92.	06.04.93.			
200.	Salkich(Nurifa)Juso			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	21.05.92.	12.07.92.			
201.	Barukccich(Messe)Hasan			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	21.05.92.	12.07.92.			
202.	Malagich(Omera)Dzafer			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	23.05.92.	14.02.93.			
203.	Alich(Sabrija)Salžo			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	23.05.92.	18.02.93.			
204.	Djozich(Nezira)Irfan			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	26.05.92.	21.10.92.			
205.	Dizdarevich(Mehmeda)Mujo			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	23.05.92.	21.06.92.			
206.	Mustafich(Alije)Hidajet			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	25.05.92.	18.02.93.			
207.	Mujiccich()Muharem			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	25.05.92.	01.08.92.			
208.	Ibrahimovich(Nufika)Zahid			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	27.05.92.	07.07.92.			
209.	Siruccichi(Idriza)Nurija			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	28.05.92.	08.06.92.			
210.	Hasanovich(Mustafe)Vahid			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	01.06.92.	12.08.92.			
211.	Latifovich(SSabana)Habib			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	03.06.92.	18.02.93.			
212.	Mujich(Rame)Kadir			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	03.06.92.	18.02.93.			
213.	Hajdarevich(Omera)Hasan			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	01.09.78.	24.03.93.			
214.	Halilovich(Sulje)Jakub			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	15.06.92.	02.11.92.			
215.	Dzanich(Azema)Vahdet			"	"	SSS
	Policajac	01.07.91.	16.01.93.			

Zakljucno sa rednim brojem 215 (dvjesta petnaest).

N A C

C E L N I K

Ha

kija Meholjich

122

REPUBLIC OF BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA /RBH/
SARAJEVO MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR
TUZLA SECURITY SERVICES CENTRE
S R E B R E N I C A PUBLIC SECURITY STATION /SJB/
No: 435/95
25 April 1995

SUBJECT: List of members of the Srebrenica SJB

TO THE TUZLA SECURITY SERVICES CENTRE
Legal and administrative department in Tuzla

Reference: Your dispatch no. 20-PU-III/13-46 of 11 April 1995

With regard to your dispatch, the number and date as above, we hereby provide a list of members of the Srebrenica SJB who have been working at the Srebrenica SJB since the start of the war.

We also wish to inform you that Hazir BEGIĆ, son of Adil, born in 1972, policeman of the Srebrenica SJB, was engaged by the RBH in the 284th Eastern Bosnia Light Brigade as chief of the transport service. We note that he left the service of his own accord.

ENCLOSED:

- List of the Srebrenica SJB members
- List of Srebrenica SJB employees who were engaged in other duties for a limited period.

CHIEF
Hakija MEHOLJIĆ

REPUBLIC OF BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA /RBH/
 SARAJEVO MINISTRY OF THE INTERIOR
 TUZLA SECURITY SERVICES CENTRE
 S R E B R E N I C A PUBLIC SECURITY STATION /SJB/
 No: 435/95
 21 April 1995

TO THE TUZLA SECURITY SERVICES CENTRE
 Legal and administrative department in Tuzla

No.	First (father's name) and last name	Duty	Date of recruitment	Date of discharge	Active or reserve	Ethnic affiliation	Education
001	Hakija (Husein) MEHOLJIĆ	Chief	1 Mar 1974	-	Active	Muslim	SSS /secondary school education/
002	Tajib (Mehmedalija) MUSTAFIĆ	Commander	1 Feb 1989	2 May 1994	"	"	VSS /?university education/
003	Nurija (Sado) JUSUFOVIĆ	Acting commander	1 Sep 1978	-	"	"	SSS
004	Elvir (Hrusto) ĐOZIĆ	Clerical officer	20 May 1992	18 Mar 1994	"	"	NŠS /elementary school education
005	Rešid (Rešid) EFENDIĆ	Assistant Chief	1 Mar 1973	-	"	"	VŠS /post-secondary education
006	Mujo (Osman) HUSIĆ	Inspector	28 Apr 1993	-	"	"	SSS
007	Edhem (Ramo) VRANJKOVINA	Inspector	16 Jan 1984	28 Oct 1993	"	"	SSS
008	Mirsad (Čazim) HASIĆ	Inspector	10 Jun 1992	-	"	"	SSS
009	Sabahudin (Mustafa)	Forensic	28 Apr 1993	-	"	"	SSS

	GLUHIĆ	technician					
010	Razija (Ibro) MUSTAFIĆ	Typist	11 Oct 1983	-	"	Muslim woman	NŠS
011	Nizama (Ševko) PURKOVIĆ	/?Unskilled/ worker	1 May 1993	-	"	"	NŠS
012	Sevda (Ibrahim) AHMETOVIĆ	/?Unskilled/ worker	1 May 1993	12 Jul 1994	"	"	NŠS
013	Bego (Avdo) JUGOVIĆ	Clerical officer	4 May 1993	20 Sep 1993	"	Muslim	VŠS
014	Azem (Haso) ALJKANOVIĆ	Assistant Commander	1 Sep 1977	-	"	"	VŠS
015	Hasan (Hajro) MUSTAFIĆ	Squad Commander	1 Sep 1978	-	"	"	SSS
016	Mevzad (Muaz) ĐOZIĆ	Squad Commander	10 Sep 1984	-	"	"	SSS
017	Hasan (Hasib) SALHOVIĆ	Squad Commander	10 Jun 1991	-	"	"	SSS
018	Senad (Alija) SALHOVIĆ	Policeman	10 Sep 1982	4 May 1993	"	"	SSS
019	Sakib (Hirkija) NUKIĆ	Sector leader	1 Jul 1990	-	"	"	SSS
020	Abid (Salko) MUSTAFIĆ	Policeman	1 July 1991	7 Sep 1994	"	"	SSS
021	Džanan (Ismet) DŽANANOVIĆ	Sector leader	1 July 1991	-	"	"	SSS
022	Hamed (Himzo) HALILOVIĆ	Policeman	1 Jul 1991	-	"	"	SSS
023	Samir (Jusuf) DŽANIĆ	Policeman	1 Jul 1991	-	"	"	SSS

024	Selahudin (Camil) OMEROVIĆ	Squad Commander	7 Apr 1993	14 Oct 1993	"	"	SSS
025	Hajrudin (Ibrahim) AHMETOVIĆ	Policeman	1 Jan 1993	7 Sep 1994	"	"	SSS
026	Muhamed (Bajro) DAUTBAŠIĆ	Policeman	1 May 1993	-	"	"	SSS
027	Fahrudin (Alaga) ALIĆ	Policeman	6 May 1993	4 Apr 1994	"	"	SSS
028	Šehad (Džemal) DŽANANOVIĆ	Policeman	28 Apr 1993	20 Oct 1994	"	"	SSS
029	Rešid (Edhem) OMEROVIĆ	Policeman	28 Apr 1993	-	"	"	SSS
030	Nedžad (Junuz) SALIHVIĆ	Policeman	28 Apr 1993	7 Sep 1994	"	"	SSS
031	Sabahudin (Hajrudin) DERVIŠEVIĆ	Policeman	17 Jul 1992	-	"	"	SSS
032	Fakir (Nijaz) MUSTAFIĆ	Policeman	2 Jan 1993	-	"	"	SSS
033	Osman (Avdo) DŽANANOVIĆ	Policeman	19 Aug 1992	10 Nov 1993	"	"	SSS
034	Nesib (Jakub) SULJIĆ	Policeman	2 Jan 1993	-	"	"	NŠS
035	Faruk (Šahman) OSMANOVIĆ	Policeman	4 Jul 1993	-	"	"	SSS
036	Nermin (Habib) LATIFOVIĆ	Policeman	2 Sep 1992	-	"	"	SSS
037	Nusret (Sulejman) KARIĆ	Inspector	21 May 1992	-	"	"	VSS /?university degree/
038	Enez (Nurija) KARIĆ	Policeman	21 May 1992	31 Jul 1993	"	"	SSS

039	Mirsad (Ćerim) HUSEJINAGIĆ	Policeman	9 Aug 1992	-	"	"	SSS
040	Mehmed (Mehan) SMAJIĆ	Policeman	21 May 1992	-	"	"	SSS
041	Hurem (Mehmed) HASANOVIC	Policeman	1 May 1993	-	"	"	SSS
042	Abid (Halid) AVDIĆ	Policeman	1 May 1993	21 May 1993	"	"	SSS
043	Muharem (Alija) MUJČINOVIĆ	/document ends/					

01839572-A, 01.07.1912


D986

Časovni odluke radi poslijere:

predsjed opsu: AVAK HAJRUSIN
 pred. 10: FESIC HANUĐIJA
 nacelnik za prvu: BEČIĆEVIĆ DZENAL
 sekretar talag pred. EFENIĆ REŠID
 komandant TO: ORIC NASER
 nacelnik OZ: HANUĐIĆ JOSIF
 nacelnik SJB: BOGILOVIĆ BEČIĆ

odbornici:

NERIĆ AZI
 DADIĆ MIRSAD
 NANJIĆ SEFIK



Komandant vojne policije: HANUĐIĆ MIRIĆ



/handwritten document/

/illegible/ 1 July 1992Send the decision for ^{appointment} /illegible/

President of the //Municipality/	Hajrudin ^{AV} D UDIC
Chairman of the IO /Executive Committee/	Hamdija FEJZIC
Chief of //Commerce/	Dzermal BECIREVIC
Secretary of the //War Presidency/	Resid EFENDIC
TO /Territorial Defence/ Commander	Naser ORIC
CZ /Civilian Protection/ Commander	Jusuf HALILOVIC
Chief of SJB /Public Security Station/	Becir /BOGILOVIC/
Deputies:	Aziz NEKIC
	Mirsad DUDIC
	Sefik MANZIC

 Military //Police/ Commander: Mirzet Halilovic

IX

03592911

Avdiću kod mene su pritvoreni oni građani Srpske nacionalnosti
iz Kerna ima njih 9,
Oni su dovedeni sinoć u teku noći i sada se nalaze kod mene po
daj rješite šta da radimo sa tih građana,

Uputa i narednica
Komandir SJB Srebrenica
Jusufović Muris
1170-1

D987

03592911

Avdic, I have here imprisoned the Serb citizens from ^{Karno}~~Kama~~, nine of them.
They were brought in during last night and they are now here. Please decide and tell us what
to do with these citizens.

SJB Srebrenica Commander
Nuriya Jusufovic
Signature

Draft translation 03592911





STANDING COURT EXPERT WITNESS FOR DOCUMENT ANALYSIS

Prof. ESAD BILIĆ; Address: 71 000 SARAJEVO, H. Kapidžića 7/3

Tel/fax: 00387 464 171; cell phone 00387 61 143 464; e-mail: bilices@bih.net.ba

Account No: 129-000-00000000-06-4002139600 HVB-Central profit banka Sarajevo;

JMBG:1808948172667: Municipality Novi Grad Sarajevo

Case No: IT-03-68-T
INTERNATIONAL TRIBUNAL

DEFENCE COUNSEL
TO
MR. NASER ORIĆ
LAWYERS:
MS. VASVIJA VIDOVIĆ
MR. JOHN JONES

REPORT ON EXPERT ANALYSIS OF CONTENTIOUS DOCUMENTS
WITH HANDWRITING AND SIGNATURES IN THE CASE
PROSECUTOR v. NASER ORIĆ

BY: Esad Bilić, graduate of the Department of Education and Psychology, standing and sworn court expert witness, graphologist registered for the territory of the Federation BiH and Brčko District, expert for forensic analysis of authenticity of documents (handwriting, signatures, numbers, typewriters, seals, stamps, press, paper, writing devices, for the protection of documents, bank notes, securities, public and official documents, identity, printed forms, etc.

Sarajevo, 02 December 2005

In the case No. IT-03-68-T before the International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia (hereinafter: International Tribunal), Prosecutor v. **NASER ORIĆ** (hereinafter: N.O.), the defence counsel in their written submission of 22 June 2005 requested an expert analysis of the documents with contentious handwritings and signatures.

The defence counsel to Mr. N.O., lawyers Ms. Vasvija Vidović and Mr. John Jones, engaged Prof. Esad Bilić from Sarajevo, 7/3, H. Kapidžića street, Bosnia and Herzegovina, who is a standing and sworn court expert witness.

Based on the Order issued by the International Tribunal on 12 October 2005, Ms. Krystal K. Thompson, representative of the Registrar, handed to the engaged expert witness of the defence in person the contentious documents listed in the text below on 26 October 2005.

All the contentious ERN documents were directly handed over, and the expert witness signed the receipt after having examined them visually.

Pursuant to the Order by the International Tribunal, the engaged defence expert witness returned in person on 23 November 2005 all the earlier handed over contentious documents to the appointed Registrar's representative. The documents were in the same condition as when they had been originally handed over to the expert witness.

THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTS WERE SUBJECT TO EXPERT ANALYSIS:

- I. **The original ERN documents: 02075703 (P-46)* S-1.; 02075704-02075705 S-2.; 02075701 S-3.; 02075710 (P-48)* S-4.; 02075711 (P-49) S-5.; 02075713 (P-101)* S-6.; 02075714 (P-51)* S-7.; 02075760 (P-66)* S-8.; 01239581 (P-19)* S-9a.; 02075791 (P-69)* S-10.; 0275697 (P-485)* S-11.; 02075742 S-12.; 02075743 (P-62)* S-12a.; with the contentious signatures of Hamed Salihović (hereinafter: H.S.).**
- II. **The source ERN documents: 02075763 (P-108)* S-9.; 02075704-02075705 S-2.; 02075701 S-3.; 02075710 (P-48)* S-4.; 02075711 (P-49)* S-5.; 02075713 (P-101)* S-6.; 02075714 (P-51)* S-7.; 02075760 (P-66)* S-8.;**

01239581 (P-19)* S-9a.; **02075791** (P-69)* S-10.; **02075697** (P-485)* S-11.; **02075742** S-12.; **02075743** (P-62)* S-12a.; **01321045-01321046**, S-13.; **01837364-01837364A** S-14; and **01837373-01837374** S-15.; with H.S's contentious handwriting.

III. The original ERN documents: **02075809** (P-74)* S.1; **02075808** (P-73)* S.2; **02075810** (P-75)* S.3.; **01239504** (P-4)* S.4.; **01839608** (P-37) S.6; **01239545** (P-158)* S.7.; **01239528** (P-13)* S.10.; and **02139544** (P-14)* S.12.; **with contentious signatures of N.O.**, and the contentious signatures by **Hamdija Fejzić** (hereinafter: H.F.) at three documents with ERN underlined.

IV. Photocopies of ERN documents: **03720933** (P-176)* S.5.; **03721400** (P-210)* S.8.; **03721419** (P-213)* S.9.; **00926461** (P-3)* S.11; **01801596** (P-330)* S.13; with **contentious N.O signature**.

NON CONTENTIOUS DOCUMENTS

1. Original documents with non contentious handwriting and signatures /late/ Hamed Salihović (hereinafter: H.S.):

N.1.* List of enrolled students of 22 September 1969, H.S file in University in Sarajevo Archives, Science Faculty in Sarajevo, Department of geography (handwriting and signature by H.S);

N.2. Third Semester form-1970/1971 at the above mentioned Faculty, (handwriting and signature by H.S.);

N.3. Semester form, Sarajevo 30 September 1970 (handwriting and signature by H.S.);

N.4. Semester form, Sarajevo 22 January 1971 (handwriting and signature by H.S);

N.5. Fifth semester enrollment form 1971/1972 (handwriting and signature by H.S.);

N.6. Semester/trimester form, Sarajevo, 16 October 1972, (handwriting and signature by H.S.);

N.7. Semester/trimester form, Sarajevo 23 February 1973, (handwriting and signature by H.S.);

- N.8. Application for exam form, Sarajevo, 8 January 1973, (handwriting and signature by H.S.);
- N.9. Application for exam form, Sarajevo, 1 February 1973 (handwriting and signature by H.S.);
- N.10. Application for exam form – Regional Geography of Yugoslavia, Sarajevo, 5 June 1973, at the above mentioned Faculty (handwriting and signature by H.S.);
- N.11. Application for exam form – Regional Geography of Europe and USSR, Sarajevo, 5 June 1973, (handwriting and signature by H.S.);
- N.12. Application for Certificate on graduation, Sarajevo 1 February 1974. (Handwriting and signature by H.S.);
- N.13. Two A-4 sheet form, Document by MUP CSB [Ministry of the Interior, Centre for Public Security) Tuzla SJB [Public Security Service] Srebrenica, No: 18-13/09-111-18 of 01 August 1991, Proposal for the nomination of the Skelani, Srebrenica Unit Commander (signature by H.S.);
- N.14. One A-4 sheet, SJB Srebrenica document, Crime Task Force Unit, No: 18-13/09-400-34 of 16 October 1991 (signature H.S.).

2. Source documents signed by Naser Orić
(Hereinafter: N.O.):

- N.1.* File of deposited signatures with ZPP Tuzla of 12 July 1996 with two non contentious signatures by N.O.
- N.2. DJL »AOR« Tuzla, Dispatch note for the transport of goods No. 01/99 of 28 June 1999 with non contentious signature by N.O.
- N.3. DJL » AOR« Tuzla, Bid for transport of goods, No. 18/99 of 8 July 1999 with non contentious signature by N.O.
- N.4. On A-4 sheet with lines and undisputed block handwriting by N.O. in the poem format, Den Hag 8 August 2003.
- N.5. To N.12, Original non contentious signatures and handwriting by N.O., in the form of a date »23 May 2001«, identified at the upper part of separate pages of eight photocopied documents ERN 02066400 to 02066407;

3. Original documents with no contentious handwriting and signature by Hamdija Fejzić (hereinafter: H.F.):

- N.1.* Raiffeisen bank dd BiH Sarajevo credit card No. 24968-1 and 36211-0, (signatures by H.F.);
- N.2. Receipt No. 14/2001, Tuzla, 24 April 2004 (signed by H.F.);

N.3. Sales Contract, verified copy, No.2527/2004, of 23 September 2004, Municipality Court in Visoko (signed by H.F.).

N.4. Preliminary Contract on joining assets, verified copy No. 895/2001 of 10 August 2001, Municipality Court I, Sarajevo (signed by H.F.).

N.5. A checked A-4 sheet, letter of 10 November 2005, Sarajevo (handwriting and signature by H.F.).

Explanation

* Number of evidence by Prosecutor (P-4)*, etc.

* Investigation reference:

-contentious documents (handwriting and signature by H.S.) from S-1 to S.15

-contentious documents (handwriting and signature by N.O.) from S.1.to S.13

-no contentious documents (handwriting and signature by H.S.) from N.1 to

N.14

- non contentious documents (handwriting and signature by N.O) from N.1 to

N.13

-non contentious documents (handwriting and signature by H.F.) from N.1 to

N.5

On the basis of the questions raised by the Defence, it was necessary to establish, through the expert analysis, the following:

- If the contentious documents (in the format of handwritten note) ERN: 02075704-02075705; 02075711; 02075714; 02075760; 02075763; 02075743 and 01321045, investigation reference: S-2.; S-5.; S-7.; S-8.; S-9.; S-12a. and S-13., were handwritten by H.S.
- If the contentious documents (the format of handwritten note and the hand typed (mechanical typewriter) ERN: 02075703; 02075704-02075705; 02075701; 02075710; 02075711; 02075713; 02075714; 02075760, 01239581; 02075791; 02075697; 02075742; 02075743; marking used for the purpose of the analysis: S-1; S-2; S-3.; S-4., S-5; S-6.; S-7; S-8.; S-9a; S-10; S-11; S-12. and S-12a, were signed in hand by H.S.
- If the contentious documents: S-1; S-3. S-; S-6.; S-10; S-11 and S-12, short handwritten notes (words, dates, numbers) were written by H.S.
- If the contentious documents S-1.; and S-15; short handwritten notes (words, dates, numbers) are written by the same person, primarily the contested document S-9a, and further contested documents: S-12; S-11; S-10; S-6; S-4; S-3 and S-1
- If the contentious ERN documents: 02075809; 02075808; 02075810; 01239504; 03720933; 01839608; 01239545; 03721400; 03721419; 01239528; 00926461; 01239544; 01801596 and 02066401 (earlierr,00926396), investigation reference S.1 to S.13, N.O. were signed by his own hand
- If the contentious documents with investigation reference 1. S.2. and S.3., were signed by H.F. in his own hand

FINDINGS

The expert analysis of the documents is based on scientific rules, principles and methods of forensic investigation of documents/criminal/technical approach, optics, electronics, psychology of behaviour, etc.

In the aim of identifying of the signatures in handwriting and all other relevant features, the standard functional instruments were used: /stereomicroscope, mobile enhancing magnifying glass 5-10x, measuring microscope ML-20x, UV, IR instruments, transmitted light, with slanting, penetrating filter, computerized scanner with color monitor(computerized matching), etc., as well as expert and methodologically focused observation.

For the purposes of assessment, understanding, i.e. direct visual perception of the individual elements in the investigation process of both contentious and non contentious handwritings and signatures of the original and photocopied (black-and-white) documents in the present case, a number of photographs (using computerized scanning, colour printing and digital camera) were taken and used. Both the colour and black-and-white photos are functionally and contextually identified in letters and numbers.

The arrows used by the expert underline the characteristics in handwriting and signatures identified by the expert in the course of the investigation process.

The presented sample of the contentious documents has not been subject to any physical or chemical treatment, destroyed, nor has its original physical integrity or appearance been interfered with.

The contentious documents have been returned in the form and condition they were originally received for the purposes of expert analysis.

A. ANALYSIS OF THE CONTENTIOUS DOCUMENTS

- Contentious handwriting and signature by H.S.-

1. Document: ERN 02075703 (S-1)

The original of the contentious document is in the format of records from the interrogation No: 8/93 of 30 January 1993. The text is written, typed on a typewriter with a black typing band, on a white A4 typing paper. The paper has water prints, vertically and continuously distributed, of a transparently recognizable silhouette of a sailing boat.

In the bottom right part of the document, or more precisely, in the box «HEAD of Security and Intelligence Salihović Hamed», the mechanical trace of purple stamp ink from the round seal print, with hardly recognizable inscription and heraldic design, approximately 24 mm in diameter. There is a visible seal trace over the first half of the handwritten signature. Generally, the phenomenon of frequent covering of the signature, partly or completely, by round seal print is by itself indicative in terms of primary relevance of a signature on a document, as opposed to the secondary significance that the print of the round seal may have. This phenomenon essentially, somewhat limits and makes more difficult the analysis of the contentious signature.

The entire handwritten structure of the shortened and partly legible signature is graphically located in the given space above the typed line in black, positioned somewhat more to the right.

Using instruments and visually, both the general and specific characteristics of the handwriting were identified, to the extent that it was possible due to the already elaborated phenomenon of «covering» the graphics of the contentious signature S-1.

The contentious signature was written, at the time, by a ballpoint pen, chemical layer of dark shade, which is a standard writing device.

In the upper part of the document, at the top, there is number «2» handwritten with a ballpoint pen. On the document there is a visible alteration done on a typewriter of originally typed number «3» into number » »2«, with more visible imprint in the typed date »7.5.1993.«.

There is also another alteration in handwriting of number «9» that was originally typed by writing number «7» in the date» 07 January 1993«.

Below the whole content, in the central part, two short notes, handwritten, can be seen, one below another. The notes are legibly written in Latin script, in cursive [joined up], by two writers and using two ballpoint pens with different shades of blue chemical trace. Namely, the first note is a legible one and reads:

...

» *Died 6/7.02.1993*» and is written spontaneously and fluently by one writer, marked as «X1».

Below this note, there is another visibly legible note in handwriting: «*At the graveyard*». The latter note is also written in fluent and spontaneous handwriting, in cursive letters in Latin alphabet, but by a different writer who is marked as «X2».

Based on identified specific feature use of the handwriting, it can be said that writer «X1» (writer of the short note) is most probably the same person who wrote by hand «8» and «30.01. ».

Note:

For the purposes of clarification, understanding, retesting and assessment of statements, observations and conclusions in this investigation etc., it is necessary to directly visualize each contentious document that is analyzed, together with the accompanying non contentious comparative documents, and, within the same context, the applied photo elaboration with markings within the findings.

2. Document ERN: 02075704-02075705(S-2).

This is an original document with entirely contentious handwriting content. Graphically it is on two sheets of white A4 paper of better quality. The paper bears a water trade mark, transparently recognizable text «EXTRA-POST» and a silhouette of a buck on a cliff. At the second page of the document there is the shortened contentious signature, the beginning of which is swept over by the distinct purple trace of the round seal, like on document S-1.

The contentious handwriting is a discontinuous, individualized graphic expression belonging to one and the same person judged by graphomotoric skills and capacities. It was realized by using different writing devices, different shades of color of the chemical layer of the trace. Atypical, unnatural space, gaps in writing is noticeable, which is even more evident on the second page, etc.

On the first page, it is clearly visible that there is a alteration in handwriting, using a red pencil, in a series of originally integrally and at the same time written figures in the »7.5.1993«, a blue ballpoint pen where number »3«, is a truly amateur alteration into number »2«.

...

Using instruments and visually, the primary characteristics of both general and specific nature of the handwriting first and then the signature were, inter alia, detected, which is significant for further analysis, comparison, identification or elimination.

3. Document ERN: 02075701 (S-3).

The document is in the format of Minutes No: 8/93 of 30.01./1993. This is a carbon copy of the original typed on a mechanical typewriter using black carbon paper. It is marked as S-1.

The text is on one sheet of A4 paper. Based on the water mark, the paper is identical to that in document S-1.

Observations relating to the alterations of the numbers within the date in the S-1 document apply to S-3 document as well.

Below the typed text, downward to the right, there is the contentious signature. Almost the entire graphic structure of the contentious signature is covered by an outstanding imprint in purple ink of a round seal. The mentioned, already frequent, phenomenon is in brief defined in the case of contentious document S-1.

In the bottom left part, in the direction of the contentious handwritten signature, there is a short note, evidently added. It is written fluently and spontaneously in individualized Latin script in cursive, legible and consists of a word and a date »Umro 06/07.02.93.« [«Died 06/07.02.93]. The writing device was a ballpoint pen, dark blue, like in document S-1.

In terms of identification the contentious handwriting in the contentious note, notwithstanding it being much shorter, it has certain for the purposes of identification valid, valuable and distinguishing graph-a-graph characteristics. Namely, it is possible objectively to reach a conclusion about the identity of the writer, the authenticity, forgery etc, but only by presenting the original comparative sample with the handwriting, i.e. the signatures.

4. Document ERN: 02075710 (S-4).

The original document is in the format of Minutes No: 6/93 of 31 January 1993. The whole text is typed on a mechanical typewriter and is not written at one go. Subsequently, the text »PRIJEKI VOJNI SUD SREBRENICA KOMISIJI ZA POSREDOVANJE SA NEPRIJATELJSKOM STRANOM« [COURT MARTIAL SREBRENICA COMMISSION FOR MEDIATION WITH THE ENEMY] was inserted using a typewriter.

11.

This conclusion by the expert refers to the earlier documents marked as S-1. and S-3.

The text is not written at one go and occupies the whole page of good quality A-4 paper. The paper bear the same water mark as already mentioned in the case of S-1 and S-3 documents.

The handwritten numbers »6« and »31.01. «, in the heading are most probably the graphic expression by the person identified as »X1«.

After the text that is typed, to the right, there is the contentious shortened signature, on the frequently consistent level above the typed line. Its graphic structure is also mostly covered by a trace of purple ink from the round seal. This frequent phenomenon had already been defined in other documents.

In the left part, almost at the bottom, there are two short handwritten notes, in personal, legible Latin script, using frequent dark blue ballpoint pen. The notes are real, natural and habitual handwritten expressions, also coming from the frequently mentioned writer identified as »X2«.

In the text there is a partial handwritten alteration by a ballpoint pen, and retyped word that had been typed earlier on the typewriter.

5. Document ERN: 02075711 (S-5)

In this original document the contentious handwritten text is located on white A-4 paper. Below the text with frequent and indicative space there is the shortened contentious signature. Right at the beginning, somewhat inside the initial part of the signature, there is a half of the purple imprint of the round seal, frequently present in numerous contentious documents.

The whole handwritten content is the personal graphic expression produced by the writer identified as »X1«, which was also concluded in the case of contentious documents marked as S-1 and S-2.

6. Document ERN: 02075713 (S-6).

The original document, in the format of Minutes, with the partly handwritten number within the document No: 7/93 of 31 January 1993. Like all the documents, it was analyzed, both using instruments and visually.

Generally, the same observations apply to this document as those arising from analyzing originals S-1., S-3. and S-4., and regarding the paper, writing device used, signature, location of the seal print, inscriptions in handwriting, writer's intervention, retyping, subsequent non integral adding of typed text in the upper right part.

The real handwritten short note »*Umro 19.03.93*«/[Died 19 March 93], must have been written by the frequent writer identified as »X2«, whereas the handwritten numbers »7« and »31.01.«, in the upper left corner, are, most likely, a graphic expression by the writer »X1«.

7. Document ERN: 02075714 (S-7)

In this original document there is a contentious handwritten content written as a combination of cursive and Block Latin script. At the end of the first part of the handwriting there is a purple imprint of a round seal. The contentious signature is at the end, on the right side. The writer of the handwritten text in the format of Minutes is only one person, marked as »X1«. Numerous analytical conclusions referring to the contentious signature, progress in handwritten units, imprint of a seal, etc, reached regards S-2. and S-5., S-4 documents, apply as regards S-7 document as well.

8. Document ERN: 02075760 (S-8)

Identical analytical observations apply to this original document with prevalingly Block/ cursive letters of the handwritten Latin script as they do to S-2., S-5. Documents, and to a certain extent to others as well. However, the document does not bear the expected frequent imprint of the round seal. Handwritten intervention, frequently inscribed short note »*Umro 09.03.93.* « « [Died 19 March 93], at the end of the text, written at the time fluently and automatically and consistently by the participating writer »X2«. The remaining major part of handwritten text was written at one go by writer »X1«.

At this point it would be worth highlighting the appearance of the **second version** of the contentious signature handwritten. This signature is by its structure shorter, simplified, and different, etc. when compared with the earlier analyzed signatures in contentious documents.

...

9. Documents ERN: 02075763; 02075743 (S-9. and S-12.a.)

The same observations arising from the investigation are presented in the analytic synthesis regarding these original documents as were those arrived at in our continuous investigation of the contentious documents, in particular those with handwriting as prevailing content. Document S-9 has neither signature nor the imprint of a seal, whereas document in S-12a. we located a **third version of the graphic expression** of the shortened signature with personal characteristics. At the beginning of the handwritten structure of this contentious signature, there is more outstanding imprint of the purple stamp ink due to the stamping of the frequent round seal, with the text and heraldic indiscernible.

Based on already general, specific and other characteristics detected by instruments and visually, the frequently appearing individual identified as »X1«, is the consistent and customary writer of the whole contentious handwritten text. In document S-9., there is again participation in handwriting by writer »X2«, at the end of the handwritten content, in the form of a short note, written spontaneously and fluently in cursive -»Umro 10.03.9«/[Died 19 March 93], «.

10. Document ERN: 01239581 (S-9a.)

The original document is List No: 108/93 of 03 February 1993 with imprint of the seal in purple ink in the right corner of the upper margin «MILITARY SECRET STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL

The content is typed on the same frequently used mechanical typewriter with black band and on one sheet of good quality white A-4 paper. The texture of the paper has water marks that can be seen due to the transparency of the paper (continuous vertical silhouette of a sailing boat), like in the texture of the analyzed documents S-1., S-3., S-4., S-6., S-10., S-11 and S-12.

In the left vertical margin there is a handwritten text in the form of short notes with words and dates replacing one another. The handwriting can be attributed to two writers, the same ones as in all contentious documents whom we have identified as »X1« and »X2«.

We should point out that in this document only the paper was exposed to physical and chemical destruction, which is evident in the zone of the lower margin, in particular at the right corner. It should also be mentioned, regards the identification, that in this torn and decomposed margin, the handwritten content was traced under No. 30 with the number »30. « Added in handwriting and the recognizable words

...

»ĐUKIĆ (ARSEN) MILOMIR, zarobljen u Kravici 16.01.1993. « [ĐUKIĆ (ARSEN) MILOMIR, taken prisoner in Kravica 16 January 1993]. This is followed by «impressive» **fourth version**», the graphic production of the contentious shortened signature written by a ballpoint pen with a darker trace of blue chemical matter and the sharp purple trace of the stamp ink from the frequently present round seal. From a detailed and non-invasive forensic analysis, using instruments and the only permissible method at present, of the lower margin of the document, we ascertained that the mentioned contents are not destroyed at all, which would have been inevitable had they existed earlier, and which could be expected judging by the genesis of their origin. The only realistic and indicative conclusion is that they were deliberately subsequently inserted, most likely after the suspicious destruction. Based on the observed trace indications, it may even be pseudo destruction.

11. Documents ERN: 02075791; 02075697; 02075742 (S-10., S-11. and S-12.)

The analyzed originals of the contentious documents have a whole spectrum of characteristics already stated as regards S-1., S-3., S-4., S-6 documents. Alterations in handwriting of the text typed on a typewriter were detected, alterations and bolding of the handwriting, retyping of already typed fragment of the text, short notes inserted handwritten, different writing devices used, additional typing in the upper left part. Handwriting was detected.

It is evident that in S-10. a short handwritten note »Razmjerenje 07.02.93. « [«Exchanged on 07 February 93»] was added afterwards, then in S-11 document, the handwritten note »Umro 17/18.03.93.« « [Died 17/18 March 93], in S-12, handwritten note »Sahranjen u groblju« [«Buried in a cemetery».] The notes are certainly customary and individual expression of definitely frequent writer »X2«.

12. Document ERN: 01321045-01321046 (S-13.)

The contentious document S-13 is a text in handwriting written at one go. Based on the results of the analysis and identified general and specific features of the handwriting, this personal and consistent graphic product by one writer. We would, however, like to highlight, this writer did not participate in handwriting of any of the other analyzed contentious documents. For the purpose of our analysis we labelled him as an infrequent, occasional writer »X3«.

13. Documents ERN: 01837364-01837364A; 01837373-01837375 (S-14.and S-15.)

Both original documents represent two lists typed, at the time, on a mechanical typewriter with the black band, on two, i.e. three sheets of A-4 paper. In the text, there are inserted fragments of the text in handwriting, short notes consisting of words and dates. This is the graphic expression mostly used by writer »X2«, who was often involved in the production of many of the contentious documents that have been analysed.

B. ANALYSIS OF CONTENTIOUS DOCUMENTS

- Contentious signatures by N.O.-

1. Document ERN: 02075809 (S.1.)

In original the document is Decision No: 2/92, Bajramovići, 20 May 1992. At the time, it was typed as non written at one go, on one mechanical typewriter with Latin keyboard, black typing band, on one sheet of white A-4 paper. The document is typed as a text non written at one go and not at the same time which is detected in the upper right corner in the form of additional two line text:»VOJNA TAJNA STROGO POV.« [«MILITARY SECRET STRICTLY CONF.»]

In the right part downwards, under the content also typed on a typewriter, there is a separate box made with the text: »KOMANDANT ŠTABA TO SREBRENICA Naser Orić«[«CHIEF OF STAFF Naser Orić»].

In this graphic space, directly on the typed line, we located the graphic expression of the contentious signature. It belongs into the category of fluent, at first glance simple shortened signatures in handwriting. It can be visualized in cursive Cyrillic script as »*HOpuh*« /Latin »NOrić«/. It is written inclining to the right in cursive Cyrillic script, in a single sequence, from left to the right. Within the shorter structure there is a simplified grapheme »*H*« in Cyrillic and cursive (the initial Cyrillic name associating of the name »*Hacep*«) with a transversal slanting central stroke approximately inclining until the end of the signature, which is composed, in a specific graphics within the inclining ellipsoidal grapheme of the capital letter in cursive well calibrated »*O*«, and to which small Cyrillic graphemes in cursive »*p*«, »*u*« and »*h*«, link up as

» *Opuh* . «. Next to the contentious signature there is an outstanding interpunction, full stop ». «, automatically placed after the signature is completed. This contested signature by N.O is smooth, written efficiently and rapidly, unusually by a fountain pen, with a layer of blue ink, long ago improved and appropriate writing device. The **first described graphic version** of the contentious signature by N.O was also noticed in documents S.2, S.3, S.4 and S.5 (photocop). Furthermore, one half of the handwritten signature is covered by a part of stronger layer of purple stamp ink, produced by pressing the frequently used round seal, approximately 24 mm. in diameter.

In the left zone of the contentious document, at the end of the printed content, in the graphically designed space for »NOTETAKER: Fejzić Hamdija«, slightly above the typed line, the contentious signature H.F is located. The version is written in fluent cursive Latin script, and associating constituent graphemes are »H«, »e«, »j« , »z« and »š«. Unlike the former contentious signature, this shortened signature is produced by using a ballpoint pen, with dark blue chemical trace, as a standard and generally present writing device. The handwriting of this contentious signature possesses all the relevant characteristics necessary for valid and objective observations and conclusions on the authenticity and in relation to the signatures by the hand of persons they belong to.

Note:

For the purpose of better understanding, control, analysis, assessment, authentication etc., a summarized descriptively elaborated and presented instrumental and visual investigation, it will be necessary to directly observe each individual contentious document. In the same context, it is also necessary to observe the corresponding photographs with markings, applied within the Findings.

2. Documents ERN: 02075808 and 02075810 (S.2 and S.3)

The contentious documents are originals in the format of Decisions No: 1/92, Bajramović, 20 May 1992, 3/92, Bajramović, 26 May 1992 with contentious signatures by N.O and H.F. Everything that was previously elaborated pertaining to the contentious document S.1, full applies also to the documents S.2 and S.3. Likewise, destruction is detected on the contentious document S.2, in the form of deliberate mechanical erasing. There was a preliminary physical erasing, abrasion, of the earlier typed content of one word, then the subsequently inserted, typed word that at present could be recognized as the word »Skelana«. The physical destruction can be directly seen in the original document, under count No. 7 at the end of the typed text.

The reconstructed earlier typed content could not be objectively reconstructed because of the total mechanical displacement of the black carbon paper. In this destroyed zone, only the fragments of black points, the displacement of the surface of the paper and perforation could be discerned.

Furthermore, the contentious document S.3 was found to contain covering of the earlier typed word by a white correcting fluid over whose layer the subsequent inscription of the word »Srebrenica« is done on a typewriter.

There is evident additional handwritten segment within the text, the line before the last one at the end has word »NEMAJU« [DON'T HAVE], in spontaneous block letters and dark blue ballpoint pen.

3. Document ERN: 01239504 (S.4)

The original of the contentious document is of one of the usual formats of Order. It bears No: 04/92, Srebrenica, 15 June 1992. The text is typed on the same mechanical typewriter, and judging by the typing performance, quite likely by the same person as the contentious documents S.1, S.2 and S.3.

As regards the handwritten shortened contentious signature by N.O, located in the usual space of the document, the usual descriptive elaboration as for the contentious documents with the above mentioned marking applies.

On the document produced on A-4 paper, in the lower margin, to the left, there is a physical-chemical destruction evident, by the traces closer to the earlier analyzed document ERN: 02139581. The destruction is manifested in a piece of paper found missing, cracking, decomposition and torn condition of the paper change of color, indicating possible arson, etc.

4. Documents ERN: 03720933; 03721400; 03721419; 00926461; 01801596 (S.5, S.8, S.9, S.11, S.13).

The above contentious documents are black-and-white photocopies of different quality.

In principle, photocopies are not convenient for a comprehensive and serious professional expertise of handwritings, signatures, seal imprints, etc.

The modest results obtained through the analysis of the documents photocopied by versatile copying techniques as such do not allow for certainty and validity of results.

Quite a few relevant and in terms of identification valid characteristics, that will not be separately explained, could not be methodologically elaborated for objective reasons, i.e. they could not have been detected, fixed, photographed, neither by instruments nor by directed visualization, nor treated by intensive forensic methods, etc. Essentially, the photocopied documents, without a corresponding original, give rise thereby to suspicion. It is not uncommon for such documents to be forgeries, even when they are officially certified. Against

this background, an investigator must accept them with maximum reserve and awareness, in particular concerning their official authenticity, latent potential abuses, deliberate illegal production and technological manipulations, etc., especially when expert technical conclusions are to be reached. Although in this expert analysis the originals of the contentious documents are not presented, only their black-and-white photocopies, we did conduct, following the request by the Defence, a preliminary but rather limited investigation into the typed materials, handwriting and signatures.

Due to the limited scope of analyzing of photocopied documents and achieved results, it is possible to present certain conclusions only within different levels of probability, with the Tribunal to be the ultimate judge.

The photocopy of S.5 document, on the basis of visual observation, shows traces of handwritten alteration with figure »2« being inserted over the typed number »3«, in the earlier typed year »2« within the date in handwriting. In the typed date »17.09.1992.«, over the existing number »4« it is evident that by, later repeated overtyping, number «9», which has more outlined trace, is typed. Furthermore, at the black-and-white photocopy of contentious document S.9, at the end of the typed text, in the line before the last one, there are traces of hiding the earlier typed word using the correcting fluid, or a coat of similar texture, additional typing on a typewriter of the word that could be recognized as »Zulfaroli«.

5. Documents ERN: 01839608; 01239528; 01239544 (S.6, S.10 and S.12)

Two original documents, S.6 and S.12, are in the form of an Order with the following numbers: *170/92* and *171/92*, Srebrenica, of 22 December 1992. The original S.10 document is in the form of Order No: *137/92* of 11 December 1992. These documents are typed on a typewriter with black carbon band, half a page each, good quality white A-4 paper, divided into two parts. The internal structure of the half a page S.6 and S.10 documents reveals a mono shade water mark, »EXTRA POST« and the silhouette of a buck. In the lower left part of the S.10 document, a mechanical trace was detected. It is an indentation, like printing over the surface of the paper. It was produced by pressing onto another document while writing.

It can be felt, and even seen under an oblique (obscure) light and it is recognizable in block type letters Cyrillic letters as »орић «.

The contentious signatures are written in ballpoint pen, with dark blue trace. An already standard practice, half of the signature structure is covered by frequent trace of purple stamp ink print from the round seal.

We would like to state at this point that the imprint of this seal is found, with very few exceptions, in almost the entire sample of all the investigated contentious documents.

All the three cursive shortened signatures in Cyrillic script, being the three efficient but mutually totally different graphic creations, possess a spectrum of very valuable general and specific characteristics, that are relevant for comparison and identification with authentic, non-contentious signatures by N.O. Namely, they make objective conclusions concerning the authenticity or forgery feasible.

6. Document ERN: 01239545 (S.7)

The original contentious document is in the format of an Order by the HQ of the Srebrenica Armed Forces No: 183/92 of 24 December 1992 and is typed on a mechanical typewriter one A-4 sheet of paper, with large spacing, in particular in the zone of the signature. The contentious signature is in Cyrillic, cursive and the recognizable rare handwritten performance, when compared with other contentious signatures. It is written in ink (fountain pen), and the trace of the ink coat has a specific bluish shade. Specific characteristics inside the structure of the handwritten signature can be identified using the instruments and visually.

B. ANALYSIS OF NON CONTENTIOUS DOCUMENTS

1. Documents with non contentious handwriting and signatures by H.S

The presented non contentious sample of documents with non contentious handwriting and signature is among the original archived documents that were found. Generally, from the point of view of methodology, such a sample is certainly satisfying in terms of quantity and its scope is limited in the process of expert analysis.

The dominant sample of the comparative handwriting and signature by H.S, marked as N.1 to N.12 for the purpose of the present analysis, dates from the period 1969/1974. Regarding the time when the documents were written, January/February 1993, the non contentious documents are some 20 years old, which is something that should not be disregarded in the context of evolution, individual characteristics of the handwriting and natural age of the scrivener. This is something that must be taken into account when attempting at a realistic evaluation and recognition in the process of investigation/analysis.

This applies, in particular, to a comparative analysis with contentious handwriting and signatures and the presentation of possible levels of final

conclusions.

Only the two presented official documents, with two non contentious signatures by H.S, date from 1991, and are marked as N.13 and N.14. Although they are closer to the time when the contentious signatures originated, in terms of quantity they are barely satisfying.

In principle, in terms of methodology, a sample of handwriting and versions of signature by H.S is not representative by either quality or quantity and that, undoubtedly originates from 1993, like all the contentious documents, is not presented.

Moreover, in the process of maintaining records of official documents within a continuous span of time and causality, their archiving, and the procedure of triage, there must have been accompanying documents as well, that would not be contentious.

It should have been organized to find and present to the Tribunal or the Prosecutor all the accompanying non contentious documents with non contentious signatures and handwriting by H.S as evidence, as it was the case with all the contentious documents presented to the Prosecutor. These documents must have existed at the time and in the territory and in the course of the events. Only such a sample could, in the most objective manner, ensure the realistic and logical understanding of the genesis of contested documentation, the dates, and, even more important conducting a more comprehensive professional expertise with determined conclusions.

The sample of non contentious handwriting was in detail analyzed by instruments and visually for the purposes of detecting general characteristics and, in terms of identification, most primary specific characteristics. The scrivener, at the time, wrote Latin script, using recognizable a readable combination of cursive and block letters, using fountain pen and ballpoint pen in turns.

The non contentious H.S's handwriting, as advanced forms of human graphic expression and communication, developed through usage, becoming personal, with co ordinate strokes, spontaneous, casual, consistent in manual efficiency, habitual, automatic, etc. As such, it is certainly a realistic form of expression of one and the same person, with developed general mental and motor capacities, graphic and motor ones in particular.

The whole sample of the non contentious handwriting of H.S is rich in valuable and preferential specifically manifested characteristics, primarily the individual letters, graphemes /graph a graph/, shorter and longer sets of graphemes, and the totality of the handwriting graphics. Individual characteristics of special importance, primarily for comparison with documents that are investigated were detected, where the contentious handwriting dominates in terms of quantity, and in the final identification and presentation of the conclusions.

2. Documents with non contentious handwriting and signatures by N.O.

The primary non contentious investigation sample of handwritten, authentic signatures N.O, in compared documents, listed and marked from N.1 to N.12, both in quality and quantity is appropriate and representative for a valid and objective comparison concerning the signatures on contentious documents. The authentic signatures date from the following years: 1996, 1999, 2001 and 2003. They were thoroughly studied each, both visually and using instruments, in the aim of determining relevant identification characteristics.

After the analysis of N.O's signatures, the following can be concluded as a synthesis thereof:

that they were written in a consistent manner in cursive Cyrillic script, that several ballpoint pens were used in writing with different shades of blue chemical, that they belong to a category of shortened and legible personal signatures, that they have always single graphic form, with consistent expression, that they possess certain elements of expected natural and realistic variability in handwriting, that they are rich indeed in unique individual characteristics of constituent graphemes, that they are an automatic graphic production, they are spontaneous and casual, strictly personal, that the graphic structure is consistent within the time, that they always incite the same visual experience when studied, that the strokes are rapid and efficient, that they are written at approximately 40° angle in relation to the imagined plane, that the calibration is consistent both by direction and the height, the lines have very idiosyncratic properties, they are identical in terms of graphic product, the initial and final segments of the graphics are distinctly constant, that they always end in recognizable and idiosyncratic manner, etc.

And finally, the non contentious N.O's signatures posses, indeed, a large spectrum of characteristics of their own, which are at the same time automatic and spontaneous protection of their personal graphic integrity.

3. Documents with non contentious handwriting and signatures H.F

The documents in the non contentious sample are listed and marked from N.1 to N. 5. It is appropriate in terms of both quality and quantity and the methodology. The authentic signatures were analyzed separately. The primary and relevant features were determined to serve as a basis for comparative analysis and identification of the three contested signatures located in contentious documents: ERN 02075809; 02075808 and 02075810. They shall not be separately elaborated or explained in this part of the Findings. It will be additionally elaborated at the stage of comparing them with the analyzed handwriting in the contentious signatures.

C. COMPARISON OF DOCUMENTS

1. Comparison of the contentious handwriting and signature with the non contentious handwriting and signatures by H.S

The first part is the comparison of the contentious handwriting in the documents marked for the purposes of this analysis S-2, S-5, S-7, S-8, S-9, S-12a and S-13 with the non contentious sample of the H.S's handwriting, marked as N.1 to N.14.

The comparison identified numerous differences; discrepancies manifested both in the domain of general and specific characteristics of the handwriting that are mutually permeated. In the text below only a few of them are listed:

- Formation of small and large graphemes in Block and cursive Latin script of the Bosnian language;
- Inclining writing angle;
- Graphic calibration in the writing process;
- Connecting individual graphemes into graphic clusters;
- Frequency of lower and upper loops;
- Number and sequence of strokes, pre strokes, reflexive and final strokes;
- Consistency of spacing between graphic clusters along the line;
- Location mode of diacritic and other characteristics of the handwriting;
- Marginal graphic space;
- General visual impression, etc.

In the above context see on page 23 the photographs of fragments with functional insert from the contentious handwriting in S-12a document, and non contentious handwriting by H.S, document N.5, with arrows in red indicating the differences in handwriting.

**CONTENTIOUS
HANDWRITING**

PEJIC' (MILANO) RADO nast. 4.
 vod. 27. III 1956. u Gabelje, HZ Cevena sa
 škol. imena VIII razreda (vaukdan), zaposlen u
 ostalo **Reuforadić**
 ožojen: mupya Božana, vod. Toluć
 - NATA 1979. u Bredalunec, Ruma
 - ZORANA 1982. " " "
 - MILANA 1987. " " "
 - GORAN 1989. " " "
 - ZORAN 1991. " " "
 Služio vojsku 1957/6. u Toluću, VSS pji
 Nije bio niobiljen, nik je dužio ovač
 Završio 10/12 gimn. kod kuće, na njiv

Reuforadić

insert S-12a.

ERN: 02075743

S-12a.

**NON CONTENTIOUS
HANDWRITING H.S.**

Reuforadić

insert N.5.

Očevo zanimanje **Reuforadić**

Slušao je u zimskom / ljetnom semestru 1971 / 72 školske godi

Naziv predmeta	Ime i prezime nastavnika
Ornamentna geografija I	Prof. Sulejman Bekarić
Istorija geografije	Prof. dr. M. Mauković
Biogeografija - Zoogeografija - Filogeografija	Mr. prof. T. Sofić Prof. dr. Zilka Slavnić
Regio. geografija	Mr. N. Zubić
Regionalna geo. svijeta	Doc. dr. Orhan Zubić

N.5.

...

The second part offers a cross analysis of the signatures.

a) All the signatures in the contentious documents were first mutually compared. The gradual comparison proved that there is a striking elementary difference.

This makes no sense on a general mental and physical level, in particular in reference to a psycho-motor set of a personality in the function of individual and consistent graphic expression of habitual and automatic signature writing.

For the purpose of illustration we shall mention only few of the differences in contested signatures:

Several graphic versions of inconsistent signatures, varying formation of recognizable small and capital cursive Latin graphemes, letters »S«, »H«, »a«, »l«, »i«, »d«, »h«, »m«, differences in the length of the signature, calibration of the signature both in terms of the length and the width, varying pace of writing with elements of slight languidity, physical orientation of the signature within the graphic space, inconsistency in the number and sequence of the strokes, inconsistent and versatile crossing of the strokes, inclining writing angle (some signatures are absolutely horizontal against the imagined or given writing line, whereas some show ascending angular trend), inconsistent and changing writing pace within the signature structure, missing grapheme elements and clusters within the signature structure at its beginning, in the central and final parts, proportion of upper and lower length segments of the signature, etc.

Based on what is elaborated, it can be concluded that the entire sample of contentious signatures did not emerge as a natural, individual and habitual graphic product inherent to one individual, i.e. to a personality to which it could have been attributed given the authentic, and identified signatures written in the hand of that particular individual.

b) The second direction of our analysis led us to instrumental and visual comparison of the contentious signatures in their possible relation with the earlier elaborated limited sample of non contentious signatures along the lines of both the quality and quantity.

Recognizing the possible achievements and results of the second direction in the analysis, our conclusions will have to be presented at one of the generally accepted rating scale in handwriting analysis: likely/probably, very likely, most likely/bordering with certainty, the final judgment to rest on the court.

The instrumental and visual comparison of the contentious versus non contentious signatures of the deceased H.S, resulted in determining differences. General and specific departures in the signatures that prevent them from being identical are mutually intertwined and permeating. We shall mention only a few of such differences from the multitude of manifested differences in the signatures that are relevant for identification:

- The handwriting construction of the whole signature in its full version,
- Inclining writing angle and writing pace of the handwritten signature,
- Graphic and motor calibration and the pressure rate in writing of the signature,

Idiosyncrasy in shaping of recognizable constituent cursive graphemes:

»**S**«, »H«, »l«, »a«, »h«, »i«, »m«, »d«,

- Sequence of strokes and the oval shape of the frequent small letter »a« in cursive,
- Unique character of the garland composition in the lower strokes on the »m« grapheme, with unique linkage to the final segment of the signature,
- Simultaneous and spontaneous placement of the horizontal dash in writing c grapheme »H«, when writing the name »*Hamed*«,

Unique, ornamental and smooth shaping of the initial grapheme »**S**« in cursive, when writing the surname »*Salihović*«,

- The height, fullness and proportionality of the upper cursive looping graphemes »l«, »h«, »d«,
- Presence of diacritic mark on the cursive letter »i«,
- Graphically outstanding locality of the lower parts, gram constituent graphemes below the imagined line of writing in the initial, central and final segment of the specific ending of the signature, etc.

For the purpose of direct observation, observational evidence, understanding, analyzing, evaluation, etc. the joined pages 26,27 and 28 in Findings, in a form of a map, present the color photographs of contentious and non contentious signatures marked both numerically and in letters.

Discrete red arrows indicate only the most outstanding differences in the signatures. It will be appreciated if the photographs are observed in immediate relation with the analyzed original contentious and non contentious documents.


CONTENTIOUS SIGNATURES

NON CONTENTIOUS SIGNATURES



02075703

S-1.



02075705

S-2.




02075701

S-3.




02075710

S-4.




N.10.



N.9.


**CONTENTIOUS
SIGNATURES**

**NON CONTENTIOUS
SIGNATURES**




02075711

S-5.




02075713

S-6.




N.8.




02075714

S-7.



N.7.



02075760

S-8.


CONTENTIOUS SIGNATURES

NON CONTENTIOUS SIGNATURES




01239581

S-9a.




N.11.




02075791

S-10.




N.13.



02075697

S-11.



N.14.



02075742

S-12.



02075743

S-12a.

3. Comparison of contentious signatures with non contentious signatures by N.O.

The systemic and gradual analysis through visual and instrumental comparison of the handwriting in the contentious signatures on the contentious documents marked as S.1 to S.13, with a sample of documents with non contentious handwritten signatures satisfying both the quantity and quality criteria and certainly, written by N.O in his own hand, revealed total discrepancy .

The differences in signatures, discrepancies, are manifested both in general and specific graph á graph characteristics, and are mutually intertwined.

The differences in handwritten signatures are relevant identification-wise and preferential in the relation with the sample of original ones, and limiting in the relation with the sample of the photocopies of the contentious documents. It would be worth mentioning only a few of the manifested differences in the signatures:

- Formation and shaping of the constituent Cyrillic letters »H«, »p«, »u«, »h«, the capital initial grapheme »O« in the graphic structure of the shortened signature »HOpuh« ,
- Rich and numerous strokes,
- Quality of the writing line,
- Personal writing pace,
- Location of spontaneous interruptions and connections,
- Inclining writing angle and direction in the signature,
- Initial and final strokes,
- Individual efficiency in writing,
- Automatic linkage of graphic clusters,
- The length of the signature,
- The length zone of the horizontal dash in Cyrillic letters »H« and »h«, the altitudinal displacement in relation to the central segment of the signature,
- Calibration and fullness of the upper loops in the final grapheme »h«,
- Closing position in the oval of the capital letter »O«,
- The length and performance of lower loops of the graphemes »p« and »h«,
- Frequent presence of interpunction at the end of the signature,
- Hand pressure in writing,
- Physical orientation, etc.

The established differences are best observed on the photographs of contentious and non contentious signatures, functionally applied and marked on pages 30, 31, 32, and 32 of the Findings.

The red arrows locate more closely only the most evident differences between the contentious and non contentious signatures by N.O.

CONTENTIOUS SIGNATURES

NON CONTENTIOUS SIGNATURES



02075809

S.1.



N.5.



02075808

S.2.


N.6.



02075810

S.3.

N.7.



01239504

S.4.

N.8.


CONTENTIOUS SIGNATURES

NON CONTENTIOUS SIGNATURES




03720933

S.5.




N.9.




01839608

S.6.



N.10.




01239545

S.7.



N.1.




03721400

S.8.


CONTENTIOUS SIGNATURES

NON CONTENTIOUS SIGNATURES



03721419

S.9.



N.11.




01239528

S.10.




N.3.




00926461

S.11.



N.1.




01239544

S.12.

**CONTENTIOUS
SIGNATURES**

**NON CONTENTIOUS
SIGNATURES**



01801596

S.13.

N.12.



N.2.

4. Comparison of contentious with non contentious signatures by H.F

The visual and instrumental comparison of the contentious signatures by H.F, graphically located in the box «MINUTE TAKER:» in the three original contested documents marked S.1, S.2 and S.3 was conducted in relation with the presented appropriate sample of the signatures written by H.F in his own hand. Based on the comparison a multitude of relevant differences, discrepancies was found, both in terms of general and individual handwriting characteristics of the signatures.

The following are only few of them:

- General visual perception of the handwriting in the signature,
- Basic graphic structure of the signature,
- Formation of cursive Latin graphemes »H«, »F«, »j«, »z«, »e«, »é«,
- Individual writing efficiency and pace,
- Calibration in terms of the height and direction,
- Inclining writing angle of the whole signature structure and its clusters,
- The length of the signature,
- Writing line quality,
- Number of strokes and their crossing,
- Location of recoiling strokes,
- Linkage between individual graphemes and grapheme clusters,
- Vertical location of diacritic marks in small cursive letters »j« and »é«,
- proportions of the lower transpassing element in the grapheme »j«,
- Automatic performance in writing the loop in the lower transpassing element of the letter »j«,
- Spontaneous style in linking the capital initial letters »H« and »F«,
- Finalization of the signature,
- Hand pressure in writing, etc.







In the course of the expert analysis, a functional sequence of the photographs of both contentious and non contentious signatures was developed. (See page 35 of the Findings).

For the purposes of direct visualization, better understanding, evaluation, etc, the red arrows locate more closely only the most evident differences between the contentious and non contentious signatures by H.F.

Based on the detailed, multidirectional comparisons in several phases and the summary of elaborated findings in Part C of the Findings (C. 1., 2., 3. and 4.), and re-testing of all the results obtained trough instrumental and visual study of the entire sample of the original and photocopied contentious documents, it is possible to present the following conclusions:

CONTENTIOUS SIGNATURES

NON CONTENTIOUS SIGNATURES



CONCLUSIONS

1. The original documents with contentious content in handwriting, marked as S-2, S-5, S-7, S-8, S-9, S-12a and S-13, **most probably were not written in hand by H.S.**
2. The original documents with contentious signatures by H.S, marked as S-1, S-2, S-3, S-4, S-5, S-6, S-7, S-8, S-9a, S-10, S-11, S-12 and S-12a, **most probably were not signed in hand by H.S.**
3. The original documents with contentious handwritten short notes (words, dates, numbers) marked as S-1, S-3, S-4, S-6, S-10, S-11 and S-12, **most probably were not written in hand by H.S.**
4. The original documents with contentious handwritten short notes (words, dates, numbers) marked as S-14 and S-15, in primary relation of the contentious original document marked as S-9a, and in relations with contentious documents marked as S-12, S-11, S-10, S-6, S-4, S-3 and S-1, were **most probably written by two frequently participating writers** marked as »X1« and »X2«.
5. The original documents with contentious signatures by N.O, marked as S.1, S.2, S.3, S.4, S.6, S.7, S.10 and S.12 **were not signed in his own hand by N.O.**
6. Photocopied documents with contentious signatures by N.O, marked as S.5, S.8, S.9, S.11, S.13, **were most probably not signed in his own hand by N.O.**
7. The original documents with contentious signatures by H.F, marked as S.1, S.2 and S.3 **were not signed in his own hand by H.F.**

8.

Sarajevo, 02 December 2005

REPORT ON EXPERT ANALYSIS:
STANDING COURT EXPERT WITNESS FOR DOCUMENTS
Prof. Esad Bilić

I, Prof. Spomenka Beus, Official Interpreter of the English language, do hereby certify that the present translation into the English language fully corresponds to its original, bearing no responsibility for its truthfulness.

Sarajevo, 14.12.2005

Interpreter:
Spomenka Beus

Bibliography

- Basarić M. – Vejzagić N., »Kriminalistika II – Kriminalistička tehnika«
[*Crime Investigation II – Crime investigation techniques*]
Univerzitet u Sarajevu, Fakultet kriminalističkih nauka, Sarajevo 1998.

- Ellen D., »*The Scientific Examination of Documents, Methods and Techniques*«, London 1997

- Harrison, Wilson R., »*Suspect Documents*«
New York 1958.

- Hecker M., »*Forensische Handschriften-untersuchung*«
Heidelberg 1993.

- Hilton O., »*Scientific Examination of Questioned Documents*«
New York 1982.

- Huber R.A.&Headrick. A.M., »*Handwriting Identification: Facts and Fundamentals*«.
CRC Press. Boca Raton/New York, 1999.

- Morris R., »*Forensic Handwriting Identification Concept and principles*«
London, San Diego 2000

- Osborn A.S., »*Questioned Documents*«
New Jersey 1952.

- Sabol Ž, »*Identitet rukopisa- ogled o vještačenju rukopisa* «[*The identity of a handwriting- Essay on forensic analysis of handwritings*]
Zagreb 1986.

- Simonović Lj., »*Kriminalisrtičko vještačenje dokumenata*«[*Forensics of documents*]
Beograd 1956.