I - 15 - 17/1-J DJ712 - D7710 29 serandy 20,6

# UNITED NATIONS



International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of Former Yugoslavia since 1991

Case No. IT-95-13/1-T Date: 28 September 2006 Original: English

### **IN TRIAL CHAMBER II**

Before:	Judge Kevin Parker, Presiding Judge Christine Van Den Wyngaert Judge Krister Thelin
<b>Registrar:</b>	Mr Hans Holthuis

Decision:

28 September 2006

#### PROSECUTOR

v.

# MILE MRKŠIĆ MIROSLAV RADIĆ VESELIN ŠLJIVANČANIN

## DECISION ON THE MOTION OF THE DEFENCE OF THE ACCUSED MIROSLAV RADIĆ FOR ADMISSION OF WRITTEN STATEMENTS IN LIEU OF VIVA VOCE TESTIMONY PURSUANT TO RULE 92bis, WITH ANNEXES 1 AND 2

#### The Office of the Prosecutor:

Mr Marks Moore Mr Philip Weiner Mr Vincent Lunny Ms Meritxell Regue Mr Alexis Demirdjian

#### **Counsel for the Accused:**

Mr Miroslav Vasić and Mr Vladimir Domazet for Mile Mrkšić Mr Borivoje Borović and Ms Mira Tapušković for Miroslav Radić Mr Novak Lukić and Mr Momčilo Bulatović for Veselin Šljivančanin

Case No. IT-95-13/1-T

28 September 2006

1. This decision of Trial Chamber II is in respect of the "Motion of the Defence of the Accused Miroslav Radić for Admission of Written Statements in Lieu of Viva Voce Testimony Pursuant to Rule 92*bis*, With Annexes 1 and 2" ("Motion"), filed confidentially on 21 September 2006. The Defence for Miroslav Radić ("Defence") seeks the admission, pursuant to Rule 92bis(A)(i)(e) of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence ("Rules"), of the written statements of Miško Gunjača and Zvonimir Žugaj, in lieu of their oral testimony. It is the submission of the Defence that the proposed statements are admissible without cross-examination under the Rule, as they merely relate to the character of the Accused and do not pertain to his acts and conduct during the events relevant to this trial.

2. On 27 September 2006, the Prosecution filed a "Prosecution's Response to Motion of the Defence of the Accused Miroslav Radić for Admission of Written Statements in Lieu of Viva Voce Testimony Pursuant to Rule 92*bis*", whereby it indicated that it does not object to the admission of the proposed statements without the witnesses appearing for cross-examination.

3. For a written statement to be admitted into evidence in lieu of oral testimony, it must go to proof of a matter other than the acts and conduct of the accused as charged in the indictment. The Chamber recalls that it has discretion in deciding whether to admit a written statement. Relevant to this determination is the proximity to the accused of any acts and conduct of his subordinates described in the written statements, and the cumulative nature of the evidence sought to be admitted in written form. In determining whether the witness should be available for cross-examination, the Chamber will consider whether the evidence in question relates to a critical and live issue between the parties as opposed to a peripheral or marginally relevant issue.

4. Both written statements sought to be admitted relate to the character of the Accused Miroslav Radić. They are given by individuals with whom the Accused is said to have had business and social relationships with from 1995 onwards. Thus, the Chamber is satisfied that the statements do not go to proof of the acts and conduct of the Accused and do not relate to a critical and live issue between the parties.

5. Finally, the Defence informally indicated that despite having filed the Motion confidentially, it was not seeking or intending to seek protective measures for any of the two witnesses concerned. The Chamber therefore sees no reason for its decision not to be public.

Case No. IT-95-13/1-T

For the foregoing reasons, pursuant to Rules 89 and 92bis of the Rules, the Chamber

**GRANTS** the Motion and **DECIDES** that the written statements of Miško Gunjača and Zvonimir Žugaj will be provisionally admitted into evidence, without requiring the witnesses to be called for cross-examination. The admission of the statements is subject to compliance with the Rule 92*bis*(B) certification procedure.

Done in English and French, the English version being authoritative.

Judge Kevin Parker Presiding

Dated this twenty-eighth day of September 2006 At The Hague The Netherlands

### [Seal of the Tribunal]

Case No. IT-95-13/1-T