

International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law

Committed in the Territory of the former Yugoslavia since 1991

Case No.: IT-05-87-T

IT-02-54-T

Date:

31 August 2006

Original:

English

IN TRIAL CHAMBER III

Before:

Judge Iain Bonomy, Presiding Judge Ali Nawaz Chowhan

Judge Tsvetana Kamenova

Judge Janet Nosworthy, Reserve Judge

Registrar:

Mr. Hans Holthuis

Order of:

31 August 2006

PROSECUTOR

v.

MILAN MILUTINOVIĆ NIKOLA ŠAINOVIĆ DRAGOLJUB OJDANIĆ NEBOJŠA PAVKOVIĆ VLADIMIR LAZAREVIĆ SRETEN LUKIĆ

ORDER ON RESCISSION OF PROTECTIVE MEASURES IN RELATION TO WITNESS DREN CAKA

Office of the Prosecutor

Mr. Thomas Hannis

Mr. Chester Stamp

Ms. Christina Moeller

Ms. Patricia Fikirini

Mr. Mathias Marcussen

Counsel for the Accused

Mr. Eugene O'Sullivan and Mr. Slobodan Zečević for Mr. Milan Milutinović

Mr. Toma Fila and Mr. Vladimir Petrović for Mr. Nikola Šainović

Mr. Tomislav Višnjić and Mr. Norman Sepenuk for Mr. Dragoljub Ojdanić

Mr. John Ackerman and Mr. Aleksander Alekšić for Mr. Nebojša Pavković

Mr. Mihajlo Bakrač and Mr. Đuro Čepić for Mr. Vladimir Lazarević

Mr. Branko Lukić and Mr. Dragan Ivetić for Mr. Sreten Lukić

THIS TRIAL CHAMBER of the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the former Yugoslavia since 1991 ("Tribunal"), was seised of the Prosecution's oral application, which was made during the hearing held on 31 August 2006 ("Motion"), for the rescission pursuant to Rule 75(G) of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence of the protective measures granted to witness Dren Caka.

- 1. The Prosecution informed the Chamber that the witness no longer desired the protective measures that had been granted to him during a prior proceeding before the Tribunal ("first proceedings"), and that he now desired to testify in this trial ("second proceedings") without any protective measures. There was no objection on the part of the Defence to the Motion.
- 2. The Chamber notes the following relevant provisions of Rule 75:
 - (F) Once protective measures have been ordered in respect of a victim or witness in any proceedings before the Tribunal (the "first proceedings"), such protective measures:
 - (i) shall continue to have effect *mutatis mutandis* in any other proceedings before the Tribunal (the "second proceedings") unless and until they are rescinded, varied or augmented in accordance with the procedure set out in this Rule; but
 - (ii) shall not prevent the Prosecutor from discharging any disclosure obligation under the Rules in the second proceedings, provided that the Prosecutor notifies the Defence to whom the disclosure is being made of the nature of the protective measures ordered in the first proceedings.
 - (G) A party to the second proceedings seeking to rescind, vary or augment protective measures ordered in the first proceedings must apply:
 - (i) to any Chamber, however constituted, remaining seised of the first proceedings; or
 - (ii) if no Chamber remains seised of the first proceedings, to the Chamber seised of the second proceedings.
- 3. The Chamber considers that Rule 75(G)(ii) applies in the present case and recalls that it orally granted the Motion during the hearing. Pursuant to Rules 54 and 75, the Chamber hereby CONFIRMS its oral ruling, RESCINDS the protective measures in relation to this witness in both the first and the second proceedings, and INSTRUCTS the Registry to take all necessary measures to implement this Order.

-

¹ Prosecutor v. Slobodan Milošević, Case No. IT-02-54-T, Decision on Prosecution's Second Motion for Specific Protective Measures for Individual Witnesses Testifying During the Kosovo Phase of the Trial, 22 March 2002.

Done in English and French, the English text being authoritative.

Judge Iain Bonomy Presiding

Dated this thirty-first day of August 2006 At The Hague The Netherlands

[Seal of the Tribunal]