



International Tribunal for the
Prosecution of Persons
Responsible for Serious Violations of
International Humanitarian Law
Committed in the Territory of the
Former Yugoslavia since 1991

Case No. IT-95-11-PT
IT-03-69-PT
IT-03-67-PT
Date: 20 July 2005
Original: English

IN TRIAL CHAMBER III

Before: Judge Patrick Robinson, Presiding
Judge Carmel Agius
Judge Daqun Liu

Registrar: Mr. Hans Holthuis

Order of: 20 July 2005

PROSECUTOR V. MILAN MARTIĆ

PROSECUTOR V. JOVICA STANIŠIĆ AND FRANKO SIMATOVIĆ

PROSECUTOR V. VOJISLAV ŠEŠELJ

SCHEDULING ORDER

The Office of the Prosecutor:

Ms. Carla Del Ponte
Ms. Hildegard Uertz-Retzlaff
Mr. David Re

Counsel for the Accused:

Mr. Predrag Milovančević and Mr. Vuk Sekulić,
Counsel for the Accused Milan Martić
Mr. Geert-Jan Alexander Knoops and Mr. Wayne
Jordash, Counsel for the Accused Jovica Stanišić
Mr. Zoran Jovanovic, Counsel for the Accused
Franko Simatović

The Accused:

Mr. Vojislav Šešelj

Standby Counsel:

Mr. Tjarda Eduard van der Spoel, Standby Counsel
in the Case Against the Accused Vojislav Šešelj

TRIAL CHAMBER III (“Trial Chamber”) of the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the Former Yugoslavia since 1991 (“Tribunal”);

NOTING that on 4 July 2005 and 7 July 2005 the President of the Tribunal issued orders regarding the special composition of Trial Chamber III for the purpose of determining the Prosecution Motions for Joinder of the cases of the Prosecutor v. Milan Martić, the Prosecutor v. Jovica Stanišić and Franko Simatović and the Prosecutor v. Vojislav Šešelj;¹

NOTING that on 30 May 2005 and 1 June 2005 the Prosecution filed a motion for the joinder of these three cases (“Motion for Joinder”). The Motion was filed with respect to the cases of the Prosecutor v. Milan Martić and the Prosecutor v. Jovica Stanišić and Franko Simatović;²

NOTING that in the case of the Prosecutor v. Vojislav Šešelj motions submitted to the Registry have not been considered to be officially filed unless accompanied by an official translation into either English or BCS, as appropriate;

NOTING that on 19 July 2005 the Prosecution Motion for Joinder, accompanied by an official translation of the Motion for Joinder into the BCS language, was filed with respect to the case of the Prosecutor v. Vojislav Šešelj;³

NOTING that on 13 June 2005 the Defence Counsel of the Accused Milan Martić filed a response to the Prosecution Motion for Joinder;⁴

NOTING that on 29 June 2005 the Defence Counsel of the Accused Jovica Stanišić and the Defence Counsel of the Accused Franko Simatović filed responses to the Prosecution Motion for Joinder;⁵

¹ *Prosecutor v. Milan Martić*, Case No. IT-95-11-PT, “Order Reassigning a Case to a Trial Chamber and Referring the Joinder Motion”, 4 July 2005, *Prosecutor v. Vojislav Šešelj*, Case No. IT-03-67-PT, “Order Reassigning a Case and Referring the Joinder Motion”, 4 July 2005 and *Prosecutor v. Jovica Stanišić and Franko Simatović*, Case No. IT-03-69-PT, “Order Referring the Joinder Motion”, 7 July 2005.

² *Prosecutor v. Jovica Stanišić and Franko Simatović*, Case No. IT-03-69-PT, “Prosecution Motion for Joinder Partly Confidential”, 1 June 2005 and *Prosecutor v. Milan Martić*, Case No. IT-95-11-PT, “Prosecution Motion for Joinder”, 30 May 2005.

³ *Prosecutor v. Vojislav Šešelj*, Case No. IT-03-67-PT, “Prosecution Motion for Joinder Partly Confidential”, 19 July 2005.

⁴ *Prosecutor v. Milan Martić*, Case No. IT-95-11-PT, “Response to Prosecution’s Motion for Joinder”, 13 June 2005.

PURSUANT TO Rule 54 and Rule 126 *bis* of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence of the Tribunal, the Trial Chamber **hereby**:

INSTRUCTS Vojislav Šešelj (“Accused”) to file a response, if any, to the Prosecution’s Motion for Joinder within fourteen days from 19 July 2005, which is the date of the official filing of the Motion for Joinder with respect to the case of the Prosecutor v. Vojislav Šešelj;

AUTHORIZES the Accused to exceed the ten-page limit imposed by the Tribunal’s Practice Direction on the Length of Briefs and Motions and to file a response not exceeding twenty-five pages. The twenty-five pages of the Accused’s response should be in accordance with the standards applicable to the filing of documents at the Tribunal, and thus should not include more than three-hundred words per page. A filing exceeding the limits of twenty-five pages and three-hundred words per page will not be accepted.

Done in English and French, the English version being authoritative.

Dated this twentieth day of July 2005,

At The Hague,

The Netherlands



Patrick Robinson

Presiding Judge

[Seal of the Tribunal]



⁵ *Prosecutor v. Jovica Stanišić and Franko Simatović*, Case No. IT-03-69-PT, “Defence Response to the Prosecution Motion for Joinder (Dated 1st June 2005)”, 29 June 2005 and *Prosecutor v. Jovica Stanišić and Franko Simatović*, Case No. IT-03-69-PT, “Defence Response to Prosecution Motion for Joinder”, 29 June 2005.