17-01-42/1-A A1900-A1899 14 MARCH 2005

1900_{AT}

UNITED NATIONS



International Tribunal for the

Prosecution of Persons

Responsible for Serious Violations of

International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of

Former Yugoslavia since 1991

Case No.:

IT-01-42/1-A

Date:

14 March 2005

Original:

English

IN THE APPEALS CHAMBER

Before:

Judge Inés Mónica Weinberg de Roca, Presiding

Judge Mohamed Shahabuddeen

Judge Florence Ndepele Mwachande Mumba

Judge Mehmet Güney

Judge Wolfgang Schomburg

Registrar:

Mr. Hans Holthuis

Order:

14 March 2005

PROSECUTOR

v.

MIODRAG JOKIĆ

ORDER RE-SCHEDULING APPEAL HEARING

Counsel for the Prosecution:

Mr. Norman Farrell

Counsel for the Appellant:

Mr. Žarko Nikolić Mr. Eugene O'Sullivan

Case No.: IT-01-42/1-A

14 March 2005

THE APPEALS CHAMBER of the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the former Yugoslavia since 1991 ("International Tribunal"),

NOTING the "Order Re-Scheduling Appeal Hearing" issued on 1 March 2005 scheduling the hearing in this appeal on 14 March 2005 at 9:30 a.m.;

NOTING that due to the fact that one of the judges in the Bench was unable to attend today's hearing, the latter had to be postponed;

PURSUANT TO Rule 114 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence of the International Tribunal:

HEREBY ORDERS that oral arguments in the present appeal be re-scheduled to be heard on 26 April 2005 commencing at 9:30 a.m. and **INFORMS** the parties that the timetable of the hearing shall be as follows, subject to adjustments where appropriate:

9:30 a.m. – 9:40 a.m. Introductory statement by the Presiding Judge;

9:40 a.m. – 10:10 a.m. Appellant's submission;

10:10 a.m. – 10:40 a.m. Prosecution's response to the Appellant's submission;

10:40 a.m. – 10:50 Reply to the Prosecution's response; and

10:50 a.m. – 11:00 Appellant's personal intervention (optional).

REMINDS the parties to prepare themselves in such a way as not simply to recount what has been set out in their written submissions, but to confine their oral arguments to elaborating on points relevant to this appeal that they wish to bring to the Appeals Chamber's attention.

Done in both English and French, the English text being authoritative.

Dated this fourteenth day of March 2005 At The Hague,

The Netherlands.

Judge Inés Mónica Weinberg de Roca

Presiding