



International Tribunal for the  
Prosecution of Persons  
Responsible for Serious Violations of  
International Humanitarian Law  
Committed in the Territory of the  
Former Yugoslavia since 1991

Case No. IT-95-13/1-PT  
Date: 7 October 2003  
Original: English

**IN TRIAL CHAMBER II**

**Before:** Judge Carmel Agius, Pre-Trial Judge

**Registrar:** Mr. Hans Holthuis

**Decision of:** 7 October 2003

**PROSECUTOR**

v.

**MILE MRKŠIĆ  
MIROSLAV RADIĆ  
VESELIN ŠLJIVANČANIN**

---

**SECOND SCHEDULING ORDER FOR FILINGS**

---

**The Office of the Prosecutor:**

Mr. Jan Wubben  
Mr. Mark J. McKeon

**Counsel for the Accused Mile Mrkšić:**

Mr. Miroslav Vasić

**Counsel for the Accused Miroslav Radić:**

Mr. Borivoje Borović  
Ms. Mira Tapušković

**Counsel for the Accused Veselin Šljivančanin**

Mr. Novak Lukić  
Mr. Momčilo Bulatović

**I, Carmel Agius, Judge of the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the Former Yugoslavia since 1991 (“Tribunal”) and Pre-Trial Judge in these proceedings:**

**NOTING** the Decision filed on 1 August 2003,<sup>1</sup> in which the Trial Chamber postponed the deadline established in the “Scheduling Order for Filings”, filed on 25 July 2003 (“Scheduling Order”), as it applied to Mile Mrkšić (“Mrkšić”), Miroslav Radić (“Radić”) and Veselin Šljivančanin (“Šljivančanin”) until such time as Defence Counsel would be appointed or assigned to Šljivančanin;

**NOTING** the Registrar’s “Decision” filed on 1 October 2003 assigning Novak Lukić as Defence Counsel for Šljivančanin on a temporary basis;

**NOTING** that, pursuant to Rule 65 *ter*(B), the pre-trial Judge “shall take any measure necessary to prepare the case for a fair and expeditious trial”;

**CONSIDERING** that re-establishing the thirty-day deadline envisaged by the Trial Chamber in the Scheduling Order constitutes one such measure;

**RECALLING** that, whilst Mrkšić must confine his objections, if any, to the new aspects of the proposed Consolidated Amended Indictment that the Prosecution is seeking to file,<sup>2</sup> this restriction does not apply to Radić and Šljivančanin;

---

<sup>1</sup> *The Prosecutor v Mile Mrkšić, Miroslav Radić and Veselin Šljivančanin*, Case IT-95-13/1-PT, Decision to Postpone the Deadline in the Scheduling Order for Filings, 1 August 2003 (“Decision”).

<sup>2</sup> *The Prosecutor v Mile Mrkšić, Miroslav Radić and Veselin Šljivančanin*, Case IT-95-13/1-PT, Prosecution’s Motion for Leave to File a Consolidated Amended Indictment, 21 July 2003 (“Motion for Leave to File a Consolidated Amended Indictment”).

**FOR THE FOREGOING REASONS****PURSUANT TO** Rule 50(A)(i)(c) and (C), Rule 54 and Rule 65 *ter*(B);

**HEREBY ORDER THAT** Mrkšić, Radić and Šljivančanin respectively file a single document containing any response to the Motion for Leave to File a Consolidated Amended Indictment pursuant to Rule 50(A)(i)(c) and/or any preliminary motion pursuant to Rule 72 alleging defects on the form of the proposed Consolidated Amended Indictment by no later than 12.00 on 31 October 2003.

Done in French and English, the English version being authoritative.

Dated this seventh day of October 2003,

At The Hague

The Netherlands

**Carmel Agius****Pre-Trial Judge****[Seal of the Tribunal]**